

HAUSDORFF MEASURES AND THE MORSE-SARD THEOREM

CARLOS GUSTAVO T. DE A. MOREIRA

Abstract

Let $F: U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a differentiable function and $p < m$ an integer. If $k \geq 1$ is an integer, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $F \in C^{k+(\alpha)}$, if we set $C_p(F) = \{x \in U \mid \text{rank}(Df(x)) \leq p\}$ then the Hausdorff measure of dimension $(p + \frac{n-p}{k+\alpha})$ of $F(C_p(F))$ is zero.

1. Introduction

The Morse-Sard theorem is a fundamental theorem in analysis that is in the basis of transversality theory and differential topology. The classical Morse-Sard theorem states that the image of the set of critical points of a function $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ of class C^{n-m+1} has zero Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^m . It was proved by Morse ([M]) in the case $m = 1$ and by Sard ([S1]) in the general case.

Due to its theoretical importance, the Morse-Sard theorem was generalized in many directions. Many of these generalizations are related with Hausdorff measures and Hausdorff dimensions.

Given a metric space X and a positive real number α , we define the Hausdorff measure of dimension α associated to a covering $\mathcal{U} = (U_\lambda)_{\lambda \in L}$ of X by bounded sets U_λ by $m_\alpha(\mathcal{U}) = \sum_{\lambda \in L} (\text{diam } U_\lambda)^\alpha$, where $\text{diam } U_\lambda$ denotes the diameter of U_λ , and, if we define the norm of a covering \mathcal{U} by $\|\mathcal{U}\| = \sup_{U \in \mathcal{U}} (\text{diam } U)$, then the Hausdorff measure of dimension α of X is $m_\alpha(X) = \liminf_{\|\mathcal{U}\| \rightarrow 0} m_\alpha(\mathcal{U})$.

It is not difficult to see that there is a unique $d \in [0, +\infty]$ such that if $\alpha > d$ then $m_\alpha(X) = 0$ and if $\alpha < d$ then $m_\alpha(X) = +\infty$. This number d is called the *Hausdorff dimension* of X . It is easy to see that if $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ then its Hausdorff dimension $d =: HD(X)$ belongs to $[0, n]$.

Sard himself proved that if $C_p(F) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \text{rank}(DF(x)) \leq p\}$ then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if F is C^k then $F(C_p(F))$ has zero Hausdorff measure of dimension $p + \varepsilon$ ([S2]). This result was made more precise by Federer ([F]), who proved that if $k \in \mathbb{N}$ then the Hausdorff measure of dimension $p + \frac{n-p}{k}$ of $F(C_p(F))$ is zero. We should also mention the works of Church ([Ch1], [Ch2]), which gave more results about the structure of the set of critical values of differentiable maps. Later, Yomdin ([Y]) proved that the Hausdorff dimension of $F(C_p(F))$ is at most $p + \frac{n-p}{k+\alpha}$, provided that $F \in C^{k+\alpha}$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. More recently, Bates ([B2]) proved that if $F \in C^{k+\alpha}$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $p + \frac{n-p}{k+\alpha} = m$ then $F(C_p(F))$ has zero Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^m (this in particular improves the hypothesis of the classical Morse-Sard theorem from $F \in C^{n-m+1}$ to $F \in C^{n-m+\text{Lips}}$, i.e., $F \in C^{n-m}$ and $D^{n-m}F$ Lipschitz).

The aim of this work is to generalize the mentioned results by proving a general version of the Morse-Sard Theorem involving Hausdorff measures. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. We say that a function $F: U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is of class $C^{k+(\alpha)}$ at a subset A of U if F is C^k in U and for each $x \in A$ there are $\varepsilon_x > 0$, $K_x > 0$ such that $|y - x| < \varepsilon_x \Rightarrow |D^k F(y) - D^k F(x)| \leq K_x |y - x|^\alpha$ (this is less restrictive than supposing $F \in C^{k+\alpha}$). Our main result is the following

Theorem. *Let $F: U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{C^k} \mathbb{R}^m$ and let $p < m$ be an integer. If $C_p(F) := \{x \in U \mid \text{rank}(DF(x)) \leq p\}$ and if F is of class $C^{k+(\alpha)}$ at $C_p(F)$ then the Hausdorff $(p + \frac{n-p}{k+\alpha})$ -measure of $F(C_p(F))$ is zero.*

In particular, if $k + \alpha = \frac{n-p}{m-p}$, we recover the result of [B2], with a weaker hypothesis. We remark that if $p + \frac{n-p}{k+\alpha} < m$, the Hausdorff $(p + \frac{n-p}{k+\alpha})$ -measure is not the Lebesgue measure or a product measure in \mathbb{R}^m , and so we can not use Fubini's Theorem. This difficulty is solved in the present paper by replacing the use of Fubini's theorem by a careful decomposition of the critical set, combined with a parametrized strong version of the main lemma of Morse's paper ([M, Theorem 2.1]).

We shall also give examples that show that our result is quite sharp, by giving counterexamples to slight changes of the hypothesis or of the conclusion.

2. Functions whose zeros include a given set

We shall prove here a version of Theorem 3.6 of [M] and Lemma 3.4.2 of [F], which will be fundamental for the later results.

Theorem 2.1. Let $k \geq 1$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, $n > p$ and $A \subset U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, where U is an open set. Then there are sets $A_1, A_2, \dots \subset A$ such that $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$, where for each $i = 1, 2, \dots$ there is a function $\psi_i: B_i \times V_i \xrightarrow{C^1} U$ where B_i is a ball in some \mathbb{R}^{r_i} , $r_i \geq 0$ and V_i is a ball in \mathbb{R}^p such that $\psi_i(x, y) = (\tilde{\psi}_i(x, y), y)$, and $|\psi_i(x_1, y_1) - \psi_i(x_2, y_2)| \geq |(x_1, y_1) - (x_2, y_2)|$, $\forall (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in B_i \times V_i$ and $A_i \subset \psi_i(B_i \times V_i)$, with the following property: We can write $A_i = A'_i \cup A''_i$ so that $\psi_i^{-1}(A''_i)$ has measure zero in $B_i \times V_i$, and if $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ vanishes in A and f is $C^{k+(\alpha)}$ at A we have:

- $\limsup_{(x, y_0) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0)} \frac{f(\psi_i(x, y_0))}{|x - x_0|^{k+\alpha}} < +\infty$, $\forall (x_0, y_0) \in B_i \times V_i$ such that $\psi_i(x_0, y_0) \in A_i$,
- $\lim_{(x, y_0) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0)} \frac{f(\psi_i(x, y_0))}{|x - x_0|^{k+\alpha}} = 0$, $\forall (x_0, y_0) \in B_i \times V_i$ such that $\psi_i(x_0, y_0) \in A'_i$.

Proof: Let us consider first the case $k = 1$ and $df(x) \cdot v = 0 \forall x \in A$, $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n-p} \times \{0\}$. In this case we take $A = (A' \cap A) \cup A''$ where A' is the set of density points of \bar{A} in the direction of $\mathbb{R}^{n-p} \times \{0\}$ ($(x, y) \in A' \Rightarrow \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{m((B_\varepsilon(x) \times \{y\}) \cap \bar{A})}{m(B_\varepsilon(x))} = 1$, where m is the $(n-p)$ -dimensional measure). The measure of $A'' = A - A'$ is zero, since it is zero in each plane $\mathbb{R}^{n-p} \times \{y\}$.

For $(x_0, y_0) \in A$ take $B((x_0, y_0), \varepsilon(x_0, y_0))$ a ball contained in U and $\psi = \text{Id}|_{B((x_0, y_0), \varepsilon(x_0, y_0))}$. We have $\limsup_{(x, y_0) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0)} \frac{f(x, y_0)}{|x - x_0|^{1+\alpha}} < +\infty$, since $f(x, y_0) = f(x, y_0) - f(x_0, y_0) = df(tx_0 + (1-t)x)(x - x_0)$, $t \in (0, 1) \Rightarrow |f(x, y_0)| \leq K_{x_0} |x - x_0|^{1+\alpha}$. For $(x_0, y_0) \in A'$,

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\text{vol}(S^{n-p-1})} \int_{S^{n-p-1}} \left(\frac{1}{\delta} \int_0^\delta \chi_{\bar{A}}(x_0 + tv, y_0) dt \right) dv = 1,$$

so $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta_0 > 0$ s.t. $|x - x_0| < \delta_0 \Rightarrow \exists v \in S^{n-p-1}$ with

$$\left| v - \frac{x - x_0}{|x - x_0|} \right| < \varepsilon$$

and

$$\left| \frac{1}{|x - x_0|} \int_0^{|x-x_0|} \chi_{\bar{A}}(x_0 + tv, y_0) dt - 1 \right| < \varepsilon,$$

so, if $\tilde{x} = x_0 + |x - x_0|v$,

$$|f(x, y_0) - f(x_0, y_0)| \leq |f(x, y_0) - f(\tilde{x}, y_0)| + |f(\tilde{x}, y_0) - f(x_0, y_0)|,$$

but

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y_0) - f(\tilde{x}, y_0) &= df(\theta t + (1 - \theta)\tilde{x}, y_0) \cdot (x - \tilde{x}), \quad \theta \in (0, 1) \\ \Rightarrow |f(x, y_0) - f(\tilde{x}, y_0)| &\leq K_{x_0}|x - x_0|^\alpha \cdot \varepsilon|x - x_0| = \varepsilon K_{x_0}|x - x_0|^{1+\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f(\tilde{x}, y_0) - f(x_0, y_0) &= \int_0^{|\tilde{x}-x_0|} df(x_0 + tv, y_0) \cdot v dt \\ &\leq K_{x_0}|x - x_0|^\alpha \cdot m \left\{ t \in [0, |\tilde{x} - x_0|] \mid \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x_0 + tv, y_0) \neq 0 \right\} \\ &\leq K_{x_0}|x - x_0|^\alpha \cdot \varepsilon|x - x_0| = \varepsilon K_{x_0}|x - x_0|^{1+\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x, y_0)| &= |f(x, y_0) - f(x_0, y_0)| \leq 2\varepsilon K_{x_0}|x - x_0|^{1+\alpha} \\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{(x, y_0) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0)} \frac{f(x, y_0)}{|x - x_0|^{1+\alpha}} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We can take a countable subcovering of A by the $B((x_0, y_0), \varepsilon(x_0, y_0))$ to finish the proof in this case.

Consider now the case $k \geq 1$, n arbitrary. We have $A = A^* \cup A^{**}$ where $A^* = \{x \in A \mid \exists g: U \xrightarrow{C^k} \mathbb{R}, g|_A \equiv 0, \exists v \in \mathbb{R}^{n-p} \times \{0\}, dg(x) \cdot v \neq 0\}$. $A^{**} = A \setminus A^*$. If $(x_0, y_0) \in A^*$ there is g as above, so there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $g^{-1}(0) \cap B_\varepsilon(x_0, y_0)$ is contained in the image of $\psi: B \times V \xrightarrow{C^k} U$ where B is a ball in \mathbb{R}^{n-p-1} , as in the statement, and $A \subset g^{-1}(0)$. Taking a countable subcovering of A^* by these balls we reduce the proof in this case to a case with smaller n . If $k = 1$, the result was yet proved for A^{**} . If $k > 1$, and assuming by induction the result for $k - 1$, we have

$$A^{**} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i^{**}, \quad A_i^{**} = (A_i^{**})' \cup (A_i^{**})'', \quad A_i^{**} \subset \psi_i(B_i \times V_i), \quad \psi_i \in C^1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i(x_0, y_0) \in A_i^{**} &\Rightarrow \limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{\|df(\psi_i(x, y_0))\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-p} \times \{0\}}}{|x - x_0|^{k-1+\alpha}} < +\infty \\ &\Rightarrow \limsup_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{|f(\psi_i(x, y_0))|}{|x - x_0|^{k+\alpha}} < +\infty \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i(x_0, y_0) \in (A_i^{**}) &\Rightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{\|df(\psi_i(x, y_0))\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-p} \times \{0\}}}{|x - x_0|^{k-1+\alpha}} = 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{f(\psi_i(x, y_0))}{|x - x_0|^{k+\alpha}} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

both by the mean value theorem, and the proof is finished by induction. \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let $k \geq 1$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, $n > p$ and $A \subset U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, where U is an open set. Then there are sets $A_1, A_2, \dots \subset A$ such that $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$, where for each $i = 1, 2, \dots$ there is a function $\psi_i: B_i \times V_i \xrightarrow{C^1} U$ where B_i is a ball in some \mathbb{R}^{r_i} , $r_i \geq 0$ and V_i is a ball in \mathbb{R}^p such that $\psi_i(x, y) = (\tilde{\psi}_i(x, y), y)$, and $|\psi_i(x_1, y_1) - \psi_i(x_2, y_2)| \geq |(x_1, y_1) - (x_2, y_2)|$, $\forall (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in B_i \times V_i$ and $A_i \subset \psi_i(B_i \times V_i)$, with the following property: We can write $A_i = A'_i \cup A''_i$ so that $\psi_i^{-1}(A''_i)$ has measure zero in $B_i \times V_i$, and if $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $C^{k+(\alpha)}$ at A and $D_x f \equiv 0$ in A we have:*

- $\limsup_{(x, y_0) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0)} \frac{|f(\psi_i(x, y_0)) - f(\psi_i(x_0, y_0))|}{|x - x_0|^{k+\alpha}} < +\infty, \forall (x_0, y_0) \in B_i \times V_i$ such that $\psi_i(x_0, y_0) \in A_i$,
- $\lim_{(x, y_0) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0)} \frac{|f(\psi_i(x, y_0)) - f(\psi_i(x_0, y_0))|}{|x - x_0|^{k+\alpha}} = 0, \forall (x_0, y_0) \in B_i \times V_i$ such that $\psi_i(x_0, y_0) \in A'_i$.

Proof: If $k \geq 2$ this is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1 applied to $D_x f$ and of the mean value theorem. If $k = 1$ this can be proved exactly as the case $k = 1$ of the Theorem 2.1. \square

Corollary 2.3. *In the statements of Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2, for any $x \in B_i$ s.t. $\psi_i(x) \in A_i$ there are $\varepsilon_x > 0$, $K_x > 0$ such that $|y - x| < \varepsilon_x \Rightarrow |f(\psi_i(y)) - f(\psi_i(x))| \leq K_x |y - x|^{k+\alpha}$, and for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ so that $\frac{\lambda(\psi_i^{-1}(A_i) \cap B_r(x))}{\lambda(B_r(x))} > 1 - \delta \Rightarrow |f(\psi_i(y)) - f(\psi_i(x))| \leq \varepsilon K_x r^{k+\alpha}$, if $r \leq \varepsilon_x$ and $|y - x| \leq r$ (δ depends only on ε and n , but not on f or on x).*

Proof: This is only a more precise formulation of the results proved in the demonstration of the theorem. \square

Remark 2.1. For $k = 0$ we have the same results, except the statement $\lim_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{f(\psi_i(y))}{|y-x|^{k+\alpha}} = 0$, for each $x \in B_i$ such that $\psi_i(x) \in A'_i$.

3. The main results

Lemma 3.1. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ with $\lambda(A) < \infty$ and let \mathcal{U} be a family of balls $B_r(x)$, $x \in A$ such that for each $x \in A$ there is an $\varepsilon_x > 0$ such that $r \leq \varepsilon_x \Rightarrow B_r(x) \in \mathcal{U}$. Then for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there are $x_n \in A$, $r_n > 0$ with $B_{r_n}(x_n) \in \mathcal{U}$ and $A \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{r_n}(x_n)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(B_{r_n}(x_n)) < \lambda(A) + \varepsilon$.*

Proof: This lemma is essentially the Vitali covering theorem from measure theory. Take $U \supset A$ an open set with $\lambda(U) < \lambda(A) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. If we choosed $B_{\tilde{r}_1}(x_1), \dots, B_{\tilde{r}_n}(x_n)$, define $s_n = \sup\{r > 0 \mid \exists x \in A \text{ s.t. } r < \frac{\varepsilon_x}{5}, B_r(x) \subset U \text{ and } B_r(x) \cap (B_{\tilde{r}_1}(x_1) \cup \dots \cup B_{\tilde{r}_n}(x_n)) = \emptyset\}$. Choose $B_{\tilde{r}_{n+1}}(x_{n+1})$ such that $\tilde{r}_{n+1} > \frac{s_n}{2}$, $\tilde{r}_{n+1} < \frac{\varepsilon_{x_{n+1}}}{5}$, $B_{\tilde{r}_{n+1}}(x_{n+1}) \subset U$ and $B_{\tilde{r}_{n+1}}(x_{n+1}) \cap (B_{\tilde{r}_1}(x_1) \cup \dots \cup B_{\tilde{r}_n}(x_n)) = \emptyset$. Since the $B_{\tilde{r}_i}(x_i)$ are disjoint and contained in U we have $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda(B_{\tilde{r}_i}(x_i)) < \lambda(A) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, and so there is a $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{i=n_0}^{\infty} \lambda(B_{5\tilde{r}_i}(x_i)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. We take $B_{r_i}(x_i) = B_{\tilde{r}_i}(x_i)$, $i < n_0$ and $B_{r_i}(x_i) = B_{5\tilde{r}_i}(x_i)$, $i \geq n_0$.

Clearly we have $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda(B_{r_i}(x_i)) < \lambda(A) + \varepsilon$. To prove that $A \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{B_{r_n}(x_n)}$, take $x \in A$ and $r = \min\{\tilde{r}_{n_0}, \varepsilon_x/5, d(x, U^c \cup \bigcup_{i < n_0} B_{r_i}(x_i))\}$. If $r > 0$, take $n \geq n_0$ such that $s_n < r \leq s_{n-1}$ (we have $r \leq \tilde{r}_{n_0} \leq s_{n_0-1}$), and note that $s_n < r \Rightarrow B_r(x) \cap (B_{\tilde{r}_1}(x_1) \cup \dots \cup B_{\tilde{r}_n}(x_n)) \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \exists i \leq n$ such that $B_r(x) \cap B_{\tilde{r}_i}(x_i) \neq \emptyset$. We have $n \geq n_0$ since $r \leq d(x, B_{\tilde{r}_i}(x_i))$, and $\tilde{r}_i > \frac{s_{n-1}}{2} \geq \frac{r}{2}$, since $i \leq n$. Therefore, we have $x \in B_{5\tilde{r}_i}(x_i)$. If $r = 0$ then $x \in B_{r_i}(x_i)$ for some $i < n_0$. This proves that $A \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{B_{r_n}(x_n)}$. Taking $\tilde{r}_n = \left(\frac{\lambda(A) + \varepsilon}{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda(B_{r_i}(x_i))}\right)^{1/2m} \cdot r_n$, we have $A \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{\tilde{r}_n}(x_n)$, with $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(B_{\tilde{r}_n}(x_n)) = (\lambda(A) + \varepsilon)^{1/2} (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda(B_{r_i}(x_i)))^{1/2} < \lambda(A) + \varepsilon$. \square

Remark 3.1. In the Lemma 3.1 we can replace a family of balls $B_r(x)$ by a family of cubes $C_r(x) = \prod_{i=1}^m [x_i - r, x_i + r]$, where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_m)$, using the same proof.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $F: U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be a function, $A \subset U$ and $d > 0$ such that for any $x \in A$ there are $\varepsilon_x > 0$, $K_x > 0$ such that $m_d(F(B_\varepsilon(x) \cap A)) \leq K_x \cdot \lambda(B_\varepsilon(x))$, $\forall \varepsilon < \varepsilon_x$, where m_d is the Hausdorff measure of dimension d , and there is $A' \subset A$ such that $\lambda(A \setminus A') = 0$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{m_d(F(B_\varepsilon(x) \cap A))}{\lambda(B_\varepsilon(x))} = 0$, $\forall x \in A'$. Then $m_d(F(A)) = 0$.*

Remark 3.2. The same result is true if we replace $B_\varepsilon(x)$ by $C_\varepsilon(x)$.

Remark 3.3. We can replace the condition

$$"m_d(F(B_\varepsilon(x) \cap A)) \leq K_x \lambda(B_\varepsilon(x)), \quad \forall \varepsilon < \varepsilon_x"$$

by

$$"F(B_\varepsilon(x) \cap A) \text{ can be covered by balls } B_{\delta_i}(y_i), \quad i \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$\text{with } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i^d \leq K_x \lambda(B_\varepsilon(x)), \quad \forall \varepsilon < \varepsilon_x",$$

and the condition

$$" \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{m_d(F(B_\varepsilon(x) \cap A))}{\lambda(B_\varepsilon(x))} = 0, \quad \forall x \in A' "$$

by

$$"F(B_\varepsilon(x) \cap A) \text{ can be covered by balls } B_{\delta_i^{(\varepsilon)}}(y_i), \quad i \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\text{with } \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\delta_i^{(\varepsilon)})^d}{\lambda(B_\varepsilon(x))} = 0, \quad \forall x \in A' ".$$

The proof remains essentially the same, and Remark 3.2 is still valid.

Remark 3.4. If we replace the conditions of this lemma by " $F(B_\varepsilon(x) \cap A)$ can be covered by balls $B_{\delta_i}(y_i)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, with $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i^d \leq k \lambda(B_\varepsilon(x))$, $\forall \varepsilon < \varepsilon_x$ (note that here k does not depend on x), and $\lambda(A) < \infty$ ", then we can conclude, using the same proof, that $m_d(F(A)) \leq k \lambda(A)$.

Proof: We may suppose that A has finite Lebesgue measure, since A is a countable union of sets with finite measure, and a countable union of sets with Hausdorff d -measure zero has Hausdorff d -measure zero. Moreover, since $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$, where $A_k = \{x \in A \mid K_x \leq k\}$, we may suppose $K_x \leq K, \forall x \in A$. Let C be the Lebesgue measure of A .

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. For each $x \in A'$ take $\delta_x > 0$ such that $B_{\delta_x}(x) \subset U$ and $r \leq \delta_x \Rightarrow \frac{m_d(F(B_r(x) \cap A))}{\lambda(B_r(x))} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2(C+1)}$. By the Lemma 3.1 we can cover A' by $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{r_n}(x_n)$ with

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(B_{r_n}(x_n)) < C + 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} r_n \leq \delta_{x_n} &\Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_d(F(B_{r_n}(x_n) \cap A)) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2(C+1)} \cdot (C+1) = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Rightarrow m_d(F(A')) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1 we can cover $A \setminus A'$ by $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{\tilde{r}_n}(\tilde{x}_n)$ such that $B_{\tilde{r}_n}(\tilde{x}_n) \subset U$ and $\tilde{r}_n < \varepsilon_{\tilde{x}_n}$, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, with

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(B_{\tilde{r}_n}(\tilde{x}_n)) &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2K} \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m_d(F(B_{\tilde{r}_n}(\tilde{x}_n))) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2K} \cdot K = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Rightarrow m_d(F(A \setminus A')) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \Rightarrow m_d(F(A)) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary we have $m_d(F(A)) = 0$. \square

We first use Lemma 3.2 to prove the following strong version of Constantin's result ([Co]), that does not suppose continuity of the derivatives. Here we do not suppose differentiability in every point, but only in the set of critical points under consideration.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $F: X \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a function, and let $A = \{x \in X \mid DF(x) \text{ exists and is not surjective}\}$. Then $\lambda(F(A)) = 0$.*

Proof: It is a simple consequence of Lemma 3.2, since if $x \in A$ then $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\lambda(F(B_r(x)))}{\lambda(B_r(x))} = 0$. Indeed, $x \in A \Rightarrow F(x+h) = F(x) + DF(x) \cdot h + r(h)$, where $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{r(h)}{|h|} = 0$. Let $K = \|DF(x)\|$, and let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$. Let $\delta > 0$ such that $|h| \leq \delta \Rightarrow \frac{|r(h)|}{|h|} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(K+1)^{n-1}}$. Then, if $|h| \leq \delta$, $F(x+h) - F(x)$ belongs to an $\frac{\varepsilon \cdot |h|}{2(K+1)^{n-1}}$ neighbourhood of a ball of radius $K|h|$ in a subspace of \mathbb{R}^n of dimension $n-1$ (a fixed subspace of \mathbb{R}^n of dimension $n-1$ which contains the image of DF), and thus belongs to the orthogonal product of a ball of radius $(K+1)|h|$ in this subspace by an interval of radius $\frac{\varepsilon|h|}{2(K+1)^{n-1}}$. Therefore, $\lambda(F(B_r(x))) \leq \frac{\varepsilon \cdot r \cdot r^{n-1} (K+1)^{n-1}}{(K+1)^{n-1}} v_{n-1} = \varepsilon r^n v_{n-1}$, where v_{n-1} is the volume of the unitary ball in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , and, since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\lambda(F(B_r(x)))}{\lambda(B_r(x))} = 0$. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let $F: U \subset \mathbb{R}^n \xrightarrow{C^k} \mathbb{R}^m$ be a function of class $C^{k+(\alpha)}$ ($\alpha \in (0, 1]$) at $C_p(F) := \{x \in U \mid \text{rank}(DF(x)) \leq p\}$. Then the Hausdorff measure of dimension $d = p + \frac{n-p}{k+\alpha}$ of $F(C_p(F))$ is zero, $\forall p < \min\{m, n\}$.*

Proof: Since $C_p(F) = \bigcup_{r=0}^p \{x \in U \mid \text{rank}(DF(x)) = r\}$, and $r + \frac{n-r}{k+\alpha} \leq p + \frac{n-p}{k+\alpha}$ for $0 \leq r \leq p$, we may restrict our attention to $\tilde{C}_p(F) = \{x \in U \mid \text{rank}(DF(x)) = p\}$. If $x_0 \in C_p(F)$, we have, after a change of coordinates of class C^k , $F(z, y) = (z, G(z, y))$, with $(z, y) \in \mathbb{R}^p \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}$ and $G(z, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{m-p}$, in a neighbourhood V of $x_0 = (z_0, y_0)$. We shall restrict our attention to this neighbourhood. We have $x = (z, y) \in C_p(F) \Leftrightarrow D_y G(z, y) = 0$. We can apply the results of the Section 2 (Theorem 2.1, Corollary 2.3 and Remark 2.1) to the function $D_y G$, and obtain the decomposition $A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i$, $A_i \subset \psi_i(V_i \times B_i)$, where $A = \{(z, y) \in V \mid D_y G(z, y) = 0\}$. Let us fix such an A_i .

Since $\psi_i^{-1}(A_i) = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \{x \in \psi_i^{-1}(A_i) \mid \varepsilon_x \geq \frac{1}{m}, K_x \leq m\}$, we may suppose $\varepsilon_x \geq \frac{1}{M}$, $K_x \leq M$, $\forall x \in \psi_i^{-1}(A_i)$, for some fixed M and also that V has finite Lebesgue measure $\lambda(V)$.

With these assumptions, we shall prove that there is a constant K_0 such that for any $X \subset V$, $\nu > 0$, we can cover $F(A_i \cap X)$ by balls $B_{\delta_i}(p_i)$ so that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_i^d \leq K_0(\lambda(X) + \nu)$. For this, given a point $x \in A_i \cap X$ and an $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n}M}$, we can divide the cube $C_\varepsilon(x) = C_\varepsilon(z) \times C_\varepsilon(y)$ into $([\varepsilon^{1-(k+\alpha)}] + 1)^p$ boxes $C_\delta(\tilde{z}_i) \times C_\varepsilon(y)$, $\delta < \varepsilon^{k+\alpha}$. If there is some point (z_i, y_i) in $(C_\delta(\tilde{z}_i) \times C_\varepsilon(y)) \cap (A_i \cap X)$, then for any point (z'_i, y'_i) in $(C_\delta(\tilde{z}_1) \times C_\varepsilon(y)) \cap (A_i \cap X)$, we have $|F(z'_i, y'_i) - F(z_i, y_i)| \leq |F(z'_i, y'_i) - F(z_i, y'_i)| + |F(z_i, y'_i) - F(z_i, y_i)| \leq K'\delta + |F(z_i, y'_i) - F(z_i, y_i)|$ (where K' is \sqrt{p} times a Lipschitz constant of $F|_V$ which we may suppose to exist) $\leq K'\delta + |G(z_i, y'_i) - G(z_i, y_i)|$.

Observe now that $(z_i, y_i) = (z_i, \tilde{\psi}_i(p_1))$ and $(z'_i, y'_i) = (z_i, \tilde{\psi}_i(p_2))$, for some p_1, p_2 in $\{z_i\} \times B_i$ with $|p_1 - p_2| \leq |y_i - y'_i| \leq 2\varepsilon\sqrt{n}$. Let $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow V_i \times B_i$ be a straight path joining p_1 and p_2 . Then $G(z_i, y'_i) - G(z_i, y_i) = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial G}{\partial y}(\tilde{\gamma}(t)) \cdot \tilde{\gamma}'(t) dt$, where $\tilde{\gamma} := \psi_i \circ \gamma$. We have $\frac{\partial G}{\partial y}(\tilde{\gamma}(0)) = 0$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{\partial G}{\partial y}(\tilde{\gamma}(t)) \right\| &= \left\| \frac{\partial G}{\partial y}(\tilde{\gamma}(t)) - \frac{\partial G}{\partial y}(\tilde{\gamma}(0)) \right\| \\ &\leq M|p_1 - p_2|^{k+\alpha-1} \\ &\leq M(2\varepsilon\sqrt{n})^{k+\alpha-1} \Rightarrow \left\| \frac{\partial G}{\partial y}(\tilde{\gamma}(t)) \right\| |\gamma'(t)| \\ &\leq K''\varepsilon^{k+\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant K'' . Indeed, $|\tilde{\gamma}'(t)|$ is limited by a constant multiple of $|p_1 - p_2| \leq 2\sqrt{n}\varepsilon$. So

$$|G(z_1, y'_i) - G(z_i, y_i)| \leq \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\partial G}{\partial y}(\tilde{\gamma}(t)) \circ \tilde{\gamma}(t) \right| dt \leq K''\varepsilon^{k+\alpha}$$

and

$$|F(z'_i, y') - F(z_i, y_i)| \leq K'\delta + K''\varepsilon^{k+\alpha} \leq K'_0 \cdot \varepsilon^{k+\alpha},$$

where $K'_0 = K' + K''$.

Therefore, $F(C_\delta(\tilde{z}_i) \times C_\varepsilon(y))$ is contained in some ball $B_{\delta_i}(q_i)$, with $\delta_i \leq K'_0 \cdot \varepsilon^{k+\alpha}$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \delta_i^d &\leq ([\varepsilon^{1-(k+\alpha)}] + 1)^p (K'_0 \varepsilon^{k+\alpha})^d \\ &= (K'_0)^d ([\varepsilon^{1-(k+\alpha)}] + 1)^p (\varepsilon^{k+\alpha})^{p+\frac{n-p}{k+\alpha}} \\ &\leq \tilde{K}_0 \varepsilon^n \end{aligned}$$

for some constant \tilde{K}_0 . So, $F(C_\varepsilon(x))$ can be covered by balls $B_{\delta_i}(q_i)$ with $\sum_i \delta_i^d \leq K_0 \cdot \lambda(C_\varepsilon(x))$, and by the Lemma 3.2, Remarks 3.2 and 3.4, we can conclude that $m_d(F(A_i \cap X)) \leq K_0 \lambda(X)$ where $K_0 = 2^n \tilde{K}_0$, and so we can cover $F(A_i \cap X)$ by balls $B_{\delta_i}(p_i)$ so that $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \delta_i^d \leq K_0(\lambda(X) + \nu)$, as we stated.

We shall prove now that there is an $A'_i \subset A_i \subset V$ with $\lambda(A_i \setminus A'_i) = 0$ such that $F(C_\varepsilon(x) \cap A_i)$ can be covered by balls $B_{\delta_i(\varepsilon)}(W_i)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$

with $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sum_i (\delta_i(\varepsilon))^d}{\lambda(C_\varepsilon(x))} = 0$, $\forall x \in A'_i$. This will imply our theorem, by the Lemma 3.2, Remarks 3.2 and 3.3, since we have proved above that $m_d(F(C_\varepsilon(x) \cap A_i)) \leq K_0 \lambda(C_\varepsilon(x))$, $\forall \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2\sqrt{nM}}$. For this, since $A_i \subset \psi_i(V_i \times B_i)$, $B_i \subset \mathbb{R}^{r_i}$, $r_i \leq n - p$, we may suppose $r_i = n - p$ and ψ_i = identity, because $r_i < n - p \Rightarrow \lambda(A_i) = 0$ and we can take $A'_i = A_i$. Let us take A'_i equal to the set of the density points of A_i . Given a point $x \in A'_i$, and an $\eta' > 0$, we want to find an $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0 \Rightarrow F(C_\varepsilon(x) \cap A_i)$ can be covered by balls $B_{\delta_i(\varepsilon)}(W_i)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_i (\delta_i(\varepsilon))^d \leq \eta' \lambda(C_\varepsilon(x))$. Let $\eta, \tilde{\eta} > 0$, $\tilde{\varepsilon} < \frac{1}{2\sqrt{nM}}$ such that $\frac{\lambda(C_\varepsilon(x) \cap A_i)}{\lambda(C_\varepsilon(x))} > 1 - \tilde{\eta}^2$, $\forall \varepsilon \leq \tilde{\varepsilon}$. Divide the cube $C_\varepsilon(x) = C_\varepsilon(\tilde{z}) \times C_\varepsilon(\tilde{y})$, $\varepsilon < \tilde{\varepsilon}$ into $N = ([\varepsilon^{1-(K+\alpha)}\eta^{-1}] + 1)^p$ boxes $C_\delta(\tilde{z}_i) \times C_\varepsilon(\tilde{y})$, $\delta < \eta \varepsilon^{k+\alpha}$, $1 \leq i \leq N$. Then for at least $(1 - \tilde{\eta})N$ values of i , there is a $z_i \in C_\delta(\tilde{z}_i)$ such that $\lambda(\{y \in C_\varepsilon(\tilde{y}) \mid (z_i, y) \in A_i\}) / \lambda(C_\varepsilon(\tilde{y})) > 1 - \tilde{\eta}$ (here λ is the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^{n-p}), because $\lambda(C_\varepsilon(x) \cap A_i) > (1 - \tilde{\eta}^2)\lambda(C_\varepsilon(x))$.

For such an i , take an y_i such that $(z_i, y_i) \in A_i$. Then, applying Theorem 2.1, Corollaries 2.2 and 2.3, given η we can choose $\tilde{\eta}$ so that $|F(z_i, y) - F(z_i, y_i)| < \eta \cdot \varepsilon^{k+\alpha} \Rightarrow |F(z, y) - F(z_i, y_i)| \leq 2K' \sqrt{n} \cdot \eta \varepsilon^{k+\alpha} + \eta \varepsilon^{k+\alpha} \leq K'' \eta \varepsilon^{k+\alpha}$, for some constant K'' and for any $z \in C_\varepsilon(\tilde{z}_i)$, where K' is a Lipschitz constant for $F \Rightarrow F(C_\delta(\tilde{z}_i) \times C_\varepsilon(\tilde{y}))$ is contained in a ball $B_{\delta_i}(Q_i)$, with $\sum_i \delta_i^d$ over these values of i less than

$$([\varepsilon^{1-(K+\alpha)} \eta^{-1}] + 1)^p (K'' \eta \varepsilon^{k+\alpha})^d = (K'')^d ([\varepsilon^{1-(k+\alpha)} \cdot \eta^{-1}] + 1)^p (\eta \varepsilon^{k+\alpha})^{p+\frac{n-p}{k+\alpha}} \leq \tilde{K}_0 \eta^{\frac{n-p}{k+\alpha}} \cdot \varepsilon^n$$

for some constant \tilde{K}_0 . The union of the remaining (at most $\tilde{\eta}N$) boxes has volume at most $\tilde{\eta} \varepsilon^n \Rightarrow$ the union of the image of the intersection of A_i with the union of these boxes by F is contained in a union of balls $B_{\delta_i}(Q_i)$ with $\sum_i \delta_i^d \leq 2K_0 \tilde{\eta} \varepsilon^n$, by the statement proved before, and so $F(C_\varepsilon(x))$ can be covered by balls $B_{\delta_i}(\tilde{Q}_i)$ with $\sum \delta_i^d \leq (\tilde{K}_0 \eta^{\frac{n-p}{k+\alpha}} + 2K_0 \tilde{\eta}) \varepsilon^n$. Choosing $\eta, \tilde{\eta}$ so small that $\tilde{K}_0 \eta^{\frac{n-p}{k+\alpha}} + 2K_0 \tilde{\eta} \leq \eta'$, we obtain the desired result with $\varepsilon_0 = \tilde{\varepsilon}$. \square

Remark 3.5. In the cases of functions of class C^k ($C^{k+\alpha}$ with $\alpha = 0$) we have the same result. If $k \geq 2$, it follows from the case of class $C^{k-1+(1)}$ of the theorem. If $k = 1$, $p + \frac{n-p}{k+\alpha} = n$, and the proof of the Theorem 3.3 shows that if $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a function and $C(F) = \{x \in U \mid DF(x) \text{ exists and } \text{rank } DF(x) < n\}$ then $m_n(F(C(F))) = 0$, where m_n is the Hausdorff measure of dimension n .

4. Examples

In this section we give some examples which show that the previous results are quite sharp. In all these examples we shall use a certain kind of functions of the real line that we shall describe below.

Definition 4.1. Let $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of real numbers with $0 < \lambda_i < \frac{1}{2}, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$. The central Cantor set K_λ is the Cantor set constructed as follows: We remove from the interval $[0, 1]$ the central open interval $U_{1,1}$ of proportion $1 - 2\lambda_1$, then we remove from the two remaining intervals the central open intervals $U_{2,1}$ and $U_{2,2}$ of proportion $1 - 2\lambda_2$, and so on. After the r -th step of the construction there will remain 2^r intervals of length $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_r$. The intersection of all these sets is the central Cantor set K_λ . The open intervals removed in the r -th step of the construction have length $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_{r-1} (1 - 2\lambda_r)$.

Let $\psi: \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{C^\infty} \mathbb{R}$ be a fixed function such that $\psi(\mathbb{R}) \subset [0, 1]$, $\psi(x) = 0$, $\forall x \leq 0$, $\psi(x) = 1$, $\forall x \geq 1$. Given two central Cantor sets K_λ and K_μ , we construct the function $f_{\lambda, \mu}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as follows: $f_{\lambda, \mu}(x) = 0$, $\forall x \leq 0$, $f_{\lambda, \mu}(x) = 1$, $\forall x \geq 1$, and if $U_{i,j} = (a, b)$ and $v_{i,j} = (c, d)$ are corresponding removed intervals in the constructions of K_λ and K_μ , respectively, we define $f_{\lambda, \mu}(x) = c + (d - c)\psi(\frac{x-a}{b-a})$, $\forall x \in (a, b)$. We extend $f_{\lambda, \mu}$ to K_λ by continuity, obtaining $f_{\lambda, \mu}(K_\lambda) = K_\mu$. It is easy to check that if $g_r := \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \dots \lambda_{r-1} (1 - 2\lambda_r)$ and $\tilde{g}_r := \mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_{r-1} (1 - 2\mu_r)$ satisfy $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{g}_r}{g_r} = 0$ then $f_{\lambda, \mu}$ is C^k (if $k \geq 1$ is an integer). Moreover, if $q > 1$, and $\sup_r \frac{\tilde{g}_r}{g_r} < \infty$ then $f_{\lambda, \mu}$ is $C^{q-1,1}$ if q is integer and is C^q (i.e., it is $C^{[q]+\{q\}}$, where $\{q\} = q - [q] \in (0, 1)$) otherwise. See [BMPV] for more details.

Example 4.1. Let $\lambda_n = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n}$, $\mu_n \equiv a$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{g}_n}{g_n} = 0$, $\forall q < \frac{-\log a}{\log 2}$, and so $f_{\lambda, \mu}$ is C^q , $\forall q < \frac{-\log a}{\log 2}$. On the other hand, $m_d(K_\mu) = 1$ where $d = \frac{-\log 2}{\log a}$ (see [PT]). Moreover, since $a \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{g}_n}{g_n} = 0$, and so $f'_{\lambda, \mu}(x) = 0$, $\forall x \in K_\lambda$. If $F: \mathbb{R}^{n+p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+p}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+p}) \\ = (f_{\lambda, \mu}(x_1), f_{\lambda, \mu}(x_2) \dots, f_{\lambda, \mu}(x_n), x_{n+1} \dots, x_{n+p}), \end{aligned}$$

then

$$F(C_p(F)) = F(K_\lambda \times K_\lambda \times \dots \times K_\lambda \times \mathbb{R}^p) = K_\mu \times K_\mu \times \dots \times K_\mu \times \mathbb{R}^p$$

that is a set with positive $(nd+p)$ -measure. This shows that given $q > 1$, $p > 0$ and $n > p$ there is a map $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $m_d(F(C_p(F))) > 0$, where $d = p + \frac{n-p}{q}$, and F is of class $C^{q'}$ for each $q' < q$.

Remark 4.1. If $a = \frac{1}{2^n}$, $F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = f_{\lambda, \mu}(x_1) + 2f_{\lambda, \mu}(x_2) + \dots + 2^{n-1}f_{\lambda, \mu}(x_n)$ gives an example of a function $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is of class C^q , $\forall q < n$ ($q \in \mathbb{R}$) such that $F(\mathbb{R}^n)$ contains an open set, since $K_\mu + 2K_\mu + \dots + 2^{n-1}K_\mu = [0, 2^n - 1]$, which can be proved easily using representation in basis 2^n .

Example 4.2. Let $\lambda_n = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{3n^2}$, $\mu_n = a - \frac{a}{3n}$, $a \in (0, 1/2]$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\tilde{g}_n}{g_n} = 0$, where $q = \frac{-\log a}{\log 2}$, and so $f_{\lambda, \mu}$ is C^q . On the other hand we have $HD(K_\mu) \geq \frac{-\log 2}{\log a}$. Indeed, if $b < a$ and $\theta_n \equiv b$, $f_{\mu, \theta}$ is clearly C^1 , and $f_{\mu, \theta}(K_\mu) = K_\theta \Rightarrow HD(K_\mu) \geq HD(K_\theta) = \frac{-\log 2}{\log b}$, $\forall b < a$. If

$F: \mathbb{R}^{n+p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+p}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+p}) \\ = (f_{\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu}}(x_1), \dots, f_{\underline{\lambda}, \underline{\mu}}(x_n), x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+p}) \end{aligned}$$

then

$$F(C_p(F)) = K_{\underline{\mu}} \times \dots \times K_{\underline{\mu}} \times \mathbb{R}^p,$$

that is a set with Hausdorff dimension $nd + p$, where $d = \frac{-\log 2}{\log a}$. This shows that given $q \geq 1$, $p > 0$ and $n > p$ there is a map $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $HD(F(C_p(F))) = p + \frac{n-p}{q}$, and F is of class C^q .

References

- [BMPV] R. BAMÓN, C. G. MOREIRA, S. PLAZA AND J. VERA, Differentiable structures of central Cantor sets, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* **17**(5) (1997), 1027–1042.
- [B1] S. M. BATES, On the image size of singular maps. II, *Duke Math. J.* **68**(3) (1992), 463–476.
- [B2] S. M. BATES, Toward a precise smoothness hypothesis in Sard's theorem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **117**(1) (1993), 279–283.
- [Ch1] P. T. CHURCH, Differentiable open maps on manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **109** (1963), 87–100.
- [Ch2] P. T. CHURCH, On points of Jacobian rank k . II, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (1965), 1035–1038.
- [Co] A. CONSTANTIN, Critical values of differentiable maps, *Exposition. Math.* **14**(1) (1996), 93–95.
- [F] H. FEDERER, “*Geometric measure theory*”, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **153**, Springer-Verlag New York Inc., New York, 1969.
- [M] A. P. MORSE, The behavior of a function on its critical set, *Ann. of Math.* **40** (1939), 62–70.
- [PT] J. PALIS AND F. TAKENS, “*Hyperbolicity and sensitive chaotic dynamics at homoclinic bifurcations*”, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **35**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1993.
- [S1] A. SARD, The measure of the critical values of differentiable maps, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **48** (1942), 883–890.
- [S2] A. SARD, Hausdorff measure of critical images on Banach manifolds, *Amer. J. Math.* **87** (1965), 158–174.

- [Y] Y. YOMDIN, The geometry of critical and near-critical values of differentiable mappings, *Math. Ann.* **264**(4) (1983), 495–515.

IMPA

Estrada Dona Castorina, 110
22460–320, Rio de Janeiro, RJ
Brasil

E-mail address: `gugu@impa.br`

Primera versió rebuda el 24 d'abril de 2000,
darrera versió rebuda el 17 d'octubre de 2000.