

# APPROXIMATION PROPERTIES OF THE PICARD SINGULAR INTEGRAL IN EXPONENTIAL WEIGHTED SPACES

A. LEŚNIEWICZ, L. REMPULSKA AND J. WASIAK

*Abstract*

---

In this note we give some direct and inverse approximation theorems for the Picard singular integral in the exponential weighted spaces and some generalized Hölder spaces.

---

## 1. Preliminaries

### 1.1. The Picard singular integral

$$(1) \quad P_r(f; x) := \frac{1}{2r} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x+t) \exp\left(-\frac{|t|}{r}\right) dt,$$

$x \in \mathbb{R} := (-\infty, +\infty)$ ,  $r > 0$  and  $r \rightarrow 0_+$ , was examined in [1], [2], [4] for functions belonging to the space  $L^p$  and the classical Hölder spaces.

The purpose of this note is to give some approximation properties of the Picard integral (1) in the exponential weighted spaces  $L^{p,q}$  and some generalized Hölder spaces [5].

### 1.2. Let $q > 0$ be a fixed number and let

$$(2) \quad w_q(x) := e^{-q|x|}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For a fixed  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $q > 0$  we denote by  $L^{p,q}$  the set of all real-valued functions  $f$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  for which the  $p$ -th power of  $w_q f$  is Lebesgue-integrable on  $\mathbb{R}$  if  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and  $w_q f$  is uniformly continuous and bounded on  $\mathbb{R}$  if  $p = \infty$ . Let the norm in  $L^{p,q}$  be given by the formula

$$(3) \quad \|f\|_{p,q} \equiv \|f(\cdot)\|_{p,q} := \begin{cases} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |w_q(x)f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty, \\ \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} w_q(x)|f(x)| & \text{if } p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

For  $f \in L^{p,q}$  we define the modulus of smoothness of the order 2

$$(4) \quad \omega_2(f, L^{p,q}; t) := \sup_{|h| \leq t} \|\Delta_h^2 f(\cdot)\|_{p,q}, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where

$$(5) \quad \Delta_h^2 f(x) := f(x+h) + f(x-h) - 2f(x), \quad x, h \in \mathbb{R}.$$

From (3)-(5) for  $f \in L^{p,q}$  follows

$$(6) \quad \|f(\cdot + h)\|_{p,q} \leq e^{q|h|} \|f(\cdot)\|_{p,q}, \quad h \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$(7) \quad 0 = \omega_2(f, L^{p,q}; 0) \leq \omega_2(f, L^{p,q}; t_1) \leq \omega_2(f, L^{p,q}; t_2) \text{ if } 0 < t_1 < t_2.$$

Using the identity (see [3, pp. 25–29])

$$\Delta_{nh}^2 f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n k \Delta_h^2 f(x - (n-k)h) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (n-k) \Delta_h^2 f(x + kh),$$

$x, h \in \mathbb{R}; n = 2, 3, \dots$ , and by (2)-(6) we can prove that

$$\omega_2(f, L^{p,q}; nt) \leq n^2 e^{(n-1)qt} \omega_2(f, L^{p,q}; t) \text{ for } n = 1, 2, \dots \text{ and } t \geq 0$$

and

$$\omega_2(f, L^{p,q}; \lambda t) \leq (\lambda + 1)^2 e^{\lambda qt} \omega_2(f, L^{p,q}; t) \text{ for } \lambda, t \geq 0.$$

**1.3.** Denote as in [5] by  $\Omega^2$  the set of all functions of order 2 modulus of smoothness type ([6]), i.e.,  $\Omega^2$  is the set of all functions  $\omega$  satisfying the following conditions

- (i)  $\omega$  is defined and continuous on  $[0, +\infty)$ ,
- (ii)  $\omega$  is increasing and  $\omega(0) = 0$ ,
- (iii)  $\omega(t) t^{-2}$  is decreasing on  $[0, +\infty)$ .

As in [5], for a given  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $q > 0$  and  $\omega \in \Omega^2$ , we define the generalized Hölder space  $L^{p,q,\omega}$  of all functions  $f \in L^{p,q}$  for which the quantity

$$(9) \quad \|f\|_{p,q,\omega}^* := \sup_{0 < h \leq 1} \left\{ \frac{\|\Delta_h^2 f(\cdot)\|_{p,q}}{\omega(h)} \right\}$$

is finite. The norm in  $L^{p,q,\omega}$  is defined by

$$(10) \quad \|f\|_{p,q,\omega} := \|f\|_{p,q} + \|f\|_{p,q,\omega}^*.$$

For  $f \in L^{p,q,\omega}$  we have

$$(11) \quad \omega_2(f, L^{p,q}; t) \leq \|f\|_{p,q,\omega}^* \omega(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

If  $\omega, \mu \in \Omega^2$  and the function

$$(12) \quad \varphi(t) := \frac{\omega(t)}{\mu(t)}, \quad t > 0,$$

is increasing, then for a fixed  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $q > 0$  we have  $L^{p,q,\omega} \subset L^{p,q,\mu}$ .

It is easy to observe that for every  $\omega \in \Omega^2$  there exist two positive constants  $M_1, M_2$  such that

$$(13) \quad M_1 t^2 \leq \omega(t) \leq M_2 t^2 \int_t^1 \omega(z) z^{-3} dz \text{ for all } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

In this note we shall study the limit properties of the Picard integral (1) for functions belonging to the spaces  $L^{p,q}$  and  $L^{p,q,\omega}$ . We first notice that for each  $r$ ,  $P_r$ , as given by (1), is well defined on all functions  $f \in L^{p,q}$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $q > 0$ , provided  $r$  is small enough, i.e.,  $0 < r < \frac{1}{q}$ . It is then a linear positive operator. In Section 2 we shall prove that, for a given  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $q > 0$  and  $0 < r < \frac{1}{q}$ ,  $P_r$  is an operator from  $L^{p,q}$  into  $L^{p,q}$ . Moreover, we shall prove that, for a given  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $q > 0$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega^2$  and  $0 < r < \frac{1}{q}$ ,  $P_r$  is an operator from  $L^{p,q,\omega}$  into  $L^{p,q,\omega}$ .

## 2. Auxiliary results

In this part we shall give some fundamental properties of the Picard integral  $P_r$  in the spaces  $L^{p,q}$  and  $L^{p,q,\omega}$ .

It is easy to verify that holds.

**Lemma 1.** For  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $y > 0$  we have

$$\int_0^{+\infty} t^k \exp(-yt) dt = k! y^{-k-1}.$$

In what follows, for a given  $q > 0$ , we shall denote by  $r_0 \equiv r_0(q)$  a fixed number such that

$$(14) \quad 0 < r_0 < \frac{1}{q}.$$

**Lemma 2.** For every fixed  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $q > 0$ , the Picard integral  $P_r$  is an operator from  $L^{p,q}$  into  $L^{p,q}$  provided that  $0 < r < \frac{1}{q}$ . Moreover,

$$(15) \quad \|P_r(f; \cdot)\|_{p,q} \leq \frac{1}{1 - r_0 q} \|f\|_{p,q} \text{ for } 0 < r \leq r_0,$$

where  $r_0$  is given by (14).

*Proof:* We shall prove only (15).

If  $f \in L^{\infty,q}$ ,  $q > 0$ , then by (1)-(3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_q(x) |P_r(f; x)| &\leq \|f\|_{\infty,q} e^{-q|x|} (2r)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{q|x+t| - \frac{|t|}{r}} dt \\ &\leq \|f\|_{\infty,q} r^{-1} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{t(q - \frac{1}{r})} dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

and further for  $0 < r \leq r_0 < \frac{1}{q}$  we get by Lemma 1

$$\|P_r(f; \cdot)\|_{\infty,q} \leq \|f\|_{\infty,q} \frac{1}{1 - r_0 q} \leq \frac{1}{1 - r_0 q} \|f\|_{\infty,q}.$$

Similarly, if  $f \in L^{p,q}$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $q > 0$ , then by (1)-(3) and the generalized Minkowski inequality we get

$$\|P_r(f; \cdot)\|_{p,q} \leq \|f\|_{p,q} (2r)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{|t|(q - \frac{1}{r})} dt,$$

which by Lemma 1 implies (15) for  $r \in (0, r_0]$ . Thus the proof of (15) is completed. ■

**Lemma 3.** Let  $L^{p,q,\omega}$  be a given Hölder space ( $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $q > 0$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega^2$ ) and let  $r_0$  be given by (14). Then for every  $f \in L^{p,q,\omega}$  and  $r \in (0, r_0]$  holds

$$(16) \quad \|P_r(f; \cdot)\|_{p,q,\omega} \leq \frac{1}{1 - r_0 q} \|f\|_{p,q,\omega},$$

which proves that  $P_r$ ,  $0 < r < \frac{1}{q}$ , is an operator from  $L^{p,q,\omega}$  into  $L^{p,q,\omega}$ .

*Proof:* From (1) and (5) follows

$$(17) \quad \Delta_h^2 P_r(f; x) = P_r(\Delta_h^2 f; x)$$

for all  $x, h \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 < r < \frac{1}{q}$ . Hence and by (9) and (15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (18) \quad \|P_r(f; \cdot)\|_{p,q,\omega}^* &:= \sup_{0 < h \leq 1} \left\{ \frac{\|P_r(\Delta_h^2 f; \cdot)\|_{p,q}}{\omega(h)} \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - r_0 q} \sup_{0 < h \leq 1} \left\{ \frac{\|\Delta_h^2 f; (\cdot)\|_{p,q}}{\omega(h)} \right\} = \frac{1}{1 - r_0 q} \|f\|_{p,q,\omega}^* \end{aligned}$$

for all  $0 < r \leq r_0 < \frac{1}{q}$ . Combining (15), (18) and (10), we obtain (16) and we complete the proof. ■

**Lemma 4.** Suppose that  $f \in L^{p,q}$  with some  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $q > 0$ , and  $r_0$  is given by (14). Then

$$(19) \quad P_{r_1}(P_{r_2}(f); x) = P_{r_2}(P_{r_1}(f); x) \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad r_1, r_2 \in \left(0, \frac{1}{q}\right).$$

Moreover, for every fixed  $r \in \left(0, \frac{1}{q}\right)$  the function  $P_r(f; \cdot)$  has derivatives of all orders belonging also to  $L^{p,q}$  and

$$(20) \quad \|P_r^{(n)}(f; \cdot)\|_{p,q} \leq (1 - r_0 q)^{-1} r^{-n} \|f\|_{p,q}$$

for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $r \in (0, r_0]$ .

*Proof:* For  $f \in L^{p,q}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $0 < r < \frac{1}{q}$  we get from (1)

$$P_r^{(n)}(f; x) = r^{-n} P_r(f; x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This fact and Lemma 2 imply (20). The equality (19) we immediately obtain from (1) and Lemma 2. ■

**Lemma 5.** Suppose that the assumption of Lemma 4 is satisfied. Then

$$(21) \quad \|\Delta_h^2 P_r(f; \cdot)\|_{p,q} \leq \frac{1}{1 - r_0 q} \|f\|_{p,q} r^{-2} h^2 e^{q|h|}$$

for all  $r \in (0, r_0]$  and  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof:* By Lemma 4 and (5) for  $x, h \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 < r < \frac{1}{q}$  we can write

$$\Delta_h^2 P_r(f; x) = \int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} \int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} P_r''(f; x + t_1 + t_2) dt_1 dt_2.$$

Now arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta_h^2 P_r(f; x)\|_{p,q} &= \|P_r''(f; \cdot)\|_{p,q} \int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} \int_{-\frac{h}{2}}^{\frac{h}{2}} e^{q|t_1+t_2|} dt_1 dt_2 \\ &\leq \|P_r''(f; \cdot)\|_{p,q} h^2 e^{q|h|}, \end{aligned}$$

which by (20) yields the desired inequality (21). ■

### 3. Approximation theorems

**3.1.** First we shall prove a direct approximation theorem for functions belonging to  $L^{p,q}$ .

**Theorem 1.** *If  $f \in L^{p,q}$  with some  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $q > 0$ , and if  $r_0$  is given by (14), then*

$$(22) \quad \|P_r(f; \cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_{p,q} \leq \frac{5}{2}(1 - r_0 q)^{-3} \omega_2(f, L^{p,q}; r)$$

for all  $r \in (0, r_0]$ .

*Proof:* From (1), Lemma 1 and (5) follows

$$P_r(f; x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{2r} \int_0^{+\infty} (\Delta_t^2 f(x)) e^{-\frac{t}{r}} dt$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $r \in (0, \frac{1}{q})$ . Further, by some calculations as in the proof of Lemma 2 and by (4) and (8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_r(f) - f\|_{p,q} &\leq \frac{1}{2r} \int_0^{+\infty} \|\Delta_t^2 f(\cdot)\|_{p,q} e^{-\frac{t}{r}} dt \leq \frac{1}{2r} \int_0^{+\infty} \omega_2(f, L^{p,q}; t) e^{-\frac{t}{r}} dt \\ &\leq \omega_2(f, L^{p,q}; r) \frac{1}{2r} \int_0^{+\infty} \left(\frac{t}{r} + 1\right)^2 e^{-(\frac{1}{r}-q)t} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now using Lemma 1, we easily obtain (22).

Theorem 1 and (11) imply the following

**Corollary 1.** *If  $f \in L^{p,q,\omega}$  with some fixed  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $q > 0$  and  $\omega \in \Omega^2$ , then*

$$\|P_r(f; \cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_{p,q} \leq \frac{5}{2}(1 - r_0 q)^{-3} \|f\|_{p,q,\omega}^* \omega(r)$$

for all  $r \in (0, 1] \cap (0, r_0]$ , where  $0 < r_0 < \frac{1}{q}$ .

In particular, if  $\omega(t) = t^\alpha$  with some  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ , then

$$\|P_r(f; \cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_{p,q} = O(r^\alpha) \text{ as } r \rightarrow 0_+.$$

**3.2.** Using the Bernstein method ([6, p. 345]) we shall prove an inverse approximation theorem for the Picard integral  $P_r$ .

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that  $f \in L^{p,q}$  with some  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ,  $q > 0$  and

$$(23) \quad \|P_r(f; \cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_{p,q} \leq \omega(r) \text{ for } (0, r_0],$$

where  $\omega$  is a given function belonging to  $\Omega^2$  and  $0 < r_0 < \frac{1}{q}$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $M$  depending only on  $p, q, \omega, r_0$  and  $\|f\|_{p,q}$  such that

$$(24) \quad \omega_2(f, L^{p,q}; t) \leq Mt^2 \int_t^1 \omega(z) z^{-3} dz$$

for all  $t \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \cap (0, \frac{1}{q})$ .

*Proof:* Choosing two natural numbers  $n_0$  and  $n$  such that  $0 < 2^{-n} < 2^{-n_0} < \frac{1}{q}$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= P_{2^{-n_0}}(f; x) + \sum_{k=n_0}^{n-1} \{P_{2^{-k-1}}(f; x) - P_{2^{-k}}(f; x)\} \\ &\quad + f(x) - P_{2^{-n}}(f; x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

and further by (5)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_h^2 f(x) &= \Delta_h^2 P_{2^{-n_0}}(f; x) + \sum_{k=n_0}^{n-1} \Delta_h^2 \{P_{2^{-k-1}}(f; x) - P_{2^{-k}}(f; x)\} \\ &\quad + \Delta_h^2 \{f(x) - P_{2^{-n}}(f; x)\}, \quad x, h \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 5, we get

$$\|\Delta_h^2 P_{2^{-n_0}}(f; \cdot)\|_{p,q} \leq (1 - r_0 q)^{-1} \|f\|_{p,q} 2^{2n_0} h^2 e^{q|h|}.$$

From (1), (5) and (19) follows

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_h^2 \{P_{2^{-k-1}}(f; x) - P_{2^{-k}}(f; x)\} \\ = \Delta_h^2 P_{2^{-k-1}}(f - P_{2^{-k}}(f); x) + \Delta_h^2 P_{2^{-k}}(P_{2^{-k-1}}(f) - f; x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence using Lemma 5 and (23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\Delta_h^2 \{P_{2^{-k-1}}(f; \cdot) - P_{2^{-k}}(f; \cdot)\}\|_{p,q} \\ &\leq \|\Delta_h^2 P_{2^{-k-1}}(f - P_{2^{-k}}(f); \cdot)\|_{p,q} + \|\Delta_h^2 P_{2^{-k}}(P_{2^{-k-1}}(f) - f; \cdot)\|_{p,q} \\ &\leq (1 - r_0 q)^{-1} e^{q|h|} h^2 \{2^{2k+2} \|f - P_{2^{-k}}(f)\|_{p,q} + 2^{2k} \|f - P_{2^{-k-1}}(f)\|_{p,q}\} \\ &\leq (1 - r_0 q)^{-1} e^{q|h|} h^2 2^{2k+2} \omega(2^{-k}) \text{ for } h \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

By (5), (6) and (23) we have for  $h \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\Delta_h^2 \{f(\cdot) - P_{2^{-n}}(f; \cdot)\}\|_{p,q} \\ & \leq \|f(\cdot + h) - P_{2^{-n}}(f; \cdot + h)\|_{p,q} + \|f(\cdot - h) - P_{2^{-n}}(f; \cdot - h)\|_{p,q} \\ & + 2\|f(\cdot) - P_{2^{-n}}(f; \cdot)\|_{p,q} \leq 2(e^{q|h|} + 1)\omega(2^{-n}). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$(25) \quad \|\Delta_h^2 f(\cdot)\|_{p,q} \leq e^{q|h|} \left\{ 4^{n_0} (1 - r_0 q)^{-1} h^2 \|f\|_{p,q} + (1 - r_0 q)^{-1} h^2 \sum_{k=n_0}^{n-1} 2^{2k+3} \omega(2^{-k}) + 4\omega(2^{-n}) \right\}$$

for all  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ . Now let  $t \in (0, \frac{1}{2}) \cap (0, \frac{1}{q})$ ,  $|h| \leq t$ ,  $n_0 < n$  and let  $n$  be a natural number such that  $2^{-n} \leq t < 2^{-n+1}$ . Then we get from (25)

$$\omega_2(f, L^{p,q}; t) \leq M_1 \left\{ t^2 + t^2 \sum_{k=n_0}^{n-1} 2^{2k+3} \omega(2^{-k}) + \omega(t) \right\},$$

where  $M_1 = e \{ 4^{n_0} (1 - r_0 q)^{-1} \|f\|_{p,q} + (1 - r_0 q)^{-1} + 4 \}$ . Since  $\omega(s)s^{-2}$  is decreasing for  $s > 0$ , we obtain

$$\sum_{k=n_0}^{n-1} 2^{2k} \omega(2^{-k}) \leq \int_{n_0}^n 2^{2s} \omega(2^{-s}) ds \leq \left( \frac{4}{\ln 2} \right) \int_t^1 \frac{\omega(z)}{z^3} dz.$$

Collecting the above estimates and using (13), we obtain the desired inequality (24). ■

From Theorem 2 we derive the following

**Corollary 2.** *If the assumptions of Theorem 2 are satisfied and  $\omega(t) \leq M t^\alpha$ ,  $t > 0$ , with some  $m > 0$  and  $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ , then*

$$\omega_2(f, L^{p,q}; t) = \begin{cases} O(t^\alpha) & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 2, \\ O(t^2 |\ln t|) & \text{if } \alpha = 2, \end{cases} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0_+.$$

**3.3.** Now we shall give an analogue of Theorem 1 in the Hölder norm.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that  $\omega, \mu \in \Omega^2$  and the function  $\varphi$  defined by (12) is increasing. If  $f \in L^{p,q,\omega}$  with some  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $q > 0$  and if  $r_0$  is given by (14), then there exists a positive constant  $M$  depending only on  $p, q, r_0, \mu$  such that

$$(26) \quad \|P_r(f; \cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_{p,q,\mu} \leq M \|f\|_{p,q,\omega}^* \varphi(r)$$

for all  $r \in (0, r_0] \cap (0, 1]$ .

*Proof:* The assumptions on  $\omega, \mu$  and  $\varphi$  imply that  $f, P_r(f) \in L^{p,q,\mu}$  if  $r \in (0, \frac{1}{q})$ .

Let  $r$  be a fixed point in  $(0, r_0] \cap (0, 1]$  and let  $A = (0, r]$  and  $B = (r, 1]$ . Then by (9) and (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_r(f; \cdot)\|_{p,q,\mu} &\leq \|P_r(f; \cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_{p,q} + \sup_{h \in A} \frac{\|\Delta_h^2 [P_r(f; \cdot) - f(\cdot)]\|_{p,q}}{\mu(h)} \\ &\quad + \sup_{h \in B} \frac{\|\Delta_h^2 [P_r(f; \cdot) - f(\cdot)]\|_{p,q}}{\mu(h)} := Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3. \end{aligned}$$

Using Corollary 1 and by the properties (i), (ii) of functions belonging to  $\Omega^2$ , we get

$$Y_1 \leq \frac{5}{2} (1 - r_0 q)^{-3} \|f\|_{p,q,\omega}^* \omega(r) \leq \frac{5}{2} (1 - r_0 q)^{-3} \mu(r_0) \|f\|_{p,q,\omega}^* \varphi(r).$$

By (6) and Corollary 1,

$$Y_3 = \frac{1}{\mu(r)} \sup_{h \in B} 4e^{qh} \|P_r(f; \cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_{p,q} \leq 10e^q (1 - r_0 q)^{-3} \|f\|_{p,q,\omega}^* \varphi(r).$$

In view of (5), (17) and (15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Y_2 &\leq \sup_{h \in A} \frac{\|\Delta_h^2 P_r(f; \cdot)\|_{p,q} + \|\Delta_h^2 f(\cdot)\|_{p,q}}{\mu(h)} \leq \sup_{h \in A} \frac{\|P_r(\Delta_h^2 f; \cdot)\|_{p,q} + \|\Delta_h^2 f(\cdot)\|_{p,q}}{\mu(h)} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{1}{1 - r_0 q} + 1 \right) \sup_{h \in A} \varphi(h) \frac{\|\Delta_h^2 f\|_{p,q}}{\omega(h)} \leq \left( \frac{1}{1 - r_0 q} + 1 \right) \varphi(r) \|f\|_{p,q,\omega}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing, we obtain (26). ■

From Theorem 3 follows

**Corollary 3.** Let  $\omega(t) = t^\alpha$ ,  $\mu(t) = t^\beta$  for  $t > 0$  and let  $0 < \beta < \alpha \leq 2$ . If  $f \in L^{p,q,\omega}$  with some  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $q > 0$ , then

$$\|P_r(f; \cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_{p,q,\mu} = O(r^{\alpha-\beta}) \text{ as } r \rightarrow 0_+.$$

### References

1. P. L. BUTZER AND R. J. NESSEL, “*Fourier Analysis and Approximation*,” I, II, Birkhäuser, Basel and Academic Press, New York, 1971.
2. M. GÓRZEŃSKA AND L. REMPULSKA, On the limit properties of the Picard singular integral, *Publications de l’Institut Mathématique* **55(69)** (1994), 39–46.
3. I. I. IBRAGIMOV, “*Theory of Approximation by Entire Functions*,” in Russian, Baku, 1979.
4. R. N. MOHAPATRA AND R. S. RODRÍGUEZ, On the rate convergence of singular integrals for Hölder continuous functions, *Math. Nachr.* **149** (1990), 117–124.
5. J. PRESTIN AND S. PRÖSSDORF, Error estimates in generalized trigonometric Hölder-Zygmund norms, *Z. Anal. Anwend.* **9(4)** (1990), 343–349.
6. A. F. TIMAN, “*Theory of Approximation of Functions of a Real Variable*,” in Russian, Moscow, 1960.

*Keywords.* Picard singular integral, approximation theorem, Hölder space.

1991 *Mathematics subject classifications:* 41A25

Institute of Mathematics  
Poznań University of Technology  
Piotrowo 3A  
60-965 Poznań  
POLAND

Primera versió rebuda el 2 de Juny de 1995,  
darrera versió rebuda el 10 de Gener de 1996