

## OSCILLATION OF SOLUTIONS OF SOME NONLINEAR DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS

ZDZISŁAW SZAFRAŃSKI AND BŁAŻEJ SZMANDA

*Abstract*

---

Sufficient conditions for the oscillation of some nonlinear difference equations are established.

---

### 1. Introduction

In this note we consider the nonlinear difference equation of the form

$$(1) \quad \Delta(r_n \Delta x_n) + q_n f(x_{n-\tau_n}) = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $\Delta$  denotes the forward difference operator:  $\Delta v_n = v_{n+1} - v_n$  for any sequence  $(v_n)$  of real numbers;  $(q_n)$  is a sequence of real numbers,  $(\tau_n)$  is a sequence of integers such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n - \tau_n) = \infty,$$

$(r_n)$  is a sequence of positive numbers and

$$R_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r_k} \rightarrow \infty, \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$f : R \rightarrow R$  is a continuous with  $u f(u) > 0$  ( $u \neq 0$ ).

By a solution of Equation (1) we mean a sequence  $(x_n)$  which is defined for

$$n \geq \min_{i \geq 0} (i - \tau_i)$$

and satisfies Equation (1) for all large  $n$ .

A nontrivial solution  $(x_n)$  of (1) is said to be oscillatory if for every  $n_0 > 0$  there exists an  $n \geq n_0$  such  $x_n x_{n+1} \leq 0$ . Otherwise it is called nonoscillatory.

In several recent papers the oscillatory behaviour of solutions of nonlinear difference equations have been discussed e.g. see [1]-[6].

Our purpose in this paper is to give the sufficient conditions for the oscillation of solutions of Equation (1). The results obtained here extend those in [6].

## 2. Main results

**Theorem 1.** *Assume that*

- (i)  $q_n \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q_n = \infty$ ,
- (ii)  $\lim_{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \inf |f(u)| > 0$ .

*Then every solution of Equation (1) is oscillatory.*

*Proof:* Assume, that Equation (1) has nonoscillatory solution  $(x_n)$ , and we assume that  $(x_n)$  is eventually positive. Then there is a positive integer  $n_0$  such that

$$(2) \quad x_{n-\tau_n} > 0 \text{ for } n \geq n_0.$$

From the Equation (1) we have

$$\Delta(r_n \Delta x_n) = -q_n f(x_{n-\tau_n}) \leq 0, \quad n \geq n_0,$$

and so  $(r_n \Delta x_n)$  is an eventually nonincreasing sequence. We first show that

$$r_n \Delta x_n \geq 0 \text{ for } n \geq n_0.$$

In fact, if there is an  $n_1 \geq n_0$  such that  $r_{n_1} \Delta x_{n_1} = c < 0$  and  $r_n \Delta x_n \leq c$  for  $n \geq n_1$  that is

$$\Delta x_n \leq \frac{c}{r_n}$$

and hence

$$x_n \leq x_{n_1} + c \sum_{k=n_1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{r_k} \rightarrow -\infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

which contradicts the fact that  $x_n > 0$  for  $n \geq n_1$ . Hence  $r_n \Delta x_n \geq 0$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . Therefore we obtain

$$x_{n-\tau_n} > 0, \quad \Delta x_n \geq 0, \quad \Delta(r_n \Delta x_n) \leq 0 \text{ for } n \geq n_0.$$

Let

$$L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n.$$

Then  $L > 0$  is finite or infinite.

*Case 1.*  $L > 0$  is finite.

From the continuity of function  $f(u)$  we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{n-\tau_n}) = f(L) > 0.$$

Thus, we may choose a positive integer  $n_3 (\geq n_0)$  such that

$$(3) \quad f(x_{n-\tau_n}) > \frac{1}{2}f(L) \quad n \geq n_3.$$

By substituting (3) into Equation (1) we obtain

$$(4) \quad \Delta(r_n \Delta x_n) + \frac{1}{2}f(L)q_n \leq 0, \quad n \geq n_3.$$

Summing up both sides of (4) from  $n_3$  to  $n (\geq n_3)$ , we obtain

$$r_{n+1} \Delta x_{n+1} - r_{n_3} \Delta x_{n_3} + \frac{1}{2}f(L) \sum_{i=n_3}^n q_i \leq 0$$

and so

$$\frac{1}{2}f(L) \sum_{i=n_3}^n q_i \leq r_{n_3} \Delta x_{n_3}, \quad n \geq n_3,$$

which contradicts (i).

*Case 2.  $L = \infty$ .*

For this case, from the condition (ii) we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{n-\tau_n}) > 0$$

and so we may choose a positive constant  $c$  and a positive integer  $n_4$  sufficiently large such that

$$(5) \quad f(x_{n-\tau_n}) \geq c \text{ for } n \geq n_4.$$

Substituting (5) into Equation (1) we have

$$\Delta(r_n \Delta x_n) + cq_n \leq 0, \quad n \leq n_4.$$

Using the similar argument as that of Case 1 we may obtain a contradiction to the condition (i). This completes the proof. ■

**Theorem 2.** *Assume, that*

(iii)  $q_n \geq 0$  and  $\sum^{\infty} R_n q_n = \infty$ ,

then every bounded solution of (1) is oscillatory.

*Proof:* Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 1 with assumption that  $(x_n)$  is a bounded nonoscillatory solution of (1) we get the inequality (4) and so we obtain

$$(6) \quad R_n \Delta(r_n \Delta x_n) + \frac{1}{2} f(L) R_n q_n \leq 0, \quad n \geq n_3.$$

It is easy to see that

$$(7) \quad R_n \Delta(r_n \Delta x_n) \geq \Delta(R_n r_n \Delta x_n) - r_n \Delta x_n \Delta R_n.$$

From inequalities (6) and (7) we deduce

$$\sum_{k=n_3}^n \Delta(R_k r_k \Delta x_k) - \sum_{k=n_3}^n \Delta x_k + \frac{1}{2} f(L) \sum_{k=n_3}^n R_k q_k \leq 0 \quad n \geq n_3,$$

which implies

$$\frac{1}{2} f(L) \sum_{k=n_3}^n R_k q_k \leq x_{n+1} + R_{n_3} r_{n_3} \Delta x_{n_3} - x_{n_3}, \quad n \geq n_3.$$

Hence there exists a constant  $c$  such that

$$\sum_{k=n_3}^n R_k q_k \leq c \text{ for all } n \geq n_3,$$

contrary to the assumption of the theorem. ■

**Theorem 3.** *Assume that*

- (iv)  $(n - \tau_n)$  is nondecreasing, where  $\tau_n \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ ,
- (v) there is a subsequence of  $(r_n)$ , say  $(r_{n_k})$  such that  $r_{n_k} \leq 1$  for  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,
- (vi)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n = \infty$ ,
- (vii)  $f$  is nondecreasing and there is a nonnegative constant  $M$  such that

$$(8) \quad \limsup_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{u}{f(u)} = M.$$

Then the difference  $(\Delta x_n)$  of every solution  $(x_n)$  of Equation (1) oscillates.

*Proof:* If not, then Equation (1) has a solution  $(x_n)$  such that its difference  $(\Delta x_n)$  is nonoscillatory. Assume first that the sequence  $(\Delta x_n)$  is eventually negative. Then there is a positive integer  $n_0$  such that

$$\Delta x_n < 0 \quad n > n_0$$

and so  $(x_n)$  is decreasing for  $n \geq n_0$  which implies that  $(x_n)$  is also nonoscillatory. Set

$$(9) \quad w_n = \frac{r_n \Delta x_n}{f(x_{n-\tau_n})}, \quad n \geq n_1 \geq n_0.$$

Then

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta w_n &= \frac{r_{n+1} \Delta x_{n+1}}{f(x_{n+1-\tau_{n+1}})} - \frac{r_n \Delta x_n}{f(x_{n-\tau_n})} \\ &= \frac{\Delta(r_n \Delta x_n)}{f(x_{n-\tau_n})} + r_{n+1} \Delta x_{n+1} \frac{f(x_{n-\tau_n}) - f(x_{n+1-\tau_{n+1}})}{f(x_{n+1-\tau_{n+1}})f(x_{n-\tau_n})} \\ &\leq \frac{\Delta(r_n \Delta x_n)}{f(x_{n-\tau_n})} = -q_n, \quad n \geq n_1. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up both sides of (10) from  $n_1$  to  $n$ , we have

$$w_{n+1} - w_{n_1} \leq - \sum_{i=n_1}^n q_i$$

and, by (vi), we get

$$(11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n = -\infty,$$

which implies that eventually

$$(12) \quad f(x_{n-\tau_n}) > 0 \text{ and therefore } x_{n-\tau_n} > 0.$$

By (11), we can choose  $n_2 (\geq n_1)$  such that

$$w_n \leq -(M + 1), \quad n \geq n_2.$$

That is

$$(13) \quad r_n \Delta x_n + (M + 1)f(x_{n-\tau_n}) \leq 0, \quad n \geq n_2.$$

Set

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = L.$$

Then  $L \geq 0$ . Now we prove that  $L = 0$ . If  $L > 0$ , then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{n-\tau_n}) = f(L) > 0,$$

by the continuity of  $f(u)$ . Choosing an  $n_3$  sufficiently large, such that

$$(14) \quad f(x_{n-\tau_n}) > \frac{1}{2}f(L), \quad n \geq n_3$$

and substituting (14) into (13), we have

$$(15) \quad \Delta x_n + \frac{1}{2r_n}(M+1)f(L) \leq 0, \quad n \geq n_3.$$

Summing up both sides of (15) from  $n_3$  to  $n$  we get

$$x_{n+1} - x_{n_3} + \frac{1}{2}(M+1)f(L) \sum_{i=n_3}^n \frac{1}{r_i} \leq 0$$

which implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = -\infty.$$

This contradicts (12). Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0.$$

By the assumptions we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{x_{n-\tau_n}}{f(x_{n-\tau_n})} \leq M.$$

From this we can choose  $n_4$ , such that

$$\frac{x_{n-\tau_n}}{f(x_{n-\tau_n})} < M+1, \quad n \geq n_4.$$

That is

$$x_{n-\tau_n} < (M+1)f(x_{n-\tau_n}), \quad n \geq n_4,$$

and so from (13) we get

$$(16) \quad r_n \Delta x_n + x_{n-\tau_n} < 0, \quad n \geq n_4.$$

In particular, from (16) for a subsequence  $(r_{n_k})$  satisfying the condition (v), we have

$$x_{n_k+1} - x_{n_k} + x_{n_k-\tau_{n_k}} \leq r_{n_k}(x_{n_k+1} - x_{n_k}) + x_{n_k-\tau_{n_k}} < 0,$$

for  $k$  sufficiently large, which implies that

$$0 < x_{n_k+1} + (x_{n_k-\tau_{n_k}} - x_{n_k}) < 0$$

for all large  $k$ . This is a contradiction.

The case that  $(\Delta x_n)$  is eventually positive can be treated in a similar fashion and so the proof of Theorem 3 is completed. ■

### References

1. J. W. HOOKER AND W. T. PATULA, Second order nonlinear difference equation: oscillation and asymptotic behaviour, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **91** (1983), 9–29.
2. J. POPENDA, Oscillation and nonoscillation theorems for second order difference equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **123** (1987), 34–38.
3. Z. SZAFRAŃSKI, On some oscillation criteria for difference equations of second order, *Fasc. Math.* **14** (1985), 91–99.
4. B. SZMANDA, Oscillation criteria for second order nonlinear equations, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **43** (1983), 225–235.
5. B. SZMANDA, Oscillatory behaviour of certain difference equations, *Fasc. Math.* **21** (1990), 65–78.
6. Z. WANG AND J. YU, Oscillation criteria for second order nonlinear difference equations, *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj* **34** (1991), 313–319.

Institute of Mathematics  
Poznań University of Technology  
60-965 Poznań  
POLAND

Primera versió rebuda el 15 de Maig de 1995,  
darrera versió rebuda el 18 d'Octubre de 1995