## A NOTE ON THE DIMENSION OF THE RING OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

by

## EDGAR ENOCHS

Aguiló Fuster in [1] states that the dimension of the ring of entire functions is 1. The purpose of this note is to correct this statement.

Let A be the ring of entire functions. Then we have: Prop. dim  $A \geq 2$ .

Proof. Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a non-trivial ultra filter on  $\mathbf{C}$  (the set of complex numbers) such that  $S \in \mathcal{U}$  for some denumerable set S. Then if  $\mathcal{M}$  is the set of  $f \in A$  such that  $Z(f) \in \mathcal{U}$  (where Z(f) is the set of zeros of f) then as was shown by Aguiló Fuster,  $\mathcal{M}$  is a maximal ideal of A. Now let P be the set of  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  such that  $Z(f,n) \in \mathcal{U}$  for each  $n \geq 0$  where  $Z(f,n) = \{z \mid z \text{ is a zero of } f \text{ of order } \geq n\}$ . Then P is a prime ideal. For if  $f \cdot g \in P$  suppose  $Z(f,n) \notin \mathcal{U}$ . Then  $C \cdot Z(f,n) \in \mathcal{U}$ . Now  $Z(f \cdot g, 2n) \in \mathcal{U}$  so clearly  $Z(g,n) \in \mathcal{U}$ . Thus for each n, either  $Z(f,n) \in \mathcal{U}$  or  $Z(g,n) \in \mathcal{U}$ . Clearly one or the other holds for each n so  $f \in P$  or  $g \in P$ .

Note  $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{M}$ , 0. For obviously  $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{M}$  since there is an element of  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $Z(f, 2) = \emptyset$  (we only need use the Mittag-Leffler theorem on S). For the same type reasoning  $\mathcal{P} \neq 0$ .

This says dim  $A \ge 2$ . It seems to be an open question whether dim A = 2.

## REFERENCES

AGUILÓ FUSTER, RAFAEL. — Estudio de los ideales del anillo de las funciones enteras, Collect. Math. 17 (1965), 105-134.