

INVESTIGACIÓS MATEMÀTIQUES  
DE LA UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA  
INSTITUT DE MATEMÀTICA  
DE LA UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA  
CARRER DE CERDÀ, 14  
08007 BARCELONA (CATALUNYA)

## On the geometric means of an entire function of several complex variables represented by multiple Dirichlet series

S. N. SRIVASTAVA AND VINITA VIJAI  
Department of Mathematics, Lucknow University, Lucknow, India

Received 29/MAY/1989  
Revised 10/JULY/1989

$\{f(s_1, s_2) = \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n} \exp(s_1 \lambda_m + s_2 \mu_n)\}$ ,  $|s_1| < \sigma_1, |s_2| < \sigma_2$

### ABSTRACT

Let  $f(s_1, s_2)$  be an entire function of two complex variables represented by double Dirichlet series. In the present paper, we have studied certain growth properties of the geometric means of  $|f(s_1, s_2)|$  and obtained some inequalities which are the best possible.

### 1. Introduction

The following theorem of Janusauskas [1] is well known and it is stated here for the sake of completeness.

Consider the double Dirichlet series

$$(1.1) \quad f(s_1, s_2) = \sum_{m,n=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n} \exp(s_1 \lambda_m + s_2 \mu_n) \quad (s_j = \sigma_j + it_j, j = 1, 2)$$

where  $a_{m,n} \in \mathbb{C}$ , the field of complex numbers,  $\lambda_m$  and  $\mu_n$  are real, and

$0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_m < \infty, \quad 0 < \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \dots < \mu_n < \infty$ .

A.I. Janusauskas [1] has shown that if

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log m}{\lambda_m} = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{\mu_n} = 0$$

then the domain of convergence of the series (1.1) coincides with its domain of absolute convergence. Also, Sarkar [2, pp. 99] has shown that the necessary and sufficient condition for the series (1.1) satisfying (1.2) to be entire is that

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{(m,n) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log |a_{m,n}|}{\lambda_m + \mu_n} = -\infty.$$

Let the family of all double Dirichlet series of the form (1.1) satisfying (1.2) and (1.3) be denoted by  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  denotes an entire function over  $\mathbb{C}^2$ . The results can be extended to several complex variables.

Corresponding to an  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , the maximum modulus  $M = M_f$  and the maximum term  $\mu = \mu_f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  are defined [2, pp. 100] as

$$M(\sigma) = M_f(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \max \{ |f(s_1, s_2)| : s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{C}, \Re s_1 \geq \sigma_1, \Re s_2 \geq \sigma_2 \}$$

$$\mu(\sigma) = \mu_f(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \max_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} \{ |a_{m,n}| \exp(\sigma_1 \lambda_m + \sigma_2 \mu_n) \}$$

where  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of natural numbers. The following two lemmas are due to Sarkar [2, pp. 101].

### Lemma A

Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  be of finite order. Then  $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2) \gg (0,0)$  is an order point of  $f$  if and only if

$$\limsup_{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log M(\sigma)}{\log(e^{\sigma_1 \rho_1} + e^{\sigma_2 \rho_2})} = 1, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

### Lemma B

Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  be of finite order. Then  $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2) \gg (0,0)$  is a type point of  $f$  if and only if

$$\limsup_{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(\sigma)}{\tau_1 e^{\sigma_1 \rho_1} + \tau_2 e^{\sigma_2 \rho_2}} = 1, \quad \sigma \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

The geometric means of  $|f(s_1, s_2)|$  are defined as

$$(1.4) \quad G(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \exp \left\{ \lim_{(T_1, T_2) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4T_1 T_2} \int_{-T_1}^{T_1} \int_{-T_2}^{T_2} \log |f(\sigma_1 + it_1, \sigma_2 + it_2)| dt_1 dt_2 \right\}$$

$$(1.5) \quad g_k(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) = \exp \left\{ \frac{k^2}{e^{k\sigma_1} e^{k\sigma_2}} \int_0^{\sigma_1} \int_0^{\sigma_2} \log G(x_1, x_2) e^{kx_1} e^{kx_2} dx_1 dx_2 \right\}$$

where  $k$  is a positive number.

Salimov [3] has given the definitions of  $R$ -order and  $R$ -type of functions  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . He has defined the geometric means of the functions  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  as above and obtained some results about them. In this paper, following the definitions of order and type [2], we have studied the properties of geometric means of the functions  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , and obtained some inequalities which are the best possible.

## 2. Theorem 1

### Theorem 1

For  $f(s_1, s_2)$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , we have

$$(2.1) \quad \lim_{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_k(\alpha_1 \sigma_1, \alpha_2 \sigma_2)}{g_k(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) e^{k\sigma_1(1-\alpha_1)} e^{k\sigma_2(1-\alpha_2)}} = 0$$

where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  ( $0 < \alpha_1, \alpha_2 < 1$ ) are constants.

We first prove the following lemma.

### Lemma 1

For  $f(s_1, s_2)$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , and  $0 < \sigma'_1 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_1$ ,  $0 < \sigma'_2 < \sigma_2 < \sigma_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (e^{k\sigma_1} - e^{k\bar{\sigma}_1})(e^{k\bar{\sigma}_2} - e^{k\sigma'_2}) \log G(\bar{\sigma}_1, \sigma'_2) + (e^{k\sigma_2} - e^{k\bar{\sigma}_2})(e^{k\bar{\sigma}_1} - e^{k\sigma'_1}) \log G(\sigma'_1, \bar{\sigma}_2) \\ & + (e^{k\sigma_1} - e^{k\bar{\sigma}_1})(e^{k\bar{\sigma}_2} - e^{k\sigma_2}) \log G(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \\ & \leq \{e^{k\sigma_1+k\sigma_2} \log g_k(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) - e^{k\bar{\sigma}_1+k\bar{\sigma}_2} \log g_k(\bar{\sigma}_1, \bar{\sigma}_2)\} \\ & \leq (e^{k\sigma_1} - e^{k\bar{\sigma}_1})(e^{k\bar{\sigma}_2} - 1) \log G(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \\ & + (e^{k\sigma_2} - e^{k\bar{\sigma}_2})(e^{k\bar{\sigma}_1} - 1) \log G(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \\ & + (e^{k\sigma_1} - e^{k\bar{\sigma}_1})(e^{k\bar{\sigma}_2} - e^{k\sigma_2}) \log G(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \end{aligned}$$

where  $k$  is any positive number.

*Proof of Lemma 1.*  $G(x_1, x_2)$  is an increasing function of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  [3] and therefore we have from (1.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & e^{k\sigma_1+k\sigma_2} \log g_k(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) - e^{k\bar{\sigma}_1+k\bar{\sigma}_2} \log g_k(\bar{\sigma}_1, \bar{\sigma}_2) \\
 &= k^2 \int_0^{\sigma_1} \int_0^{\sigma_2} \log G(x_1, x_2) e^{kx_1+kx_2} dx_1 dx_2 \\
 &\quad - k^2 \int_0^{\bar{\sigma}_1} \int_0^{\bar{\sigma}_2} \log G(x_1, x_2) e^{kx_1+kx_2} dx_1 dx_2 \\
 &= k^2 \int_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^{\sigma_1} \int_0^{\bar{\sigma}_2} \log G(x_1, x_2) e^{kx_1+kx_2} dx_1 dx_2 \\
 &\quad + k^2 \int_0^{\bar{\sigma}_1} \int_{\bar{\sigma}_2}^{\sigma_2} \log G(x_1, x_2) e^{kx_1+kx_2} dx_1 dx_2 \\
 &\quad + k^2 \int_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^{\sigma_1} \int_{\bar{\sigma}_2}^{\sigma_2} \log G(x_1, x_2) e^{kx_1+kx_2} dx_1 dx_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.2) \quad & e^{k\sigma_1+k\sigma_2} \log g_k(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) - e^{k\bar{\sigma}_1+k\bar{\sigma}_2} \log g_k(\bar{\sigma}_1, \bar{\sigma}_2) \\
 &\leq (e^{k\sigma_1} - e^{k\bar{\sigma}_1})(e^{k\sigma_2} - 1) \log G(\sigma_1, \bar{\sigma}_2) \\
 &\quad + (e^{k\sigma_2} - e^{k\bar{\sigma}_2})(e^{k\bar{\sigma}_1} - 1) \log G(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \\
 &\quad + (e^{k\sigma_1} - e^{k\bar{\sigma}_1})(e^{k\sigma_2} - e^{k\bar{\sigma}_2}) \log G(\sigma_1, \sigma_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3) \quad & e^{k\sigma_1+k\sigma_2} \log g_k(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) - e^{k\bar{\sigma}_1+k\bar{\sigma}_2} \log g_k(\bar{\sigma}_1, \bar{\sigma}_2) \\
 &\geq k^2 \int_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^{\sigma_1} \int_{\bar{\sigma}_2}^{\bar{\sigma}_2} \log G(x_1, x_2) e^{kx_1+kx_2} dx_1 dx_2 \\
 &\quad + k^2 \int_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^{\sigma_1} \int_{\sigma_2}^{\sigma_2} \log G(x_1, x_2) e^{kx_1+kx_2} dx_1 dx_2 \\
 &\quad + k^2 \int_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^{\sigma_1} \int_{\sigma_2}^{\bar{\sigma}_2} \log G(x_1, x_2) e^{kx_1+kx_2} dx_1 dx_2 \\
 &\geq (e^{k\sigma_1} - e^{k\bar{\sigma}_1})(e^{k\sigma_2} - e^{k\bar{\sigma}_2}) \log G(\sigma_1, \bar{\sigma}_2') \\
 &\quad + (e^{k\sigma_2} - e^{k\bar{\sigma}_2})(e^{k\bar{\sigma}_1} - e^{k\sigma_1'}) \log G(\sigma_1', \sigma_2) \\
 &\quad + (e^{k\sigma_1} - e^{k\bar{\sigma}_1})(e^{k\sigma_2} - e^{k\bar{\sigma}_2}) \log G(\sigma_1, \bar{\sigma}_2').
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (2.2) and (2.3), we obtain the lemma.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* If we put  $\bar{\sigma}_1 = \alpha_1\sigma_1$ ,  $\sigma'_1 = \beta_1\sigma_1$ ,  $\bar{\sigma}_2 = \alpha_2\sigma_2$ ,  $\sigma'_2 = \beta_2\sigma_2$ , where  $\beta_1 < \alpha_1, \beta_2 < \alpha_2$  in Lemma 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (e^{k\sigma_1} - e^{k\sigma_1\alpha_1})(e^{k\sigma_2\alpha_2} - e^{k\sigma_2\beta_2}) \log G(\alpha_1\sigma_1, \beta_2\sigma_2) \\
 & + (e^{k\sigma_2} - e^{k\sigma_2\alpha_2})(e^{k\sigma_1\alpha_1} - e^{k\sigma_1\beta_1}) \log G(\beta_1\sigma_1, \alpha_2\sigma_2) \\
 & + (e^{k\sigma_1} - e^{k\sigma_1\alpha_1})(e^{k\sigma_2} - e^{k\sigma_2\alpha_2}) \log G(\alpha_1\sigma_1, \alpha_2\sigma_2) \\
 & \leq e^{k\sigma_1+k\sigma_2} \log g_k(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) - e^{k\sigma_1\alpha_1+k\sigma_2\alpha_2} \log g_k(\alpha_1\sigma_1, \alpha_2\sigma_2) \\
 & \leq (e^{k\sigma_1} - e^{k\sigma_1\alpha_1})(e^{k\sigma_2\alpha_2} - 1) \log G(\alpha_1, \alpha_2\sigma_2) \\
 & + (e^{k\sigma_2} - e^{k\sigma_2\alpha_2})(e^{k\sigma_1\alpha_1} - 1) \log G(\alpha_1\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \\
 & + (e^{k\sigma_1} - e^{k\sigma_1\alpha_1})(e^{k\sigma_2} - e^{k\sigma_2\alpha_2}) \log G(\sigma_1, \sigma_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

By dividing the whole inequality by  $e^{k\sigma_1\alpha_1}e^{k\sigma_2\alpha_2}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (e^{k\sigma_1(1-\alpha_1)} - 1)(1 - e^{k\sigma_2(\beta_2 - \alpha_2)}) \log G(\alpha_1\sigma_1, \beta_2\sigma_2) \\
 & + (e^{k\sigma_1(1-\alpha_1)} - 1)(1 - e^{k\sigma_1(\beta_1 - \alpha_1)}) \log G(\beta_1\sigma_1, \alpha_2\sigma_2) \\
 & + (e^{k\sigma_1(1-\alpha_1)} - 1)(e^{k\sigma_2(1-\alpha_2)} - 1) \log G(\alpha_1\sigma_1, \alpha_2\sigma_2) \\
 & \leq (e^{k\sigma_1(1-\alpha_1)}e^{k\sigma_2(1-\alpha_2)}) \log g_k(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) - \log g_k(\alpha_1\sigma_1, \alpha_2\sigma_2) \\
 & \leq (e^{k\sigma_1(1-\alpha_1)} - 1)(1 - e^{-k\sigma_2\alpha_2}) \log G(1\sigma_1, \alpha_2\sigma_2) \\
 & + (e^{k\sigma_2(1-\alpha_2)} - 1)(1 - e^{-k\sigma_1\alpha_1}) \log G(\alpha_1\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \\
 & + (e^{k\sigma_1(1-\alpha_1)} - 1)(1 - e^{k\sigma_2(1-\alpha_2)} - 1) \log G(\sigma_1, \sigma_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

By taking limits on both sides we get

$$\lim_{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_k(\alpha_1\sigma_1, \alpha_2\sigma_2)}{\{g_k(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)\}^{e^{k\sigma_1(1-\alpha_1)}e^{k\sigma_2(1-\alpha_2)}}} = 0. \quad \square$$

### 3. Theorem 2

#### Theorem 2

Let the Dirichlet series  $f(s_1, s_2)$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , be of order  $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2)$  and type  $\tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2)$ . Then

$$(3.1) \quad \limsup_{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log G(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)}{\log(e^{\sigma_1\rho_1} + e^{\sigma_2\rho_2})} \leq 1.$$

$$(3.2) \quad \limsup_{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log G(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)}{\tau_1 e^{\sigma_1 \rho_1} + \tau_2 e^{\sigma_2 \rho_2}} \leq 1,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \limsup_{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \log g_k(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)}{\log(e^{\sigma_1 \rho_1} + e^{\sigma_2 \rho_2})} \leq 1,$$

$$(3.4) \quad \limsup_{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log g_k(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)}{\tau_1 e^{\sigma_1 \rho_1} + \tau_2 e^{\sigma_2 \rho_2}} \leq 1.$$

The above results follow directly from the definitions (1.4), and (1.5), and lemmas A and B.

Let us set, for  $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = \rho$ ,  $0 < \rho < \infty$ ,

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \limsup_{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log g_k(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)}{e^{\sigma_1 \rho} + e^{\sigma_2 \rho}} &= a \\ \liminf_{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log g_k(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)}{e^{\sigma_1 \rho} + e^{\sigma_2 \rho}} &= b \quad (0 < b \leq a < \infty). \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \limsup_{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log G(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)}{e^{\sigma_1 \rho} + e^{\sigma_2 \rho}} &= c \\ \liminf_{(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log G(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)}{e^{\sigma_1 \rho} + e^{\sigma_2 \rho}} &= d \quad (0 < d \leq c < \infty). \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. Theorem 3

##### Theorem 3

Let  $f(s_1, s_2)$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , be of order  $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2)$ ,  $0 < \rho < \infty$ . Then for  $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = \rho$

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{kd}{\rho + k} \leq b \leq a \leq \frac{kc}{\rho + k}$$

$$(4.2) \quad c \leq \frac{a(\rho + 2k)^{(\rho+2k)/k}}{4k^2 \rho^{\rho/k}}$$

$$(4.3) \quad c + \frac{\rho d(3\rho + 4k)}{4k(\rho + k)} \leq \frac{a(\rho + 2k)^{(\rho+2k)/k}}{4k^2 \rho^{\rho/k}}.$$

*Proof.* If  $A$  is a constant, then from (1.5), we have for  $h > 0$ , and  $\rho_1 = \rho_2 = \rho$

$$(4.4) \quad \log g_k \left( \sigma_1 + \frac{h}{\rho}, \sigma_2 + \frac{h}{\rho} \right) = \frac{k^2}{e^{k(\sigma_1+h/\rho)} e^{k(\sigma_2+h/\rho)}} \\ \times \int_0^{\sigma_1+h/\rho} \int_0^{\sigma_2+h/\rho} e^{k(x_1+x_2)} \log G(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2.$$

And this expression is

$$(4.5) \quad = \frac{k^2}{e^{k(\sigma_1+h/\rho)} e^{k(\sigma_2+h/\rho)}} \left\{ \int_0^{\sigma_1^0} \int_0^{\sigma_2^0} + \int_0^{\sigma_1^0} \int_{\sigma_2^0}^{\sigma_2} + \int_0^{\sigma_1^0} \int_{\sigma_2}^{\sigma_2+h/\rho} \right. \\ + \int_{\sigma_1^0}^{\sigma_1} \int_0^{\sigma_2^0} + \int_{\sigma_1}^{\sigma_1+h/\rho} \int_0^{\sigma_2^0} + \int_{\sigma_1^0}^{\sigma_1} \int_{\sigma_2^0}^{\sigma_2} + \int_{\sigma_1^0}^{\sigma_1} \int_{\sigma_2}^{\sigma_2+h/\rho} \\ \left. + \int_{\sigma_1}^{\sigma_1+h/\rho} \int_{\sigma_2^0}^{\sigma_2} + \int_{\sigma_1}^{\sigma_1+h/\rho} \int_{\sigma_2}^{\sigma_2+h/\rho} \right\} e^{k(x_1+x_2)} \log G(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2$$

$$(4.6) \quad < \frac{A}{e^{k\sigma_1} e^{k\sigma_2}} + \frac{(e^{k\sigma_1^0} - 1)}{e^{k\sigma_1} e^{k\sigma_2} e^{2kh/\rho}} [(e^{k\sigma_2} - e^{k\sigma_2^0}) \log G(\sigma_1^0, \sigma_2)] \\ + (e^{kh/\rho} - 1) e^{k\sigma_2} \log G(\sigma_1^0, \sigma_2 + h/\rho) \\ + \frac{(e^{k\sigma_2^0} - 1)}{e^{k\sigma_1} e^{k\sigma_2} e^{2kh/\rho}} [(e^{k\sigma_1} - e^{k\sigma_1^0}) \log G(\sigma_1, \sigma_2^0)] \\ + (e^{kh/\rho} - 1) e^{k\sigma_1} \log G(\sigma_1 + h/\rho, \sigma_2^0) \\ + \frac{(c+\varepsilon)k^2}{e^{k\sigma_1} e^{k\sigma_2} e^{2kh/\rho}} \int_{\sigma_1^0}^{\sigma_1} \int_{\sigma_2^0}^{\sigma_2} (e^{(k+\rho)x_1} e^{kx_2} + e^{(k+\rho)x_2} e^{kx_1}) dx_1 dx_2 \\ + \frac{(c+\varepsilon)k(e^{kh/\rho} - 1)}{e^{k\sigma_1} e^{k\sigma_2} e^{2kh/\rho}} \left[ e^{k\sigma_2} \int_{\sigma_1^0}^{\sigma_1} (e^{(k+\rho)x_1} + e^{kx_1} e^{\rho\sigma_2} e^h) dx_1 \right. \\ \left. + e^{k\sigma_1} \int_{\sigma_2^0}^{\sigma_2} (e^{kx_2} e^{\rho\sigma_1} e^h + e^{(k+\rho)x_2}) dx_2 \right] \\ + \frac{(e^{kh/\rho} - 1)^2}{e^{2kh/\rho}} \log G(\sigma_1 + h/\rho, \sigma_2 + h/\rho).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{A}{e^{k\sigma_1} e^{k\sigma_2}} + \frac{e^{k\sigma_1^0} - 1}{e^{k\sigma_1} e^{k\sigma_2} e^{2kh/\rho}} [(e^{k\sigma_2} - e^{k\sigma_2^0}) \log G(\sigma_1^0, \sigma_2) \\
&\quad + (e^{kh/\rho} - 1)e^{k\sigma_2} \log G(\sigma_1^0, \sigma_2 + h/\rho)] \\
&\quad + \frac{e^{k\sigma_2^0} - 1}{e^{k\sigma_1} e^{k\sigma_2} e^{2kh/\rho}} [(e^{k\sigma_1} - e^{k\sigma_1^0}) \log G(\sigma_1, \sigma_2^0) \\
&\quad + (e^{kh/\rho} - 1)e^{k\sigma_1} \log G(\sigma_1 + h/\rho, \sigma_2^0)] \\
&\quad + \frac{(c + \varepsilon)k^2}{e^{k\sigma_1} e^{k\sigma_2} e^{2kh/\rho}} \left[ \frac{e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_1} - e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_1^0})(e^{k\sigma_2} - e^{k\sigma_2^0})}{k(k+\rho)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_2} - e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_2^0})(e^{k\sigma_1} - e^{k\sigma_1^0})}{k(k+\rho)} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{(c + \varepsilon)k(e^{kh/\rho} - 1)}{e^{k\sigma_1} e^{k\sigma_2} e^{2kh/\rho}} \left[ \frac{e^{k+\rho)\sigma_1} - e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_1^0})e^{k\sigma_2}}{(k+\rho)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_2} e^h (e^{k\sigma_1} - e^{k\sigma_1^0})}{k} + \frac{e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_1} e^h (e^{k\sigma_2} - e^{k\sigma_2^0})}{k} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_2} - e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_2^0})e^{k\sigma_1}}{(k+\rho)} \right] + \frac{(e^{kh/\rho} - 1)^2}{e^{2kh/\rho}} \log G(\sigma_1 + h/\rho, \sigma_2 + h/\rho).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.8) \quad &= \frac{A}{e^{k\sigma_1} e^{k\sigma_2}} + \frac{e^{k\sigma_1^0} - 1}{e^{k\sigma_1} e^{k\sigma_2} e^{2kh/\rho}} \\
&\times \left[ \left( 1 - e^{k\sigma_2^0} e^{-k\sigma_2} \right) \log G(\sigma_1^0, \sigma_2) + \left( e^{kh/\rho} - 1 \right) \log G(\sigma_1^0, \sigma_2 + h/\rho) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{e^{k\sigma_2^0} - 1}{e^{k\sigma_2} e^{2kh/\rho}} \left[ \left( 1 - e^{k\sigma_1^0} e^{-k\sigma_1} \right) \log G(\sigma_1, \sigma_2^0) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (e^{kh/\rho} - 1) \log G(\sigma_1 + h/\rho, \sigma_2^0) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{c + \varepsilon}{(k+\rho)e^{2kh/\rho}} \left[ (e^{\rho\sigma_1} + e^{\rho\sigma_2}) - e^{\rho\sigma_1} e^{k\sigma_2^0} e^{-k\sigma_2} - e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_1^0} e^{-k\sigma_1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_1^0} e^{-k\sigma_1} e^{k\sigma_2} e^{-k\sigma_2} - e^{\rho\sigma_2} e^{k\sigma_1^0} e^{-k\sigma_1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_2^0} e^{-k\sigma_2} + e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_2^0} e^{-k\sigma_2} e^{k\sigma_1^0} e^{-k\sigma_1} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{(c + \varepsilon)k(e^{kh/\rho} - 1)}{e^{2kh/\rho}} \left[ \frac{(e^{\rho\sigma_1} + e^{\rho\sigma_2}) - e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_1^0} e^{-k\sigma_1} - e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_2^0} e^{-k\sigma_2}}{(k+\rho)} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{(e^{\rho\sigma_1} + e^{\rho\sigma_2})e^h - (e^{\rho\sigma_2}e^{k\sigma_1^0}e^{-k\sigma_1} + e^{\rho\sigma_1}e^{k\sigma_2^0}e^{-k\sigma_2})e^h}{k} \\
& + \frac{(e^{kh/\rho} - 1)^2}{e^{2kh/\rho}} \log G(\sigma_1 + h/\rho, \sigma_2 + h/\rho).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, dividing both the sides by

$$(e^{\rho(\sigma_1+h/\rho)} + e^{\rho(\sigma_2+h/\rho)}) = (e^{\rho\sigma_1} + e^{\rho\sigma_2})e^h$$

and taking superior limits, we get

$$(4.9) \quad a \leq \frac{kc}{(k+\rho)e^{(2(k/\rho)+1)h}} + \frac{kc(e^{kh/\rho} - 1)}{e^{2kh/\rho}} \left[ \frac{1}{(k+\rho)e^h} + \frac{1}{k} \right] + \frac{(e^{kh/\rho} - 1)^2 c}{e^{2kh/\rho}}.$$

Also, from (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \log g_k(\sigma_1 + h/\rho, \sigma_2 + h/\rho) \\
(4.10) \quad & > \frac{k^2}{e^{k\sigma_1} e^{k\sigma_2} e^{2kh/\rho}} \int_0^{\sigma_1+h/\rho} \int_0^{\sigma_2+h/\rho} e^{k(x_1+x_2)} \log G(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \\
& = \frac{k^2}{e^{k\sigma_1} e^{k\sigma_2} e^{2kh/\rho}} \left\{ \int_{\sigma_1^0}^{\sigma_1} \int_{\sigma_2^0}^{\sigma_2} + \int_{\sigma_1^0}^{\sigma_1} \int_{\sigma_2}^{\sigma_2+h/\rho} + \int_{\sigma_1}^{\sigma_1+h/\rho} \int_{\sigma_2^0}^{\sigma_2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \int_{\sigma_1}^{\sigma_1+h/\rho} \int_{\sigma_2}^{\sigma_2+h/\rho} \right\} e^{k(x_1+x_2)} \log G(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.11) \quad & > \frac{k^2}{e^{k\sigma_1} e^{k\sigma_2} e^{2kh/\rho}} \left[ \int_{\sigma_1^0}^{\sigma_1} \int_{\sigma_2^0}^{\sigma_2} e^{k(x_1+x_2)} \log G(x_1, x_2) dx_1 dx_2 \right. \\
& \quad + \frac{e^{k\sigma_2}(e^{kh/\rho} - 1)}{k} \int_{\sigma_1^0}^{\sigma_1} e^{kx_1} \log G(x_1, \sigma_2) dx_1 \\
& \quad + \frac{e^{k\sigma_1}(e^{kh/\rho} - 1)}{k} \int_{\sigma_2^0}^{\sigma_2} e^{kx_2} \log G(\sigma_1, x_2) dx_2 \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{e^{k\sigma_1} e^{k\sigma_2} (e^{kh/\rho} - 1)^2}{k^2} \log G(\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.12) \quad & > \frac{k^2}{e^{k\sigma_1} e^{k\sigma_2} e^{2kh/\rho}} \left[ (d - \varepsilon) \int_{\sigma_1^0}^{\sigma_1} \int_{\sigma_2^0}^{\sigma_2} (e^{(k+\rho)x_1} e^{kx_2} \right. \\
& + e^{(k+\rho)x_2} e^{kx_1}) dx_1 dx_2 \\
& + \frac{(d - \varepsilon)(e^{kh/\rho} - 1)}{k} \left\{ e^{k\sigma_2} \int_{\sigma_1^0}^{\sigma_1} (e^{(k+\rho)x_1} + e^{\rho\sigma_2} e^{kx_1}) dx_1 \right. \\
& \left. + e^{k\sigma_1} \int_{\sigma_2^0}^{\sigma_2} (e^{\rho\sigma_1} e^{kx_2} + e^{(k+\rho)x_2}) dx_2 \right\} \\
& + \frac{(e^{kh/\rho} - 1)^2}{e^{2kh/\rho}} \log G(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.13) \quad & = \frac{k}{e^{k\sigma_1} e^{k\sigma_2} e^{2kh/\rho}} \left[ \frac{(d - \varepsilon)}{(k + \rho)} \left\{ (e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_1} - e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_1^0}) (e^{k\sigma_2} - e^{k\sigma_2^0}) \right. \right. \\
& + (e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_2} - e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_2^0}) (e^{k\sigma_1} - e^{k\sigma_1^0}) \} \\
& + (d - \varepsilon)(e^{kh/\rho} - 1) \left\{ \frac{e^{k\sigma_2} (e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_1} - e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_1^0})}{(k + \rho)} \right. \\
& + \frac{e^{k\sigma_2} e^{\rho\sigma_2} (e^{k\sigma_1} - e^{k\sigma_1^0})}{k} + \frac{e^{k\sigma_1} e^{\rho\sigma_1} (e^{k\sigma_2} - e^{k\sigma_2^0})}{k} \\
& \left. \left. + \frac{e^{k\sigma_1} (e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_2} - e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_2^0})}{(k + \rho)} \right\} \right] + \frac{(e^{kh/\rho} - 1)^2}{e^{2kh/\rho}} \log G(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.14) \quad & = \frac{k}{e^{2kh/\rho}} \left[ \frac{(d - \varepsilon)}{(k + \rho)} \left\{ (e^{\rho\sigma_1} + e^{\rho\sigma_2}) - e^{\rho\sigma_1} e^{k\sigma_2^0} e^{-k\sigma_2} - e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_1^0} e^{-k\sigma_1} \right. \right. \\
& + e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_1^0} e^{k\sigma_2} e^{-k\sigma_1} e^{-k\sigma_2} - e^{\rho\sigma_2} e^{k\sigma_1^0} e^{-k\sigma_1} - e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_2^0} e^{-k\sigma_2} \\
& \left. + e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_2^0} e^{k\sigma_1^0} e^{-k\sigma_1} e^{-k\sigma_2} \right\} \\
& + (d - \varepsilon)(e^{kh/\rho} - 1) \left\{ \frac{e^{\rho\sigma_1} + e^{\rho\sigma_2}}{k + \rho} - \frac{e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_1^0} e^{-k\sigma_1} + e^{(k+\rho)\sigma_2^0} e^{-k\sigma_2}}{k + \rho} \right. \\
& \left. - \frac{e^{\rho\sigma_2} - e^{k\sigma_1^0} e^{-k\sigma_1} + e^{\rho\sigma_1} e^{k\sigma_2^0} e^{-k\sigma_2}}{k} + \frac{e^{\rho\sigma_1} + e^{\rho\sigma_2}}{k} \right\} \right] \\
& + \frac{(e^{kh/\rho} - 1)^2}{e^{2kh/\rho}} \log G(\sigma_1, \sigma_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides by

$$e^{\rho(\sigma_1+h/\rho)} + e^{\rho(\sigma_2+h/\rho)} = (e^{\rho\sigma_1} + e^{\rho\sigma_2})e^h$$

and taking superior and inferior limits, respectively, we get

$$(4.15) \quad a \geq \frac{kd}{(k+\rho)e^{((2k/\rho)+1)h}} + \frac{(2k+\rho)(e^{kh/\rho}-1)d}{(k+\rho)e^{(2k/\rho+1)h}} + \frac{(e^{kh/\rho}-1)^2 c}{e^{(2k/\rho+1)h}},$$

$$(4.16) \quad b \geq \frac{kd}{(k+\rho)e^{(2k/\rho+1)h}} + \frac{(2k+\rho)(e^{kh/\rho}-1)d}{(k+\rho)e^{2(k/\rho+1)h}} + \frac{(e^{kh/\rho}-1)^2 d}{e^{(2k/\rho+1)h}}.$$

If we put  $h = 0$  in (4.9) and (4.16), we get (4.1). Also, from (4.15)

$$(4.17) \quad (e^{kh/\rho}-1)^2 c \leq ae^{(2k/\rho+1)h} - \frac{kd}{k+\rho} - \frac{(e^{kh/\rho}-1)(2k+\rho)d}{k+\rho}.$$

Therefore, for all  $h > 0$

$$(4.18) \quad c \leq \frac{ae^{(2k/\rho+1)h}}{(e^{kh/\rho}-1)^2}.$$

The right hand side of the above inequality has the minimum value when

$$h = \rho/k \log(\rho + 2k/\rho).$$

therefore

$$(4.2) \quad c \leq \frac{a(\rho + 2k)^{(\rho+2k)/k}}{4k^2\rho^{\rho/k}}$$

Also, from (4.17) and (4.2), we get

$$(4.3) \quad c + \frac{\rho d(3\rho + 4k)}{4k(k+\rho)} \leq \frac{a(\rho + 2k)^{(\rho+2k)/k}}{4k^2\rho^{\rho/k}}. \square$$

*Remark.* The result (4.1) has also been obtained by Salimov [3] following the definitions which he has adopted.

## References

1. A. I. Janusauskas, Elementary theorem on the convergence of double Dirichlet series, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **234**, 1.
2. P. K. Sarkar, On order and type of an entire function in several complex variables represented by Dirichlet series, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.* **74** (1982), 99–112.
3. F. G. Salimov, Geometric mean values of entire functions represented by multiple Dirichlet series, *Isv. Vysš. Učebn. Zaved. Matematika*, **3** (1981), 86–88.

