

A projection property and weak sequential completeness of α -duals

CHARLES SWARTZ AND CHRISTOPHER STUART

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, New Mexico State University
Las Cruces, New Mexico 88003, U.S.A.*

Received June 11, 1992

ABSTRACT

In [7] the Hahn-Schur Theorem from summability was used to give a simple proof of a result of Bennett on the weak sequential completeness of the α -dual of a monotone sequence space ([2]). In this note we point out that the same method of proof employed in [7] can be used to give a generalization of Bennett's result to a wider class of sequence spaces than the monotone spaces. As was the case in [7], the methods are also applicable to vector-valued sequence spaces.

1. Introduction

Let E be a vector space of real-valued sequences. The α -dual of E , E^α , is defined to be the space of all real-valued sequences $y = (y_i)$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y_i x_i$ is absolutely convergent for every $x = (x_i) \in E$; we write $y \cdot x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y_i x_i$ when $y \in E^\alpha$, $x \in E$. If E contains the vector space Φ of all sequences which are eventually 0, then (E, E^α) form a dual pair under the bilinear map $y \cdot x$. We denote the weak topology on E^α from this pairing by $\sigma(E^\alpha, E)$. The space E is said to be monotone if the sequence $tx = (t_i x_i) \in E$ for every $x \in E$ and sequence $t = (t_i)$ in m_0 , the space of all sequences with finite range. Bennett showed that $(E^\alpha, \sigma(E^\alpha, E))$ is

sequentially complete whenever E is a monotone space ([2]). Bennett's proof used some deep results from functional analysis, but a simple proof based on the Hahn-Schur Theorem was given in [7]. We show the methods of [7] can be used to give an extension of Bennett's Theorem.

2. The scalar case

DEFINITION 1. A family $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$, the power set of \mathbb{N} , which contains the finite subsets of \mathbb{N} is called a Hahn-Schur family (HS-family) if whenever $t^i \in \ell^1$ and $\lim_i \sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}} t_j^i$ exists for all $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{F}$, then $t = (t_j) \in \ell^1$, when $t_j = \lim_i t_j^i$, and $\lim_i \|t^i - t\|_1 = 0$.

If $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$, then \mathcal{F} is an HS-family by the classical Hahn-Schur Theorem ([1], Corollary 15, p. 41); however, proper subsets of $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ can be HS-families. For example, we can obtain a class of HS-families using the following results of J. Sember and R. Samaratunga ([6]).

DEFINITION 2. A family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ is a finitely quasi- σ -family (or an FQ σ -family) if $\mathcal{F} \supset F_0 = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{N} : A \text{ is finite}\}$ and for each disjoint sequence (A_n) of members of F_0 , there exists a subsequence (A_{n_k}) such that $\bigcup_k A_{n_k} \in \mathcal{F}$.

If $A \subset \mathbb{N}$, let C_A be the characteristic function of A . We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ the linear span of $\{C_A : A \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

The following theorem shows that an FQ σ -family is a Hahn-Schur family.

Theorem 3 ([6], Theorem 2.5)

Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ be any FQ σ -family and let $(t^i) \subset \ell^1$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) $t^i \rightarrow 0$ in $\sigma(\ell^1, \overline{\mathcal{F}})$
- (ii) $t^i \rightarrow 0$ in $\|\cdot\|_1$.

Let E be a sequence space containing Φ and if x is a sequence, let $C_A x$ denote the pointwise product of C_A and x . If $y \in E^\alpha$, note $C_A y \in E^\alpha$ for every $y \in E^\alpha$ since E^α is monotone. We now define the property of the sequence spaces which we will consider.

DEFINITION 4. Let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{N} : C_A : E^\alpha \rightarrow E^\alpha \text{ is } \sigma(E^\alpha, E) \text{ sequentially continuous}\}.$$

If \mathcal{A} is an HS-family, then E will be said to have the Hahn-Schur property (HS-property).

Note that any monotone space has the HS-property since $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ and $C_A y \cdot x = y \cdot C_A x$ for every $A \subset \mathbb{N}$, $y \in E^\alpha$, $x \in E$. We now give our generalization of Bennett's Theorem.

Theorem 5

If E has the HS-property, then E^α is $\sigma(E^\alpha, E)$ sequentially complete.

Proof. Let (y^i) be a $\sigma(E^\alpha, E)$ -Cauchy sequence and let $y_j = \lim_i y_j^i$. We first show that $y = (y_j) \in E^\alpha$. For any $x \in E$, and any $A \in \mathcal{A}$, $\lim_i C_A y^i \cdot x = \lim_i \sum_{j \in A} y_j^i x_j$ exists since C_A is $\sigma(E^\alpha, E)$ sequentially continuous. Since \mathcal{A} is an IIS family,

$$(y_j x_j) \in \ell^1.$$

This implies that $y \in E^\alpha$. Because

$$\lim_i \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |y_j^i x_j - y_j x_j| = 0,$$

$y^i \rightarrow y$ in $\sigma(E^\alpha, E)$, which proves the result. \square

Remark 6. Note that if E has the HS-property, then $C_A: E^\alpha \rightarrow E^\alpha$ is $\sigma(E^\alpha, E)$ -sequentially continuous for all $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Let $M(E) = \{(y_i)_{i=1}^\infty : (x_i y_i)_{i=1}^\infty \in E \mid \forall (x_i)_{i=1}^\infty \in E\}$, that is, the multiplier space of E .

Corollary 7

If $\mathcal{M} = \{A : C_A \in M(E)\}$ is an HS-family, then E^α is $\sigma(E^\alpha, E)$ sequentially complete.

Proof. Let $(y^i) \subseteq E^\alpha$ and assume $y^i \rightarrow 0$ in $\sigma(E^\alpha, E)$. For any $A \in \mathcal{M}$, $C_A x \in E$ for all $x \in E$. Therefore

$$C_A y^i \cdot x = y^i \cdot C_A x \rightarrow 0,$$

so $C_A: E^\alpha \rightarrow E^\alpha$ is $\sigma(E^\alpha, E)$ sequentially continuous. \mathcal{M} is an IIS-family so the result follows from the theorem. \square

If E is a monotone space, $\mathcal{M} = \{A : A \in M(E)\} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$, so Theorem 5, in principle, generalizes the result of Bennett that E monotone implies E^α is $\sigma(E^\alpha, E)$ sequentially complete ([2]).

We present an example of a nonmonotone space E with the HS-property, using the following result of Richard Haydon. This will show that Theorem 5 gives a generalization of Bennett's Theorem. Haydon's terminology and notation have been changed for consistency.

Proposition 8 ([3], Proposition 1E)

There is an algebra $U \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ which is an FQ σ -family, but for no infinite $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ do we have $\mathcal{P}(A) = \{A \cap B : B \in U\}$.

A technical lemma also is needed:

Lemma 9

Let $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ be a set algebra. Then $\forall x \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$, the support of x

$$\text{supp}(x) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : x_n \neq 0\} \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Proof. Each $x \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ is a simple function and so can be written as $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i C_{S_i}$, $S_i \in \mathcal{A}$. Without loss of generality we can assume that the S_i 's are pairwise disjoint and that $\alpha_i \neq 0 \forall i$ (if not, a standard disjointification procedure can be used). So

$$\text{supp}(x) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n S_i \in \mathcal{A}$$

since \mathcal{A} is an algebra. \square

We can now present

EXAMPLE 10. A nonmonotone sequence space E containing Φ with the HS-property.

Let U be the algebra in Proposition 8 and $E = \overline{U}$. $\Phi \subset E$ since U contains the finite subsets of \mathbb{N} . E is nonmonotone because for any infinite $A \in U \exists B \subset A$ such that $B \notin U$. By Lemma 9, $C_B \notin E$ so E is not monotone.

Let (y^n) be a $\sigma(E^\alpha, E)$ -null sequence. Then, by Theorem 3, (y^n) is $\|\cdot\|_1$ -null. This implies that $C_A y^n \rightarrow 0$ in $\|\cdot\|_1 \forall A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ and therefore C_A is $\sigma(E^\alpha, E)$ sequentially continuous.

We next show that the HS-property is not necessary for E^α to be $\sigma(E^\alpha, E)$ sequentially complete. For this we need the following definition and theorem of Noll.

Let

$$I_0 = \{\{n, n+1, \dots, n+k\} : n, k \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

that is, the set of all finite subintervals of \mathbb{N} . A sequence $(I_n) \subset I_0$ is increasing if $\min(I_{n+1}) > \max(I_n) \forall n$.

DEFINITION 11 ([5]). Let E be a sequence space containing Φ . E is said to have the weak gliding hump property (WGHP) if given any $x \in E$ and any increasing $(I_n) \subset I_0$, there exists a subsequence (I_{n_k}) such that $C_{\cup_k I_{n_k}} x \in E$.

Theorem 12 ([5], Theorem 6)

Let E be a sequence space containing Φ and having the WGHP. Then E^β is $\sigma(E^\beta, E)$ sequentially complete, where $E^\beta = \{y : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} y_i x_i \text{ converges for every } x \in E\}$.

Remark 13. Every monotone space has the WGHP. It is not difficult to confirm that $cs = \{(x_i) : \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \text{ converges}\}$ is a nonmonotone space with the WGHP.

We now construct the example.

EXAMPLE 14. Choose $z \in cs \setminus \ell^1$ such that $\sup_i \{|z_i|\} = 1$, and let $\mathcal{F} = \{A \subseteq \mathbb{N} : \sum_{i \in A} z_i \text{ converges}\}$. Then $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ is a sequence space containing Φ that is nonmonotone and has the WGHP. This follows from the remark above.

Let

$$E = c_0 + \overline{\mathcal{F}} = \{x + y : x \in c_0, y \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}\}.$$

E is nonmonotone and has the WGHP. Since $c_0^\alpha = c_0^\beta = \ell^1$ and $\overline{\mathcal{F}} \subset m_0$, $E^\alpha = E^\beta = \ell^1$. Thus, by Theorem 12, E^α is $\sigma(E^\alpha, E)$ sequentially complete. However, E^α does not have the HS-property, as we now show.

We construct an increasing sequence $(I_n) \subset I_0$ such that $\bigcup_n I_n = \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq \sum_{i \in I_n} |z_i| \leq 2$ for all n . Let n_1 be the smallest integer such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n_1} |z_i| \geq 1$; n_1 exists because $(z_i) \notin \ell^1$. Since

$$\sup_i \{|z_i|\} = 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} |z_i| \leq 2.$$

Set $I_1 = \{1, \dots, n_1\}$. Choose n_2 to be the smallest integer such that

$$\sum_{i=n_1+1}^{n_2} |z_i| \geq 1,$$

and set $I_2 = \{n_1 + 1, \dots, n_2\}$. Continue inductively.

For notational convenience let $z^n = C_{I_n} z$. Since $z^n \in \Phi$, we can consider the sequence (z^n) to be contained in $E^\alpha = \ell^1$, and show that $z^n \rightarrow 0$ in $\sigma(E^\alpha, E)$.

If $w \in E$ then $w = u + v$, $u \in c_0$, $v \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$. First assume $w \in c_0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |z^n \cdot w| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} z_i^n w_i \right| \leq \sup_{i \geq \min(I_n)} |w_i| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |z_i^n| \\ &\leq \sup_{i \geq \min(I_n)} 2 |w_i| \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Next if $w \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}$, $w = \alpha_1 C_{A_1} + \alpha_2 C_{A_2} + \cdots + \alpha_m C_{A_m}$, $A_i \in \mathcal{F} \forall i$. Therefore

$$z^n \cdot w = z^n \cdot (\alpha_1 C_{A_1} + \cdots + \alpha_m C_{A_m}) = \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i (C_{A_i} \cdot z^n).$$

Since $\sum_{j \in A_i} z_j$ exists, $C_{A_i} \cdot z^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i (C_{A_i} \cdot z^n) \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and we can conclude that (z^n) is $\sigma(E^\alpha, E)$ -null.

However, since $1 \leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty |z_i^n| \leq 2$ for all n , the sum of the positive or negative terms in the sequence $(z_i^n)_{i=1}^\infty$ must equal or exceed $1/2$ in absolute value for each n . Without loss of generality assume that there exists an increasing sequence (n_k) such that the sum of the positive terms in the sequence z^{n_k} is at least $1/2$. Let $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \{i : z_i^{n_k} > 0\}$. Since $\mathbb{N} \in \mathcal{F}$, $z^{n_k} \cdot C_{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow 0$, but $|C_A z^{n_k} \cdot C_{\mathbb{N}}| \geq 1/2$ for all k . So C_A is not $\sigma(E^\alpha, E)$ -sequentially continuous.

By the remark following Theorem 5, this completes the proof.

3. The vector case

Let X be a topological vector space. Denote by $E(X)$ an X -valued sequence space. All X -valued sequence spaces will be assumed to contain $\Phi(X)$, the vector space of all sequences with finite support.

For topological vector spaces X and Y , let $L(X, Y)$ denote the continuous linear operators from X into Y . Following Maddox ([4]) we can define the β -dual of $E(X) = E$ (with respect to Y) by $E^{\beta Y} = \{(A_k) \subset L(X, Y) : \sum_{k=1}^\infty A_k x_k \text{ converges } \forall (x_k) \in E\}$. If Y is a normed space, the α -dual of E is defined by $E^{\alpha Y} = \{(A_k) \subset L(X, Y) : \sum_{k=1}^\infty \|A_k x_k\| \text{ converges } \forall (x_k) \in E\}$. In contrast to the scalar case, even when E is monotone, the α and β duals may be different.

Proposition 15

Let $c_0(X) = \{(x_i)_{i=1}^\infty : \lim_i \|x_i\| = 0\}$, and $\ell^\infty(X) = \{(x_i)_{i=1}^\infty : (\|x_i\|)_{i=1}^\infty \text{ is bounded}\}$. If X and Y are infinite-dimensional Banach spaces, then $E(X)^{\alpha Y} \neq E(X)^{\beta Y}$ for $E(X) = c_0(X)$ or $\ell^\infty(X)$.

Proof. The Dvoretzky-Rogers Theorem states that if Y is an infinite-dimensional Banach space and (λ_n) a sequence of positive numbers satisfying $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2 < \infty$, then $\exists (y_n) \subset Y$ such that $\|y_n\| = \lambda_n$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n$ is unconditionally (and therefore subseries) convergent.

For $E(X) = \ell^\infty(X)$ or $c_0(X)$, choose $(y_n) \subset Y$ such that $\|y_n\| = 1/n^{2/3}$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n$ is subseries convergent. For any sequence $(z_n) \subset c_0(X)$ satisfying $\|z_n\| = 1/n^{1/3}$ we can find, by the Hahn-Banach Theorem, a sequence $(x'_n) \subset X'$ such that $\|x'_n\| = 1$ and $\langle x'_n, z_n \rangle = \|z_n\|$. Define $A_n: X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$A_n(x) = \langle x'_n, x \rangle y_n, \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

and note that

$$\|A_n(x)\| \leq \|x'_n\| \|x\| \|y_n\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2/3} \|x\|,$$

so $A_n \in L(X, Y)$.

For any $(x_n) \in \ell^\infty(X)$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n x_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x'_n, x_n \rangle y_n$ converges because

$$|\langle x'_n, x_n \rangle| \leq \|x'_n\| \|x_n\| \leq \sup_n \|x_n\| < \infty,$$

and unconditional convergence is equivalent to bounded multiplier convergence in Banach spaces ([8], Thm. 5, p. 417). Since (x_n) is arbitrary, this implies that

$$(A_n) \in \ell^\infty(X)^{\beta Y} \subset c_0(X)^{\beta Y}.$$

However $(z_n) \in c_0(X)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|A_n z_n\| &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\langle x'_n, z_n \rangle| \|y_n\| \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2/3} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(A_n) \notin c_0(X)^{\alpha Y} \supset \ell^\infty(X)^{\alpha Y}.$$

See also [4] p. 22. \square

We introduce another dual space which seems to be more appropriate than the α -dual for a vector valued generalization of Theorem 5. We define the σ -dual of E to be $E^{\sigma Y} = \{(A_k) \subset L(X, Y) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k x_k \text{ is subseries convergent } \forall (x_k) \in E\}$. If E is monotone, $E^{\beta Y} = E^{\sigma Y}$, but Proposition 15 shows that, in general, $E^{\alpha Y} \neq E^{\sigma Y}$ even when E is monotone. We show that the dual space $E^{\sigma Y}$ allows a very straightforward generalization of Theorem 5. For this generalization we require the following vector form of the Hahn-Schur Theorem.

Theorem 16 ([1], Theorem 1, p. 75)

Let G be a normed group and $x_{ij} \in G \forall i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that the rows of the matrix (x_{ij}) are subseries convergent and $\lim_i x_{ij} = x_j$ exists for each j . If $(\sum_{j \in A} x_{ij})$ is convergent in G for each $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, then

- (i) the series $\sum x_j$ is subseries convergent and
- (ii) $\lim_i \sum_{j \in A} x_{ij} = \sum_{j \in A} x_j$ uniformly for $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.

As in the scalar case, a vector-valued sequence space $E(X)$ is monotone if and only if for any $x \in E(X)$ and $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, $C_A x \in E(X)$. Since $E^{\sigma Y}$ is monotone, $C_A: E^{\sigma Y} \rightarrow E^{\sigma Y}$. We denote the weak topology on $E^{\sigma Y}$ by $w(E^{\sigma Y}, E)$; $T^n \rightarrow T$ in $w(E^{\sigma Y}, E)$ if and only if $T^n \cdot x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} T_i^n x_i$ converges in $Y \forall (x_i) \in E(X)$.

We have the following generalization of Definition 4.

DEFINITION 17. Let X and Y be normed vector spaces. An X -valued sequence space $E(X) \supseteq \Phi(X)$ will be said to have the Hahn-Schur Property (HS-property) if $C_A: E^{\sigma Y} \rightarrow E^{\sigma Y}$ is $w(E^{\sigma Y}, E)$ sequentially continuous $\forall A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. As in the scalar case, if $E(X)$ is monotone, then it has the HS-property.

We say that the pair (X, Y) has the Banach-Steinhaus property if $(T_j) \subset L(X, Y)$ and $\lim T_j x = Tx$ exists for each $x \in X$ implies that $T \in L(X, Y)$, i.e., if the conclusion of the classical Banach-Steinhaus Theorem holds. If X is an F -space or if X is barrelled and Y is a locally convex space, the pair (X, Y) has the Banach-Steinhaus property ([8]).

We have a vector generalization of Theorem 5.

Theorem 18

Let (X, Y) have the Banach-Steinhaus property and let $E(X)$ be an X -valued sequence space with the HS-property. Then $E^{\sigma Y}$ is $w(E^{\sigma Y}, E)$ sequentially complete.

Proof. Let $(T^i) \subset E^{\sigma Y}$ be a $w(E^{\sigma Y}, E)$ -Cauchy sequence. Since $T_j x = \lim_i T_j^i x$ exists for all $x \in X$, $T_j \in L(X, Y)$ by the Banach-Steinhaus property. We want to show that $T = (T_j) \in E^{\sigma Y}$ and $T^i \rightarrow T$ in $w(E^{\sigma Y}, E)$. Let $x = (x_j) \in E(X)$. By hypothesis,

$$\lim_i C_A T^i \cdot x = \lim_i \sum_{j \in A} T_j^i x_j$$

exists for every $A \subset \mathbb{N}$.

Therefore, Theorem 16 implies $\sum T_j x_j$ is subseries convergent $\forall (x_j) \in E(X)$, so $T \in E^{\sigma Y}$ and $\lim_i \sum_{j \in A} T_j^i x_j = \sum_{j \in A} T_j x_j$ uniformly for $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. This means $T^i \rightarrow T$ in $w(E^{\sigma Y}, E)$. \square

Remark 19. If $E(X)$ is monotone, then $E(X)$ has the IIS-property, so $E^{\sigma Y} = E^{\beta Y}$ is $w(E^{\sigma Y}, E)$ sequentially complete (see Theorem 8 of [7]).

References

1. P. Antosik and C. Swartz, *Matrix Methods in Analysis*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1113** (1985), Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg.
2. G. Bennett, A new class of sequence spaces with applications in summability theory, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **266** (1974), 49–75.
3. R. Haydon, A non-reflexive Grothendieck space that does not contain ℓ^∞ , *Israel J. of Math.* **40** (1981), 65–73.
4. I. Maddox, *Infinite Matrices of Operators*, Lecture Notes in Math. **786** (1980), Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
5. D. Noll, Sequential completeness and spaces with the gliding humps property, *Manuscripta Math.* **66** (1990), 237–252.
6. R. Samaratunga and J. Sember, Summability and substructures of 2^N , *Southeast Asia Math. Bull.* **12** (1988), 11–22.
7. C. Swartz, Weak Sequential Completeness of Sequence Spaces, *Collect. Math.* **43**, 1 (1992), 55–61.
8. C. Swartz, *An Introduction to Functional Analysis*, Marcel Dekker, 1992.

