Collect. Math. 53, 3 (2002), 303-311

(c) 2002 Universitat de Barcelona

# Mappings with dilatation in Orlicz spaces

### Jana Björn

P. O. Box 118, SE-221 00 Lund, Sweden
E-mail: jabjo@maths.lth.se

Received January 25, 2002. Revised April 11, 2002

#### Abstract

We prove openness and discreteness for nonconstant mappings belonging to  $W^{1,n}_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n),\, n\geq 3$ , with dilatation in certain Orlicz spaces which are strictly larger then all  $L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega),\, p>n-1$ . This result contributes to decreasing the gap between known results and a conjecture of Iwaniec and Šverák.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Omega$  be a connected open set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and  $F : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$  a mapping in the Sobolev space  $W^{1,1}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)$ . The mapping F is said to have *finite dilatation* if there exists a function K such that for almost all  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $1 \leq K(x) < \infty$  and

$$|DF(x)|^n \le K(x)J_F(x),$$

where  $|DF(x)| = \sup\{|DF(x)\xi| : |\xi| \le 1\}$  is the operator norm of the differential DF(x) of F at x and  $J_F(x) = \det DF(x)$ .

An important theorem due to Reshetnyak [9] states that if  $F \in W^{1,n}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)$  is nonconstant and its dilatation K is bounded (i.e. F is quasiregular), then F is continuous, open and discrete, i.e. preimages and images of open sets are open and preimages of single points consist of isolated points. For more about quasiregular mappings, see e.g. Reshetnyak [10] and Rickman [11].

In 1993, Iwaniec and Šverák [4] conjectured that the conclusion of Reshetnyak's theorem is true whenever  $F \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $K \in L_{\text{loc}}^{n-1}(\Omega)$ , and proved it for n=2. Their method does not directly generalize to higher dimensions. An example

MSC2000: Primary: 30C65, Secondary: 46E30, 73C50.

*Keywords:* Capacity, discrete mapping, finite dilatation, Hausdorff measure, open mapping, Orlicz space, Young function.

by Ball [1] shows that Reshetnyak's theorem fails if we only assume that  $K \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$  for all p < n - 1.

By improving Reshetnyak's method, Heinonen and Koskela [2] verified the conjecture of Iwaniec and Šverák for  $K \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$ , p > n-1, under the additional assumption that F is quasilight, i.e. that the preimage of each point is compact. The assumption of quasilightness was removed by Villamor and Manfredi [13].

Iwaniec-Koskela-Onninen [5] and Kauhanen-Koskela-Malý [6] weakened the assumption  $F \in W^{1,n}_{loc}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$  while keeping  $K \in L^p_{loc}(\Omega)$ , p > n-1. The borderline case p = n-1 was recently treated by Hencl and Malý [3] by a different method under the assumption of quasilightness.

In this paper, we combine the approach of Kauhanen, Koskela and Malý [6] with a refinement of Villamor and Manfredi's proof and verify the conjecture of Iwaniec and Šverák for a class of mappings with dilatation in Orlicz spaces, namely we prove the following theorem. (See the next section for the definitions of Young functions and Orlicz spaces.)

### Theorem 1

Let  $\Psi$  be a doubling Young function such that  $\Psi(t)/t^{n-1}$  is nondecreasing,  $n \geq 3$ , and

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t^{n-1}}{\Psi(t)}\right)^{1/n(n-2)} \frac{dt}{t} < \infty. \tag{1}$$

If  $F \in W^{1,1}_{loc}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$  is a nonconstant mapping with dilatation belonging to the Orlicz space  $L^{\Psi}_{loc}(\Omega)$ , such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0+} \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |DF(x)|^{n-\varepsilon} dx = 0, \tag{2}$$

then F is continuous, open and discrete.

Remark 2. For  $L^p$  spaces (i.e.  $\Psi(t) = t^p$ ), the condition (1) is satisfied if and only if p > n - 1. Other Young functions for which the theorem holds are e.g.

$$\Psi(t) = \begin{cases} t^{n-1} (\log t)^{n(n-2)+\varepsilon}, & t \ge e, \\ t^{n-1}, & 0 \le t < e, \end{cases}$$

$$\Psi(t) = \begin{cases} t^{n-1} (\log t)^{n(n-2)} (\log \log t)^{n(n-2)+\varepsilon}, & t \ge e^e, \\ e^{n(n-2)} t^{n-1}, & 0 \le t < e^e, \end{cases}$$

and other repeated logarithms with  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If  $\varepsilon = 0$  in the above expressions, then the condition (1) just fails.

## 2. Young functions and auxiliary results

Definition 3. A positive continuous convex function  $\Psi$  on  $(0, \infty)$  satisfying

$$\lim_{t \to 0+} \frac{\Psi(t)}{t} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\Psi(t)}{t} = \infty$$
 (3)

is called a Young function. If  $\Psi(2t) \leq C\Psi(t)$  for some constant C and all  $t \in (0, \infty)$ , then  $\Psi$  is said to be doubling (or satisfying the  $\Delta_2$ -condition).

DEFINITION 4. The Orlicz space  $L^{\Psi}(\Omega)$  is the set of all measurable functions with the Luxemburg norm

$$||f||_{L^{\Psi}(\Omega)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \Psi\left(\frac{|f(x)|}{\lambda}\right) dx \le 1 \right\} < \infty,$$

where we interpret  $\Psi(0) = 0$ .

The following generalized Hölder inequality for Orlicz spaces is proved in the paper by O'Neil [7, Theorem 2.3].

### Theorem 5

Let  $\Psi_1$ ,  $\Psi_2$  and  $\Psi_3$  be Young functions such that

$$\Psi_1^{-1}(s)\Psi_2^{-1}(s) \le \Psi_3^{-1}(s).$$

If  $f \in L^{\Psi_1}(\Omega)$  and  $g \in L^{\Psi_2}(\Omega)$ , then  $fg \in L^{\Psi_3}(\Omega)$  and

$$||fg||_{L^{\Psi_3}(\Omega)} \le 2||f||_{L^{\Psi_1}(\Omega)}||g||_{L^{\Psi_2}(\Omega)}.$$

The following lemma shows that doubling Young functions can be assumed to be as smooth as needed. Note that for a Young function  $\Psi$ , the monotonicity of the function  $t \mapsto \Psi(t)/t$  is a direct consequence of the convexity of  $\Psi$ .

### Lemma 6

Let  $\Psi \in C^k(0,\infty)$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , be a positive doubling function satisfying (3), such that the function  $t \mapsto \Psi(t)/t$  is nondecreasing. Let

$$\Psi_1(t) = \int_0^t \frac{\Psi(s)}{s} \, ds.$$

Then  $\Psi_1$  is a doubling Young function,  $\Psi_1 \in C^{k+1}(0,\infty)$  and  $\Psi(t)/C \leq \Psi_1(t) \leq \Psi(t)$  for all  $t \in (0,\infty)$ . Moreover,  $L^{\Psi}(\Omega) = L^{\Psi_1}(\Omega)$  and for all  $f \in L^{\Psi}(\Omega)$ ,  $||f||_{L^{\Psi_1}(\Omega)} \leq ||f||_{L^{\Psi_1}(\Omega)}$ .

Proof. The fact that  $\Psi_1 \in C^{k+1}(0,\infty)$  follows directly from the definition of  $\Psi_1$ . The convexity of  $\Psi_1$  is a direct consequence of the fact that  $\Psi(t)/t$  is nondecreasing. As for the doubling condition, we have

$$\Psi_1(2t) = \int_0^t \frac{\Psi(2s)}{s} \, ds \le C \int_0^t \frac{\Psi(s)}{s} \, ds = C \Psi_1(t).$$

To prove (3), let  $\varepsilon, k > 0$  be arbitrary and find  $t_1, t_2 > 0$  so that  $\Psi(s)/s < \varepsilon$  for all  $0 < s \le t_1$  and  $\Psi(s)/s > k$  for all  $s \ge t_2$ . Then

$$\frac{\Psi_1(t)}{t} = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \frac{\Psi(s)}{s} ds \le \varepsilon \qquad \text{for } 0 < t \le t_1,$$

$$\frac{\Psi_1(t)}{t} \ge \frac{1}{t} \int_{t/2}^t \frac{\Psi(s)}{s} ds \ge \frac{k}{2} \qquad \text{for } t \ge 2t_2.$$

Next, the fact that  $\Psi(t)/t$  is nondecreasing and the doubling property of  $\Psi_1$  give

$$\Psi_1(t) \ge \int_{t/2}^t \frac{\Psi(s)}{s} \, ds \ge \frac{t}{2} \frac{\Psi\left(\frac{1}{2}t\right)}{\frac{1}{2}t} = \Psi\left(\frac{1}{2}t\right) \ge \frac{\Psi(t)}{C},\tag{4}$$

$$\Psi_1(t) = \int_0^t \frac{\Psi(s)}{s} \, ds \le t \frac{\Psi(t)}{t} = \Psi(t). \tag{5}$$

Finally, if  $\lambda > ||f||_{L^{\Psi_1}(\Omega)}$ , then (4) implies

$$1 \ge \int_{\Omega} \Psi_1\left(\frac{f(x)}{\lambda}\right) dx \ge \int_{\Omega} \Psi\left(\frac{f(x)}{2\lambda}\right) dx$$

and hence  $2\lambda \geq \|f\|_{L^{\Psi}(\Omega)}$ . Taking infimum over all possible  $\lambda$  shows that  $\|f\|_{L^{\Psi}(\Omega)} \leq 2\|f\|_{L^{\Psi_1}(\Omega)}$ . Similarly, the inequality  $\|f\|_{L^{\Psi_1}(\Omega)} \leq \|f\|_{L^{\Psi}(\Omega)}$  follows from (5).  $\square$ 

### Lemma 7

Let  $\Psi$  be a continuous doubling function on  $(0,\infty)$ , such that  $\Psi(t)/t^p$  is non-decreasing. Let  $0 < \alpha < 1 - 1/p$  and define  $\Psi_1$  by  $\Psi_1^{-1}(s) = s^{\alpha}\Psi^{-1}(s)$ . Then  $\Psi_1$  is a continuous doubling function on  $(0,\infty)$  satisfying (3). Moreover, the function  $t \mapsto \Psi_1(t)/t$  is increasing.

*Proof.* The continuity is clear. A simple calculation shows that the doubling condition for  $\Psi$  is equivalent to  $2\Psi^{-1}(s) \leq \Psi^{-1}(Cs)$  for some C > 1 and all  $s \in (0, \infty)$ . We then have

$$2\Psi_1^{-1}(s) = 2s^{\alpha}\Psi^{-1}(s) \le s^{\alpha}\Psi^{-1}(Cs) \le \Psi_1^{-1}(Cs),$$

i.e.  $\Psi_1$  is doubling. To prove (3), note that

$$\frac{\Psi_1(t)}{t} = \frac{s}{\Psi_1^{-1}(s)} = \frac{s^{1-\alpha}}{\Psi^{-1}(s)} = \left(\frac{\Psi(u)}{u^p}\right)^{1-\alpha} u^{(1-\alpha)p-1},\tag{6}$$

where  $s = \Psi_1(t)$  and  $u = \Psi^{-1}(\Psi_1(t)) \to 0+$ , as  $t \to 0+$ . Hence,

$$\lim_{t \to 0+} \frac{\Psi_1(t)}{t} = \lim_{u \to 0+} \left(\frac{\Psi(u)}{u^p}\right)^{1-\alpha} u^{(1-\alpha)p-1} = 0,$$

and similarly for  $t \to \infty$ . Finally, (6) also shows that  $\Psi_1(t)/t$  is increasing.  $\square$ 

We shall also need the following Sobolev type inequality. For the readers convenience, we repeat the short proof.

### Lemma 8

Let B and  $B_0 \subset B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be balls,  $n \geq 3$ , p > 1 and 1 < q < np/(n-p). Then there exists C > 0 such that for all  $u \in W^{1,p}(B)$ ,

$$||u||_{L^{q}(B)} \le C(||\nabla u||_{L^{p}(B)} + ||u||_{L^{p}(B_{0})}).$$

Proof. Assume that this is not true. Then there exist  $u_k \in W^{1,p}(B)$  so that  $\|u_k\|_{L^q(B)} = 1$  and  $\|\nabla u_k\|_{L^p(B)} + \|u_k\|_{L^p(B_0)} \le 1/k$ . By the local weak sequentional compactness of  $W^{1,p}(B)$ , we can find a subsequence, also denoted  $u_k$ , so that  $u_k \to u_0$  weakly in  $W^{1,p}(B)$ . The weak lower semicontinuity of the  $L^p$ -norm implies  $\|\nabla u_0\|_{L^p(B)} = \|u_0\|_{L^p(B_0)} = 0$  and hence  $u_0 = 0$ . On the other hand, since the embedding  $W^{1,p}(B) \subset L^q(B)$  is compact (by e.g. Theorem 2.5.1 in Ziemer [14]), a subsequence of  $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  converges to  $u_0$  in  $L^q(B)$  and  $\|u_0\|_{L^q(B)} = 1$ . This contradicts  $u_0 = 0$ .  $\square$ 

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

DEFINITION 9. Let  $\Psi$  be a Young function and  $K \subset B(x_0, R) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x - x_0| < R\}$  be compact. The  $\Psi$ -capacity of K with respect to the ball  $B(x_0, 2R)$  is

$$\operatorname{cap}_{\Psi}(K, B(x_0, 2R)) = \inf \|\nabla \phi\|_{L^{\Psi}(B(x_0, 2R))},$$

where the infimum is taken over all continuous functions  $\phi \in W^{1,1}(B(x_0, 2R))$  with compact support in  $B(x_0, 2R)$  and  $\phi \geq 1$  on K.

The 1-dimensional Hausdorff measure is denoted  $H^1$ . The following theorem is due to Onninen [8].

## Theorem 10

Let  $\Psi$  be a doubling Young function satisfying  $\Psi(t) \geq Ct^{n-1}$  and

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t}{\Psi(t)}\right)^{1/(n-2)} dt < \infty.$$

If  $K \subset B(x_0, R) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , is compact, then

$$H^1(K) \le C \operatorname{cap}_{\Psi}(K, B(x_0, 2R)).$$
 (7)

Remark 11. In the definition of  $\Psi$ -capacity in Onninen [8], only Lipschitz continuous functions are considered. However, the Lipschitz continuity is not used anywhere in the proof. In fact, one only needs that all  $x \in K$  are Lebesgue points of  $\phi$  in order to obtain the fundamental inequality

$$1 \le C \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{R}{2^{i}} \int_{B(x,10R/2^{i})} |\nabla \phi(y)| \, dy,$$

whose integration with respect to the Frostman measure leads to (7).

Proof of Theorem 1. The proof is based on the ideas of Manfredi and Villamor [13]. Note first, that by Theorem 1.3 in Iwaniec–Koskela–Onninen [5] and Theorem 1.5 in Kauhanen-Koskela-Malý [6], F is continuous and sense preserving. The openness and discreteness of F then follows from the Titus-Young theorem (Theorem A in [12]) if we can show that  $H^1(F^{-1}(b)) = 0$  for every  $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (so that  $F^{-1}(b)$  is totally disconnected).

Exhausting  $\Omega$  by countably many compacts and covering each of them by finitely many small balls, we can write  $\Omega$  as a countable union of balls  $B_j = B(x_j, r_j)$  so that  $\overline{B(x_j, 2r_j)} \subset \Omega$  and  $F(B_j) \subset B(b_j, \frac{1}{2}e^{-e})$ ,  $b_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . By the  $\sigma$ -subadditivity of the Hausdorff measure, it suffices to show that  $H^1(B_j \cap F^{-1}(b)) = 0$ .

Let  $B = B(x_0, r)$  be one of the balls  $B_j$ . Replacing F by F - b, we can assume that  $b = 0 \in F(B)$  and  $F(B) \subset B(0, e^{-e})$ . In [13], Villamor and Manfredi constructed a family of  $C^2$ -smooth radially symmetric n-superharmonic functions  $\Phi_a$  on  $B(0, e^{-e})$ ,  $0 < a < e^{-e}$ , with (among others) the following properties,

$$\log(1/a) \le \Phi_a(y) \le \log(1/a) + \frac{1}{2} + \log 2$$
 for  $|y| \le a$ , (i)

$$\Phi_a(y) = \log(1/|y|) \qquad \text{for } a \le |y| \le e^{-e}, \qquad \text{(ii)}$$

$$\Phi_a(y) \ge e$$
 for  $|y| \le e^{-e}$ . (iii)

Then, under the assumption  $F \in W^{1,n}_{loc}(\Omega,\mathbb{R}^n)$ , they prove that for every nonnegative function  $\eta \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ ,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\log \Phi_a \circ F)(x)|^n \frac{\eta(x)^n}{K(x)} dx \le C \int_{\Omega} K(x)^{n-1} |\nabla \eta(x)|^n dx, \tag{8}$$

where C is independent of a, see (4.1) in [13]. Under the assumptions  $F \in W^{1,1}_{loc}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$  and (2) considered here, the estimate (8) is proved in Kauhanen-Koskela-Malý [6], formulas (3.1) and (3.2). In the rest of the proof, all constants C will be independent of a, they may, however, depend on F, B and other fixed data.

Let K be a compact subset of  $F^{-1}(0) \cap B$  and choose a nonnegative function  $\eta \in C_0^{\infty}(B)$  so that  $\eta \geq 1$  on K. Following [13], we consider the functions

$$V_a(x) = \frac{\eta(x)(\log \Phi_a \circ F)(x)}{\log \log(1/a)}$$

which are continuous by (iii) and satisfy  $V_a \geq 1$  on K by (i). Moreover, as  $F \in W^{1,n-1}_{loc}(\Omega)$  (by (2)) and  $\log \Phi_a$  are Lipschitz (using the  $C^2$ -smoothness of  $\Phi_a$  and (iii)), we have  $V_a \in W^{1,n-1}_0(B)$  and

$$\nabla V_a(x) = \frac{\nabla (\log \Phi_a \circ F)(x) \eta(x) + (\log \Phi_a \circ F)(x) \nabla \eta(x)}{\log \log (1/a)}.$$

Let  $\Psi_1(t) = \Psi(t^n)$ . It is easily verified that  $\Psi_1$  is also a doubling Young function and that  $K^{1/n} \in L^{\Psi_1}(B)$ . Moreover, the function  $\Psi_1(t)/t^{n(n-1)}$  is nondecreasing. Let  $\Psi_2$  be defined by  $\Psi_2^{-1}(s) = s^{1/n}\Psi_1^{-1}(s)$  and let

$$\Psi_3(t) = \int_0^t \frac{\Psi_2(s)}{s} \, ds.$$

As  $n \geq 3$ , Lemmas 6 and 7 imply that  $\Psi_3$  is a doubling Young function. Let us compute the  $L^{\Psi_3}(B)$ -norm of  $\nabla V_a$ . We have

$$\|\nabla V_a\|_{L^{\Psi_3}(B)} \le \frac{\||\nabla(\log \Phi_a \circ F)|\eta\|_{L^{\Psi_3}(B)} + \|(\log \Phi_a \circ F)|\nabla \eta|\|_{L^{\Psi_3}(B)}}{\log\log(1/a)}.$$
 (9)

As  $\Psi_3(t) \leq \Psi_2(t)$  by Lemma 6, we have  $s^{1/n}\Psi_1^{-1}(s) \leq \Psi_3^{-1}(s)$  and an application of the generalized Hölder inequality (Theorem 5) yields

$$\||\nabla(\log \Phi_a \circ F)|\eta\|_{L^{\Psi_3}(B)} \le 2\||\nabla(\log \Phi_a \circ F)|K^{-1/n}\eta\|_{L^n(B)} \|K^{1/n}\|_{L^{\Psi_1}(B)}, \quad (10)$$

where  $||K^{1/n}||_{L^{\Psi_1}(B)} < \infty$  by the assumption. By (8), we have

$$\||\nabla(\log \Phi_a \circ F)|K^{-1/n}\eta\|_{L^n(B)} \le C\left(\int_B K(x)^{n-1}|\nabla \eta(x)|^n \, dx\right)^{1/n},\tag{11}$$

and hence the first norm on the right-hand side in (9) is bounded from above by a constant independent of a. It remains to estimate the second term on the right-hand side in (9). Another application of the generalized Hölder inequality (Theorem 5) shows that

$$\|(\log \Phi_a \circ F)|\nabla \eta|\|_{L^{\Psi_3}(B)} \le C \|\log \Phi_a \circ F\|_{L^{\Psi_3}(B)}$$

$$\le 2C \|\log \Phi_a \circ F\|_{L^n(B)} \|1\|_{L^{\Psi_1}(B)},$$
(12)

where  $||1||_{L^{\Psi_1}(B)} < \infty$ . The set  $B' = \{x \in B : F(x) \neq 0\}$  is open and hence there exist c > 0 and a ball  $B_0 \subset B'$  so that |F(x)| > c for all  $x \in B_0$ . In particular, for  $a \leq c$  and  $x \in B_0$ , we have  $|\log \Phi_a \circ F(x)| < \log \log(1/c)$  by (ii). As  $n \geq 3$ , we have n < np/(n-p) with p = n-1 and Lemma 8 implies

$$\|\log \Phi_a \circ F\|_{L^n(B)} \le C(\|\nabla(\log \Phi_a \circ F)\|_{L^{n-1}(B)} + \|\log \Phi_a \circ F\|_{L^{n-1}(B_0)}). \tag{13}$$

The second term on the right-hand side does not exceed  $\log \log(1/c)|B_0|^{1/(n-1)}$  and the first term is estimated as in Lemma 4 from [13]. More precisely, let  $\tilde{\eta} \in C_0^{\infty}(B(x_0, 2r))$  be a nonnegative function such that  $\tilde{\eta} = 1$  on B and  $|\nabla \tilde{\eta}| \leq 2/r$ . Then by the Hölder inequality and (8) we have

$$\|\nabla(\log \Phi_{a} \circ F)\|_{L^{n-1}(B)}$$

$$\leq \left(\int_{B(x,2r)} |\nabla(\log \Phi_{a} \circ F)(x)|^{n} \frac{\tilde{\eta}(x)^{n}}{K(x)} dx\right)^{1/n} \|K\|_{L^{n-1}(B(x,2r))}^{1/n}$$

$$\leq \frac{C}{r} \|K\|_{L^{n-1}(B(x,2r))}.$$
(14)

Putting together (9)–(14) gives

$$\|\nabla V_a\|_{L^{\Psi_3}(B)} \le \frac{C}{\log\log(1/a)}$$

and letting  $a \to 0+$  yields  $\operatorname{cap}_{\Psi_3}(K, B(x_0, 2r)) = 0$  for every compact subset K of  $F^{-1}(0) \cap B(x_0, r)$ . Theorem 10 then shows that  $H^1(K) = 0$ , provided that

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t}{\Psi_{3}(t)}\right)^{1/(n-2)} dt < \infty.$$

As  $\Psi_2(t) \leq C\Psi_3(t)$  by Lemma 6, this follows from the following lemma and finishes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$ 

### Lemma 12

Let  $\Psi$  be a Young function satisfying (1) such that  $\Psi(t)/t^{n-1}$  is nondecreasing. Let  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$  be as in the proof of Theorem 1. Then

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{t}{\Psi_{2}(t)}\right)^{1/(n-2)} dt < \infty. \tag{15}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 6, we can assume that  $\Psi \in C^1(0,\infty)$  and hence also  $\Psi_1, \Psi_2 \in C^1(0,\infty)$ . We have, using integration by parts,

$$\int_{1}^{R} \left(\frac{t}{\Psi_{2}(t)}\right)^{1/(n-2)} dt = \left[\frac{n-2}{n-1} \left(\frac{t^{n-1}}{\Psi_{2}(t)}\right)^{1/(n-2)}\right]_{1}^{R} + \frac{1}{n-1} \int_{1}^{R} \left(\frac{t}{\Psi_{2}(t)}\right)^{(n-1)/(n-2)} \Psi_{2}'(t) dt. \tag{16}$$

Here, the definitions of  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$  imply

$$\Psi_2^{-1}(s) = \left(s\Psi^{-1}(s)\right)^{1/n} \tag{17}$$

and hence

$$\frac{t^{n-1}}{\Psi_2(t)} = \frac{\Psi_2^{-1}(s)^{n-1}}{s} = \frac{\Psi^{-1}(s)^{1-1/n}}{s^{1/n}} = \left(\frac{u^{n-1}}{\Psi(u)}\right)^{1/n},$$

where  $s = \Psi_2(t)$  and  $u = \Psi^{-1}(\Psi_2(t)) \to \infty$ , as  $t \to \infty$ . As  $\Psi(t)/t^{n-1}$  is nondecreasing, we see that the first term on the right-hand side in (16) remains bounded as  $R \to \infty$ .

Next, let again  $u = \Psi^{-1}(\Psi_2(t))$ , i.e.  $\Psi'_2(t) dt = \Psi'(u) du$ . The formula (17) implies  $u = t^n/\Psi_2(t)$  and hence the change of variables  $u = \Psi^{-1}(\Psi_2(t))$  yields that the integral on the right-hand side in (16) is equal to

$$\int_{\Psi^{-1}(\Psi_2(1))}^{\Psi^{-1}(\Psi_2(R))} \left(\frac{u}{\Psi(u)^{n-1}}\right)^{(n-1)/n(n-2)} \Psi'(u) \, du.$$

Finally, another integration by parts shows that the last integral is equal to

$$\left[-n(n-2)\left(\frac{u^{n-1}}{\Psi(u)}\right)^{1/n(n-2)}\right]_{\Psi^{-1}(\Psi_2(1))}^{\Psi^{-1}(\Psi_2(R))} + (n-1)\int_{\Psi^{-1}(\Psi_2(1))}^{\Psi^{-1}(\Psi_2(R))}\left(\frac{u^{n-1}}{\Psi(u)}\right)^{1/n(n-2)}\frac{du}{u}.$$

As before, the first term remains bounded as  $R \to \infty$  and can be disregarded and the second term remains bounded as  $R \to \infty$ , by (1).  $\square$ 

**Acknowledgement.** This research has been partly supported by the Swedish Research Council. I also wish to thank Juha Heinonen for introducing me to this problem.

### References

- 1. J. Ball, Global invertibility of Sobolev functions and the interpenetration of matter, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* **88** (1981), 315–328.
- 2. J. Heinonen and P. Koskela, Sobolev mappings with integrable dilatations, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **125** (1993), 81–97.
- 3. S. Hencl and J. Malý, Mappings of dinite distortion: Hausdorff measure of zero sets, Preprint 2001.
- 4. T. Iwaniec and V. Šverák, On mappings with integrable dilatation, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **118** (1993), 181–188.
- 5. T. Iwaniec, P. Koskela, and J. Onninen, Mappings of finite distortion: monotonicity and continuity, *Invent. Math.* **144** (2001), 507–531.
- 6. J. Kauhanen, P. Koskela, and J. Malý, Mappings of finite distortion: discreteness and openness, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **160** (2001), 135–151.
- 7. R. O'Neil, Fractional integration in Orlicz spaces, I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 115 (1965), 300-328.
- 8. J. Onninen, Orlicz capacities and Hausdorff measures in metric spaces, (in preparation).
- 9. Yu.G. Reshetnyak, Space mappings with bounded distortion, *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.* **8** (1967), 629–658 (in Russian), *Siberian Math. J.* **3** (1967), 466–486 (English transl.).
- 10. Yu.G. Reshetnyak, *Spatial mappings with bounded distortion*, Nauka, Moscow, 1982 (in Russian), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989 (English transl.).
- 11. S. Rickman, *Quasiregular mappings*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- 12. C.J. Titus and G.S. Young, The extension of interiority, with some applications, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **103** (1962), 329–340.
- 13. E. Villamor and J.J. Manfredi, An extension of Reshetnyak's theorem, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **47** (1998), 1131–1145.
- 14. W.P. Ziemer, Weakly differentiable functions, Sobolev spaces and functions of bounded variation, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.