

## Normal structure of Musielak-Orlicz spaces

ELENI KATIRTZOGLU

*Department of Mathematical Sciences, The University of Memphis, Memphis, TN 38152, U.S.A.*

*E-mail address:* katirte@mathsci.msci.memphis.edu

*Current address: BRIMS, Hewlett-Packard Labs Filton Road, Stoke Gifford Bristol BS12 6QZ, U.K.*

*E-mail address:* ek@hplb.hpl.hp.com

### ABSTRACT

We show that a Musielak-Orlicz function space  $L_\phi$  has uniformly normal structure iff it is reflexive. We also give a criterion for normal structure of the Musielak-Orlicz sequence space  $\ell_\phi$  and under the assumption that  $\phi$  is not linear around zero, a criterion for normal structure of  $L_\phi$ .

### Introduction

The concept of normal structure was introduced in 1948 by M.S. Brodskii and D.P. Milman [3] to study fixed points of isometries and it is a property shared by all uniformly convex spaces. In 1965, W.A. Kirk [10] observed that normal structure implies  $C \subset X$  has the fixed point property if  $X$  is a reflexive Banach space (where  $C$  is closed, bounded and convex).

Necessary and sufficient conditions have been given for function and sequence Orlicz spaces to have (uniformly) normal structure (see [12], [5]). Also in [9] a criterion was given for Orlicz-Lorentz spaces to have uniformly normal structure.

**DEFINITION 1.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space. We say that  $X$  has normal structure (NS) if each non-empty, bounded, closed, convex subset  $S$  of  $X$  with positive diameter, contains a point  $x$  such that:

$$\sup \{ \|x - y\| : y \in S \} < \text{diam}S := \sup \{ \|z - y\| : z, y \in S \}.$$

In the case where there exists a constant  $K \in (0, 1)$  such that for all  $x \in S$ ,

$$\sup \{ \|x - y\| : y \in S \} \leq K \text{diam} S,$$

$X$  is said to have uniformly normal structure (UNS).

**DEFINITION 2.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $\{x_n\}$  a sequence in  $X$ . If for any  $x \in \text{co}\{x_n\}$ , the convex hull of  $\{x_n\}$ , the limit  $\Lambda(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| > 0$  exists and  $\Lambda(x)$  is affine on  $\text{co}\{x_n\}$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is called a limit affine sequence.

If, in addition,  $\Lambda(x)$  is constant on  $\text{co}\{x_n\}$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is called a limit-constant sequence [11].

**DEFINITION 3.** A Banach space is said to have the sum-property if it contains no non-constant limit-affine sequence  $\{x_n\}$  for which  $\{\Lambda(x_n)\}$  is non-decreasing [11].

**Proposition 4** ([11])

*A Banach space  $X$  has normal structure if and only if it contains no non-constant limit constant sequence.*

It is easy to see that sum-property implies normal structure. In the case of Orlicz sequence spaces, normal structure is equivalent to sum-property [12]. We will see that this is also true for Musielak-Orlicz sequence spaces (see Theorem 12).

Let us now give some background for Musielak-Orlicz spaces. Set  $(T, \Sigma, \mu)$  to be a non-atomic  $\sigma$ -finite, measure space. A non-negative, extended real-valued function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \times T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_e^+$  is called a Young function (with parameter) if for almost all  $t \in T$ ,  $\phi(0, t) = 0$ ,  $\phi(x, t) > 0$  for  $x > 0$ ,  $\phi(x, t)$  is convex with respect to  $x$  and for all  $x \geq 0$ ,  $\phi(x, t)$  is  $\Sigma$ -measurable with respect to  $t$ .

For any measurable function  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we define the modular

$$\rho_\phi(f) = \int_T \phi(|f(t)|, t) d\mu.$$

The Musielak-Orlicz space  $L_\phi$  is the set of all equivalence classes of measurable functions  $f$  such that  $\rho_\phi(\lambda f) < \infty$ , for some  $\lambda > 0$ .

Endowed with the Luxemburg norm defined by

$$\|f\|_\phi = \inf \{ r > 0 : \rho_\phi(f/r) \leq 1 \},$$

$L_\phi$  is a Banach space.

The conjugate function  $\phi^*$ , of a Young function  $\phi$  is defined by  $\phi^*(x, t) = \sup_{y \geq 0} \{yx - \phi(y, t)\}$ . It is easy to see that  $\phi^*$  is a convex function and that  $(\phi^*)^* = \phi$ . We also notice that if  $\phi$  assumes only finite values, then for a.a.  $t \in T$ , there exists  $x > 0$  such that  $\phi^*(x, t) < \infty$ .

Recall that a Young function  $\phi$  satisfies  $\Delta_2$ -condition (written  $\phi \in \Delta_2$ ) if there exists  $K > 0$  and a non-negative integrable function  $h$  such that for almost all  $t \in T$

$$\phi(2x, t) \leq K\phi(x, t) + h(t)$$

for all  $x \geq 0$ .

A function  $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is called a Young function if  $\phi$  is convex,  $\phi(0) = 0$  and  $\phi(x) > 0$  for  $x > 0$ . A sequence  $\phi = \{\phi_n\}$  of Young functions, is called a Musielak-Orlicz function.

For any real sequence  $x = \{x_n\}$ , we define the modular

$$I_\phi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_n(|x_n|).$$

The Musielak-Orlicz sequence space  $\ell_\phi$  is the set of all real sequences  $x = \{x_n\}$  such that  $I_\phi(\lambda x) < \infty$ , for some  $\lambda > 0$ .

Under the Luxemburg norm defined by

$$\|x\|_\phi = \inf \{r > 0 : I_\phi(x/r) \leq 1\},$$

$\ell_\phi$  is a Banach space.

We say that a Musielak-Orlicz function  $\phi = \{\phi_n\}$  satisfies  $\delta_2$ -condition (written  $\phi \in \delta_2$ ) if there are positive constants  $K$  and  $\delta$  and a non-negative sequence  $\{c_n\}$  in  $\ell_1$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \geq 0$

$$\phi_n(2x) \leq K\phi_n(x) + c_n$$

whenever  $\phi_n(x) \leq \delta$ .

The following are useful results connected with  $\Delta_2(\delta_2)$ -condition. Let  $f \in L_\phi, \{f_n\} \subset L_\phi$  and  $\{x_n\} \subset \ell_\phi$ .

1. If  $\phi \in \Delta_2(\phi \in \delta_2)$  then,

$$\|f_n\|_\phi \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow \rho_\phi(f_n) \rightarrow 0 \tag{1}$$

(respectively:  $\|x_n\|_\phi \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow I_\phi(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ ).

2. If  $\phi \in \Delta_2$  then for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there is  $\eta(\epsilon) > 0$  such that

$$\|f\|_\phi \geq 1 - \eta(\epsilon) \Rightarrow \rho_\phi(f) \geq 1 - \epsilon. \tag{2}$$

3. If  $\phi \in \Delta_2, (\phi \in \delta_2)$ , then

$$\|f_n\|_\phi \rightarrow 1 \Rightarrow \rho_\phi(f_n) \rightarrow 1 \tag{3}$$

(respectively:  $\|x_n\|_\phi \rightarrow 1 \Rightarrow I_\phi(x_n) \rightarrow 1$ ).

The proofs of the above results can be found in [15] or easily derived by imitating the proofs of analogous results in Orlicz spaces.

## Preliminary results

**Lemma 5**

Let  $\phi$  be a Young function with (parameter). The following statements are equivalent:

1.  $\phi$  satisfies  $\Delta_2$ -condition.
2. For every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there are  $K > 0$  and a non-negative measurable function  $h$  with  $\int_T h(t)d\mu < \epsilon$  such that for almost all  $t \in T$

$$\phi(2x, t) \leq K\phi(x, t) + h(t) \quad (4)$$

for all  $x \geq 0$ .

3. For every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there are  $K > 0$  and a non-negative measurable function  $f$  with  $\int_T \phi(f(t), t)d\mu < \epsilon$  such that for almost all  $t \in T$ ,

$$\phi(2x, t) \leq K\phi(x, t) \quad (5)$$

for all  $x \geq f(t)$ .

4. For every  $\epsilon > 0$ , and all  $a > 1$ , there are  $b \in (1, 2)$  and a non-negative measurable function  $f$  with  $\int_T \phi(f(t), t)d\mu < \epsilon$  such that for almost all  $t \in T$ ,

$$\phi(bx, t) \leq a\phi(x, t) \quad (6)$$

for all  $x \geq f(t)$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) see [16].

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Let  $f(t) = \sup \{x \geq 0 : \phi(x, t) \leq h(t)\}$ . Since  $\phi(x, t) < \infty$  for each  $x \geq 0$  and  $x \mapsto \phi(x, t)$  is convex, we have that  $x \mapsto \phi(x, t)$  is continuous and so  $\phi(f(t), t) = h(t)$ . Moreover  $f(t)$  is measurable. Indeed let  $\phi^{-1}(x, t) = \phi_t^{-1}(x)$  where  $\phi_t^{-1}$  is an inverse function of  $\phi_t(x) = \phi(x, t)$ . For any  $a \in \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\{t: \phi_t^{-1}(x) \leq a\} = \{t: x \leq \phi_t(a)\} \in \Sigma$ , by the measurability of  $t \mapsto \phi(x, t)$ , for any  $x \geq 0$ . Thus  $t \mapsto \phi^{-1}(x, t)$  is measurable and so  $f(t) = \phi^{-1}(h(t), t)$  must be measurable, too. Thus,  $\int_T \phi(f(t), t)d\mu < \epsilon$  and for almost all  $t \in T$  and  $x \geq f(t)$ ,

$$\phi(2x, t) \leq K\phi(x, t) + \phi(f(t), t) \leq (K + 1)\phi(x, t).$$

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $a > 1$  be given. Take  $M = \max \{a, K\}$ . Let  $l = \frac{a-1}{M-1}$ , and  $b = 1 + l$ . Then,  $b \in (1, 2)$  and so for  $x \geq f(t)$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(bx, t) &\leq (1 - l)\phi(x, t) + l\phi(2x, t) \\ &\leq (1 - l)\phi(x, t) + lM\phi(x, t) = a\phi(x, t) \end{aligned}$$

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Suppose (4) is true. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $a = 2$ . There are  $b \in (1, 2)$  and a non-negative measurable function  $f$  with  $\int_T \phi(f(t), t) d\mu < \epsilon$  such that

$$\phi(bx, t) \leq 2\phi(x, t)$$

for all  $x \geq f(t)$ .

There exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $b^n \geq 2$ . Thus for  $x \geq f(t)$  we have

$$\phi(2x, t) \leq \phi(b^n x, t) \leq 2^n \phi(x, t)$$

and for  $x \leq f(t)$ ,

$$\phi(2x, t) \leq \phi(2f(t), t) \leq 2^n \phi(f(t), t).$$

Hence for any  $x \geq 0$

$$\phi(2x, t) \leq 2^n \phi(x, t) + 2^n \phi(f(t), t),$$

which means  $\Delta_2$ -condition and so (2). This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 6**

Let  $\phi$  be a Young function (with parameter). The following statements are equivalent.

1.  $\phi$  satisfies  $\Delta_2$ -condition.
2. For every  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $a > 1$ , there are  $b \in (4, 8)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and a nonnegative measurable function  $f$  with  $\int_T \phi(f(t), t) d\mu < \epsilon$  such that for almost all  $t \in T$ :

$$\phi(bx, t) \leq a^m \phi(x, t) \tag{7}$$

for all  $x \geq f(t)$ .

*Proof.* (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Obvious.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Assume  $\phi$  satisfies  $\Delta_2$ -condition. Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $a > 1$  be given. Then by Lemma 5, if  $x \geq f(t)$  then  $\phi(4x, t) \leq K\phi(x, t)$  for some  $K > 0$  and a non-negative measurable function  $f$  with  $\int_T \phi(f(t), t) d\mu < \epsilon$ . Set  $M = \max\{a, K\}$ . There is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $m \geq 2$  and  $a^m > M$ . Let  $l = \frac{a^m - M}{M^m - M}$  and  $b = 4(1 + l)$ . Then,  $b \in (4, 8)$  and if we follow a similar argument as in the proof of (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) in Lemma 5, we get that  $\phi(bx, t) \leq a^m \phi(x, t)$  for  $x \geq f(t)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 7**

Let  $\phi$  be a Young function (with parameter). The following statements are equivalent.

1.  $\phi$  satisfies  $\Delta_2$ -condition.
2. For every  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\eta \in (0, 1)$ , there are  $\xi \in (0, 1)$  and a non-negative measurable function  $h$  with  $\int_T \phi^*(h(t), t) d\mu < \epsilon$  such that for almost all  $t \in T$

$$\phi^*(\eta x, t) \leq \eta \xi \phi^*(x, t) \tag{8}$$

for all  $x \geq h(t)$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Since inequality (8) is obvious when  $\phi^*(x, t) = \infty$ , without loss of generality we assume that  $\phi^*(x, t) < \infty$  for all  $x, t$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\eta \in (0, 1)$  be given. Then,  $a = \frac{1}{\eta} > 1$  and by Lemma 6 there are  $b \in (4, 8), m \in \mathbb{N}$  and a measurable function  $g : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $\int_T \phi(g(t), t) d\mu < \epsilon \eta \frac{2-\sqrt{2}}{8\sqrt{2}}$  such that  $\phi(bx, t) \leq a^m \phi(x, t)$  for all  $x \geq g(t)$ . If  $0 \leq x \leq g(t)$  then  $\phi(bx, t) \leq a^m \phi(g(t), t)$ . So for  $x \geq 0$ , we have  $\phi(bx, t) \leq a^m b[\phi(x, t) + \phi(g(t), t)]$ , which implies

$$\frac{1}{a^m b} \phi(bx, t) - \phi(g(t), t) \leq \phi(x, t),$$

for every  $x \geq 0$ .

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^*(\eta^m x, t) &= \sup_{y \geq 0} \{ \eta^m xy - \phi(y, t) \} \\ &\leq \sup_{y \geq 0} \left\{ \eta^m xy - \left[ \frac{1}{a^m b} \phi(by, t) - \phi(g(t), t) \right] \right\} \\ &= \sup_{y \geq 0} \left\{ \eta^m xy - \frac{\eta^m}{b} \phi(by, t) \right\} + \phi(g(t), t) \\ &= \frac{\eta}{b} \sup_{y \geq 0} \{ \eta^{m-1} bxy - \eta^{m-1} \phi(by, t) \} + \phi(g(t), t) \\ &\leq \frac{\eta}{b} \sup_{y \geq 0} \{ \eta^{m-1} byx - \phi(\eta^{m-1} by, t) \} + \phi(g(t), t) \\ &= \frac{\eta}{b} \phi^*(\eta^{m-1} x, t) + \phi(g(t), t). \end{aligned}$$

We can find a measurable function  $h : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$\phi^*(h(t), t) = \frac{b\sqrt{b}}{\eta(b - \sqrt{b})} \phi(g(t), t)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_T \phi^*(h(t), t) d\mu &< \frac{b\sqrt{b}}{\eta(b - \sqrt{b})} \epsilon \eta \frac{2 - \sqrt{2}}{8\sqrt{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{8\sqrt{2}}{2 - \sqrt{2}} \epsilon \frac{2 - \sqrt{2}}{8\sqrt{2}} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $x \geq \frac{1}{\eta^{m-1}} h(t)$ . Then,  $\phi^*(\eta^{m-1} x, t) \geq \phi^*(h(t), t)$  and thus

$$\frac{\eta(b - \sqrt{b})}{b\sqrt{b}} \phi^*(\eta^{m-1} x, t) \geq \phi(g(t), t).$$

Consequently, if  $y \geq \frac{1}{\eta^{m-1}}h(t)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^*(\eta^m y, t) &\leq \frac{\eta}{b}\phi^*(\eta^{m-1}y, t) + \frac{\eta(b - \sqrt{b})}{b\sqrt{b}}\phi^*(\eta^{m-1}y, t) \\ &= \eta\xi\phi^*(\eta^{m-1}y, t) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} < 1$ .

Take  $x \geq h(t)$ . Then,  $\frac{x}{\eta^{m-1}} \geq \frac{1}{\eta^{m-1}}h(t)$  and hence,

$$\phi^*(\eta x, t) \leq \eta\xi\phi^*(x, t).$$

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). This proof is omitted since it is the same as the proof of (ii) implies (i) in Lemma 2 in [1].  $\square$

**Lemma 8**

Suppose that both  $\phi$  and  $\phi^*$  satisfy  $\Delta_2$ -condition. Then for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist a non-negative measurable function  $f$ , with  $\int_T \phi(f(t), t)d\mu < \epsilon$ , and constants  $c \in (0, 1)$  and  $\gamma > 0$  such that if  $|x| \geq f(t)$  and either  $|x| \geq 1/c|y|$  or  $xy \leq 0$  then,

$$\phi\left(\frac{|x+y|}{2}, t\right) \leq \frac{1-\gamma}{2}[\phi(|x|, t) + \phi(|y|, t)] \tag{9}$$

for almost all  $t \in T$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $\phi^*$  satisfies  $\Delta_2$ -condition, by Lemma 7, there are  $\xi \in (0, 1)$  and a measurable function  $h$  with  $\int_T \phi(h(t), t)d\mu < \epsilon/3$  such that

$$(i) \quad \phi\left(\frac{|x|}{2}, t\right) \leq \frac{1-\delta}{2}\phi(|x|, t) \quad \text{for all } |x| \geq h(t),$$

where  $0 < \delta = 1 - \xi < 1$ .

Since  $\phi \in \Delta_2$ , by Lemma 5, there are  $b \in (1, 2)$  and a measurable function  $g$  with  $\int_T \phi(g(t), t)d\mu < \epsilon/3$  such that

$$(ii) \quad \phi(b|x|, t) \leq \frac{2}{2-\delta}\phi(|x|, t) \quad \text{for all } |x| \geq g(t).$$

Define  $f : T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  by  $f = \max\{h, g\}$ . Then  $f$  is measurable and  $\int_T \phi(f(t), t)d\mu < \epsilon$ . For all  $|x| \geq f(t)$ , (i) and (ii) hold. Set  $c = b - 1$  and  $\gamma = 1 - \frac{2-2\delta}{2-\delta}$ . Let  $|x| \geq f(t)$  and  $|x| \geq 1/c|y|$  or  $xy \leq 0$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\phi\left(\left|\frac{x+y}{2}\right|, t\right) &\leq \phi\left(\frac{1}{2}(1+c)|x|, t\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1-\delta}{2}\phi(b|x|, t) \\ &\leq \frac{1-\gamma}{2}(\phi(|x|, t) + \phi(|y|, t)). \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 9** ([5])

If a Banach space  $X$  does not have uniformly normal structure, then for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $\{x_i : 1 \leq i \leq n+1\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$\|x_j\| \leq 1, \quad \|x_i - x_j\| \leq 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n+1 \quad \text{and}$$

$$\left\|x_{k+1} - \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i\right\| > 1 - \epsilon, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n. \quad (10)$$

**Main results**

**Theorem 10**

The Musielak-Orlicz space  $L_\phi$  has uniformly normal structure if and only if both  $\phi$  and  $\phi^*$  satisfy  $\Delta_2$ -condition.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) If  $L_\phi$  has UNS then  $L_\phi$  is reflexive [14] and thus  $\phi, \phi^* \in \Delta_2$  (e.g. [7], [15]).

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose both  $\phi$  and  $\phi^*$  satisfy  $\Delta_2$ -condition. By Lemma 8, there are a non-negative measurable function  $h$  with  $\int_T \phi(8h(t), t) d\mu < 1/8$  and constants  $\gamma > 0$  and  $0 < c < 1$  such that if  $|x| \geq \max\{h(t), 1/c|y|\}$  or  $xy \leq 0$  then

$$\phi\left(\left|\frac{x+y}{2}\right|, t\right) \leq \frac{1-\gamma}{2}[\phi(|x|, t) + \phi(|y|, t)].$$

We can find  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $c(1+c)^{n-3} \geq 8$ . Let  $\epsilon = \frac{\gamma}{8n^2} > 0$ . Then, by (2) there is  $\eta(\epsilon) > 0$  such that if  $\|f\|_\phi \geq 1 - \eta(\epsilon)$  then  $\rho_\phi(f) \geq 1 - \epsilon$ . Suppose that  $L_\phi$  does not have UNS. Then by Lemma 9 there exists  $\{f_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  such that

$$\|f_i\|_\phi \leq 1, \quad \|f_i - f_j\|_\phi \leq 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n \quad \text{and}$$



$$\left\| f_{m+1} - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m f_i \right\|_{\phi} \geq 1 - \eta(\epsilon), \quad m < n. \tag{11}$$

We may assume that  $f_i$ 's are simple functions with compact supports and that  $f_1 = 0, f_2 \geq 0$ .

Define  $A = \{t : f_2(t) \geq 8h(t)\}$ . Note that by (11) and since  $f_1 = 0, \|f_2\| > 1 - \eta(\epsilon)$  and so  $\rho_{\phi}(f_2) > 1 - \frac{\gamma}{8n^2} \geq \frac{3}{4}$ .

The rest of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 6 in [9] so we will give only the basic steps.

For  $2 < k \leq n$  and  $t \in A$  consider the inequality

$$\sup \{ |f_k(t) - f_j(t)| : j < k \} > (1 + c) \sup \{ |f_{k-1}(t) - f_j(t)| : j < k - 1 \}. \tag{12}$$

Define

$B_i = \{t \in A : \text{inequality (12) is true for } k < i; \text{ but it is not true for } k = i\}$

The  $B_i$ 's are disjoint measurable subsets of  $A$ . Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A \phi(f_2(t), t) d\mu &= \int_T \phi(f_2(t), t) d\mu - \int_{T-A} \phi(f_2(t), t) d\mu \\ &\geq \frac{3}{4} - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{5}{8}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $t \in B_i$  for some  $3 \leq i \leq n$  and suppose that  $k \leq i - 2$  be such that

$$|f_{i-1}(t) - f_k(t)| = \sup \{ |f_{i-1}(t) - f_j(t)| : j \leq i - 2 \}.$$

Applying Lemma 8, we get for  $t \in B_i$

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi\left(\left|\frac{2f_i(t) - f_{i-1}(t) - f_k(t)}{2}\right|, t\right) \\ &\leq \frac{1-\gamma}{2} [\phi(|f_i(t) - f_{i-1}(t)|, t) + \phi(|f_i(t) - f_k(t)|, t)]. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

If  $t \in A \setminus \cup_{j=3}^n B_j$  then  $|f_n(t)| \geq 8f_2(t)$ . Thus since  $\|f_n\|_{\phi} \geq \rho_{\phi}(f_n)$ ,

$$\|f_n\|_{\phi} \geq 8 \left[ \frac{5}{8} - \sum_{j=3}^n \int_{B_j} \phi(f_2(t), t) d\mu \right].$$

We have that  $\|f_n\|_{\phi} \leq 1$ . So we can find  $3 \leq i \leq n$  such that

$$\int_{B_i} \phi(f_2(t), t) d\mu \geq \frac{1}{2n}. \tag{14}$$

Let  $i$  be as in (14). For  $3 \leq j \leq i$  define

$$C_j = \left\{ t \in B_i : j = \sup \{ k < i : |f_k(t) - f_{i-1}(t)| = \sup_{l < i} |f_l(t) - f_{i-1}(t)| \} \right\}.$$

By (13), if  $t \in C_j$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi \left( \left| \frac{2f_i(t) - f_{i-1}(t) - f_j(t)}{2} \right|, t \right) \\ & \leq \frac{1-\gamma}{2} [\phi(|f_i(t) - f_{i-1}(t)|, t) + \phi(|f_i(t) - f_j(t)|, t)]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \phi \left( \left| f_n(t) - \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f_k(t) \right|, t \right) & \leq \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \phi(|f_n(t) - f_k(t)|, t) \\ & \quad - \frac{\gamma}{2(n-1)} \phi(f_2(t), t) \chi_{B_n}(t) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $\rho_\phi(f_n - \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f_k) \leq 1 - \frac{\gamma}{4n^2}$ . On the other hand, we have that  $1 - \frac{\gamma}{8n^2} \leq \rho_\phi(f_n - \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} f_k)$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore,  $L_\phi$  must have UNS.  $\square$

A Young function  $\phi$  is said to be linear around zero if there are  $u > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $\phi(x) = \alpha x$  for all  $x \in [0, u]$ .

**Theorem 11**

Let  $\phi$  be a Young function (with parameter) which is not linear around zero with respect to  $x$  for a.a.  $t \in T$ . Then, the Musielak-Orlicz space  $L_\phi$  has normal structure if and only if  $\phi$  satisfies  $\Delta_2$ -condition.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose that  $L_\phi$  has NS and that  $\phi \notin \Delta_2$ . Then  $L_\phi$  contains an isometric copy of  $\ell_\infty$  [5]. Since  $\ell_\infty$  does not have NS neither does  $L_\phi$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Assume  $\phi \in \Delta_2$  and suppose that  $L_\phi$  does not have NS. Then, there is a nonconstant unit limit-constant sequence  $\{f_n\}$  in  $L_\phi$ , i.e.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n - f\|_\phi = 1$  for every  $f \in co\{f_n\}$ . Thus by (3) we have that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_\phi(f_n - f) = 1$ , for every  $f \in co\{f_n\}$ . For  $i, j$  and  $n$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} F_n^{ij}(t) & = \frac{1}{2} \phi(|f_n(t) - f_i(t)|, t) + \frac{1}{2} \phi(|f_n(t) - f_j(t)|, t) \\ & \quad - \phi\left(\frac{1}{2} |2f_n(t) - f_i(t) - f_j(t)|, t\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $F_n^{ij}(t) \geq 0$  for a.a.  $t \in T$  and  $F_n^{ij}$  is integrable for all  $i, j, n$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Also,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_T F_n^{ij}(t) d\mu = 0$  for all  $i, j$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, for all  $i, j$  in  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $F_n^{ij}$  converges to zero in measure, on all sets with finite measure as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence without loss of generality we may assume that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n^{ij}(t) = 0$   $\mu$ -a.e., for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $|u(t)| = \lim_n \inf |f_n(t)|$ . For each  $t \in T$  choose  $\{n_k = n_k(t)\}$  such that  $\lim_k f_{n_k}(t) = u(t)$ . Since  $\phi$  is convex we have that for all  $i, j$  in  $\mathbb{N}$  and a.a.  $t \in T$

$$0 = \lim_k F_{n_k}^{ij}(t) = \frac{1}{2}\phi(|u(t) - f_i(t)|, t) + \frac{1}{2}\phi(|u(t) - f_j(t)|, t) - \phi\left(\frac{1}{2}|2u(t) - f_i(t) - f_j(t)|, t\right) \tag{15}$$

Replacing  $j$  with  $n_k$  in (15) and taking  $k \rightarrow \infty$  we have that for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and a.a.  $t \in T$

$$\frac{1}{2}\phi(|u(t) - f_i(t)|, t) = \phi\left(\frac{1}{2}|u(t) - f_i(t)|, t\right).$$

Thus, since  $\phi$  is not linear around zero we must have that  $u(t) = f_i(t)$   $\mu$ -a.e. for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\lim_k \|f_{n_k} - f_i\|_\phi = 0$  which contradicts the fact that  $\{f_n\}$  is a unit limit-constant sequence.  $\square$

**Theorem 12**

Let  $\phi = \{\phi_i\}$  be a Musielak-Orlicz function such that  $\phi_i$  is not linear around zero for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent

1.  $\phi$  satisfies  $\delta_2$ -condition.
2.  $\ell_\phi$  has normal structure.
3.  $\ell_\phi$  has the sum property.

*Proof.* (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Obvious.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Suppose  $\phi$  does not satisfy  $\delta_2$ -condition. Then  $\ell_\phi$  contains an isometric copy of  $\ell_1$  [2] and thus  $\ell_\phi$  cannot have NS.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) The proof that (1) implies (3) is omitted since it can be derived analogously as Theorem 3.8 in [4].

We conclude this paper by giving necessary and sufficient conditions for  $\ell_\phi$  to have normal structure in the case where  $\phi_i$  may be linear around zero. So let  $\phi = \{\phi_i\}$  be a Musielak-Orlicz function. We may assume that  $\phi_i(1) = 1$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  [8].

For every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , define

$$u_i = \sup \{u \geq 0 : \phi_i \text{ is linear on } [0, u]\}$$

and

$$\lambda_i = \sup \{ u \geq 0 : \phi_i \text{ is linear on } [0, u] \text{ and } \phi_i(u) \leq 1 \}.$$

Suppose that  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi_i(\lambda_i) < \infty$  and consider the set  $D = \{i \in \mathbb{N} : u_i > 1\}$ . Then, since  $\phi_i(\lambda_i) = 1$  for  $i \in D$ ,  $D$  must be finite. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi_i(u_i) &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}-D} \phi_i(u_i) + \sum_{i \in D} \phi_i(u_i) \\ &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}-D} \phi_i(\lambda_i) + \sum_{i \in D} \phi_i(u_i) < \infty \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi_i(\lambda_i) < \infty \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi_i(u_i) < \infty. \quad (16)$$

### Theorem 13

The Musielak-Orlicz sequence space  $\ell_\phi$  has normal structure if and only if  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi_i(\lambda_i) < \infty$  and  $\phi$  satisfies  $\delta_2$ -condition.

*Proof.* The necessity is true since if  $\phi \notin \delta_2$  or if  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \phi_i(\lambda_i) = \infty$ , then  $\ell_\phi$  contains an isometric copy of  $\ell_1$  ([2]).

To show the sufficiency, assume that  $\ell_\phi$  does not have NS. There is a unit limit-constant sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $\ell_\phi$ , i.e.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| = 1$ , for all  $x \in \text{co}\{x_n\}$ , which by (3) implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_\phi(x_n - x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \text{co}\{x_n\}$ .

Since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, by diagonal method we can find a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  and  $y$  such that  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow y$  in coordinates. Then, by Fatou's Lemma we have that  $y \in \ell_\phi$ . Observe that  $\{x_{n_k} - y\}$  is again a unit limit-constant sequence and thus without loss of generality we may assume that  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  in coordinates.

Since  $\phi_i(1) = 1$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\{x_n\}$  is a unit-limit constant sequence, for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  there is  $L > 0$  such that  $|x_n(i) - x_m(i)| < L$  for all  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ .

#### Claim:

$$|x_n(i) - x_m(i)| \leq u_i \quad \text{for all } i, m, n \in \mathbb{N} \quad (17)$$

*Proof of Claim:* Suppose that the claim is not true. Then there are  $i_0, m_0, k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|x_{k_0}(i_0) - x_{m_0}(i_0)| > u_{i_0}.$$

We can find  $\delta, L > 0$  such that

$$u_{i_0} + \delta < |x_{k_0}(i_0) - x_{m_0}(i_0)| \leq L.$$

Since  $[0, L]$  is compact and  $\phi_{i_0}$  is continuous, by Lemma 2 in [13] there are  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , ( $\epsilon = 1/3 \min \{u_{i_0}, \delta\}$ ) with

$$\phi_{i_0} \left( \left| d_2 - \frac{d_1}{2} \right| \right) < \frac{1}{2} \phi_{i_0} (|d_2 - d_1|) + \frac{1}{2} \phi_{i_0} (|d_2|) - \lambda$$

whenever  $u_{i_0} + \delta < d_1 \leq L$  and  $0 < d_2 < d_1 + \epsilon$ .

Without loss of generality we may assume that  $x_{m_0}(i_0) < \epsilon$ ,  $x_{k_0}(i_0) > 0$  and  $x_{k_0}(i_0) > x_{m_0}(i_0)$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n(i_0) = 0$  the following is true:

There are  $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|x_n(i_0)| < x_{k_0}(i_0)$ , for all  $n \geq n_1$  and  $|x_n(i_0)| < \epsilon - x_{m_0}(i_0)$ , for all  $n \geq n_2$ .

Let  $n \geq \max \{n_1, n_2\}$ . Define

$$d_2 = x_{k_0}(i_0) - x_n(i_0), \quad d_1 = x_{k_0}(i_0) - x_{m_0}(i_0).$$

Then  $u_{i_0} + \delta < d_1 \leq L$  and  $0 < d_2 < d_1 + \epsilon$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi_{i_0} \left( \left| \frac{1}{2} (x_{k_0}(i_0) + x_{m_0}(i_0)) - x_n(i_0) \right| \right) \\ &= \phi_{i_0} \left( \left| x_{k_0}(i_0) - x_n(i_0) - \frac{x_{k_0}(i_0) - x_{m_0}(i_0)}{2} \right| \right) \\ &< \frac{1}{2} \phi_{i_0} (|x_{k_0}(i_0) - x_n(i_0)|) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \phi_{i_0} (|x_{m_0}(i_0) - x_n(i_0)|) - \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$I_\phi \left( \frac{1}{2} (x_{k_0} + x_{m_0}) - x_n \right) < \frac{1}{2} I_\phi (x_{k_0} - x_n) + \frac{1}{2} I_\phi (x_{m_0} - x_n) - \lambda.$$

Taking limits in the above inequality as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get a contradiction. Hence the claim holds.

By assumption we have that  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \phi_i(u_i) < \infty$ . Thus there is  $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\sum_{i=i_0+1}^\infty \phi_i(u_i) < \frac{1}{4}.$$

We can find  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{i_0} \phi_i(\epsilon) < \frac{1}{4}.$$

Since  $\{x_n(i)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence for every  $1 \leq i \leq i_0$ , there is  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$|x_n(i) - x_m(i)| < \epsilon \quad \text{for } n, m > k \quad \text{and } 1 \leq i \leq i_0.$$

Then for any  $n, m > k$

$$I_{\phi}(x_n - x_m) < \sum_{i=1}^{i_0} \phi_i(\epsilon) + \sum_{i=i_0+1}^{\infty} \phi_i(u_i) < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{\phi}(x_n - x_m) < 1/2$  which is a contradiction. This completes the proof of Theorem 13.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The author wants to thank Dr. Huiying Sun for introducing me to the subject and especially for her significant contribution to the proof of Theorem 13.

## References

1. G. Alherk and H. Hudzik, Uniformly non- $\ell_n^{(1)}$  Musielak-Orlicz spaces of Bochner Type, *Forum Math* **1** (1989), 403–410.
2. G. Alherk and H. Hudzik, Copies of  $\ell_1$  and  $c_0$  in Musielak-Orlicz Sequence Spaces, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **35**:1 (1994), 9–19.
3. M.S. Brodski and D.P. Milman, On the center of a convex set, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* **59** (1948), 837–840 (Russian).
4. S. Chen, Geometric properties of Orlicz spaces, Preprint.
5. S. Chen and H. Sun, Reflexive Orlicz spaces have uniformly normal structure, *Studia Math.* **109** (1994), 197–208.
6. H. Hudzik, On some equivalent conditions in Musielak-Orlicz spaces, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **24** (1984), 57–64.
7. H. Hudzik and A. Kamińska, On uniformly convexifiable and B-convex Musielak-Orlicz sequence spaces, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.* **25** (1985), 59–75.
8. A. Kamińska, Uniform Rotundity of Musielak-Orlicz sequence spaces, *J. Approx. Theory* **47**:4 (1986), 302–322.
9. A. Kamińska, P.K. Lin and H. Sun, Uniformly normal structure of Orlicz-Lorentz spaces, Proceedings, Conference in Functional Analysis, Harmonic Analysis and Probability, Columbia, Missouri 1994.

10. W.A. Kirk, A fixed point theorem for mappings which do not increase distances, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **72** 1004–1006.
11. T. Landes, Permanence properties of Normal Structure, *Pacific J. Math.* **110**:1 (1984), 125–143.
12. T. Landes, Normal Structure and Weakly Normal Structure of the Orlicz Sequence Spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **285**:2 (1984), 523–534.
13. P. K. Lin and H. Sun, Normal Structure of Lorentz-Orlicz Spaces, Preprint.
14. E. Maluta, Uniformly Normal Structure and related coefficients, *Pacific J. Math.* **111**:2 (1984), 357–369.
15. J. Musielak, *Orlicz Spaces and Modular Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1038**, Springer-Verlag 1983.
16. M. Wisla, Convergence in Musielak-Orlicz Spaces, *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math.* **33**:9–10 (1985), 517–529.