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## Abstract

Let us consider the variational equation in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(a(x) F^{\prime}(|\nabla u|) \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)=0
$$

where $0<\lambda_{0} \leq a(x) \leq \Lambda_{0}<\infty$ and $F$ is a convex increasing function verifying suitable conditions. We prove that the very weak solutions of such equation, whose gradient belongs to a suitable Orlicz space, must be constant almost everywhere. The result applies, in particular, to the case in which $F$ is the power $F(t)=t^{p}(p>1)$, i.e. to the variational equation in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=0
$$

## 1. Introduction

Throughout the paper we will denote by $F=F(t)$ a convex differentiable increasing function on $\left[0, \infty\left[\right.\right.$ such that $p F(t) \leq t F^{\prime}(t) \leq q F(t) \forall t \geq 0$ where $1<p \leq q<\infty$, and such that $\liminf _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t F^{\prime}(t)}{F(t)}>n$ or $\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t F^{\prime}(t)}{F(t)} \leq n$. Let us consider the very weak solutions of the variational equation in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(a(x) F^{\prime}(|\nabla u|) \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}\right)=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]where $a(x)$ is a measurable function such that $0<\lambda_{0} \leq a(x) \leq \Lambda_{0}<\infty$, i.e. (see Iwaniec-Sbordone [8]) the functions $u \in W_{l o c}^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right),|\nabla u| \in L_{F_{r}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), F_{r}(t)=$ $F(t) t^{r-p}, \max \{1, p-1\} \leq r<p$, such that
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} a(x) F^{\prime}(|\nabla u|) \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \nabla \phi=0, \quad \forall \phi \in W^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \quad \text { with compact support. }
$$

The definition of very weak solution is best visualized when $F$ is the power $F(t)=t^{p}(p>1)$. In this case the equation (1.1) reduces to the variational equation in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div}\left(a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and any weak solution $u \in W_{l o c}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ of (1.2) must satisfy the identity
(1.3) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla \phi=0, \quad \forall \phi \in W^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \quad$ with compact support.

In order to give meaning to the integral in (1.3), the assumption $u \in W_{l o c}^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is not necessary. Actually, it will be sufficient to assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in W_{l o c}^{1, r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), \quad \max \{1, p-1\} \leq r<p \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any function $u$ verifying (1.4) is called a very weak solution (see [10]) of equation (1.2) if (1.3) holds for any $\phi \in W^{1, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with compact support.

The aim of this paper is to prove the following Liouville-type theorem.

## Theorem 1.1

There exists $r_{0}<p$ such that, if $u$ is a very weak solution of (1.1) such that $|\nabla u| \in L_{F_{r}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, with $r_{0}<r<p$, then $u$ is constant.

If $F$ is such that $\liminf _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t F^{\prime}(t)}{F(t)}>n$ or $\lim \sup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t F^{\prime}(t)}{F(t)} \leq n$, then from Theorem 1.1 we can deduce, in particular, the main results of [4], [2] in which, under a further assumption of integrability on $u$, it is proved that $u$ must be zero a.e. The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be deduced by using the same technique (introduced by Lewis in [9]) as in [4], without any integrability assumption on $u$.

We remark that the Liouville theorem for weak solutions of the p-harmonic equation is well-known (see [7], for instance, in which also nonhomogeneous equations are considered).

## 2. Notations and preliminary results

We begin with the following
Remark 2.1. If

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t F^{\prime}(t)}{F(t)}>n, \quad \text { then } \lim _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{F(t)}{t^{n}}=0
$$

The statement follows by noticing that for small $\epsilon>0$ the function $\frac{F(t)}{t^{n+\epsilon}}$ has first derivative positive near zero, and therefore has a finite limit when $t \rightarrow 0$.

Next theorem is well known in the theory of Sobolev spaces. We will use the following version, which is a generalization in the context of the Orlicz-Sobolev spaces theory.

Theorem 2.2 ([11], [3])
If $p F(t) \leq t F^{\prime}(t) \leq q F(t), \forall t \geq 0$ with $1<p \leq q<n$, and if $u \in W_{l o c}^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is such that $|D u| \in L_{F}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then there exists a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $u-c \in$ $L_{F_{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, where $F_{*}$ is the Sobolev conjugate function of $F$ defined by

$$
F_{*}^{-1}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{F^{-1}(\tau)}{\tau^{1+1 / n}} d \tau \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

Let us remark that, more generally, Theorem 2.2 is true under the assumption $1<i(F) \leq I(F)<n$, where $i(F), I(F)$ are the reciprocal of the Boyd indices of $F$ : this fact can be deduced by using some relations between the Simonenko indices and the Boyd indices (see [5]).

Let us note also that functions $u$ verifying the assumptions of Theorem 2.2 are such that $M u$ is almost everywhere finite, where $M$ is the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator defined by

$$
M u(y)=\sup _{Q \ni y} f_{Q} u(x) d x
$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes $Q$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ containing $y$. The proof of Theorem 2.2 may be carried out by using the Riesz potential in a standard way. It is easy to realize also that

$$
c=\lim _{\rho \rightarrow \infty} f_{B_{\rho(y)}} u(x) d x \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

where $B_{\rho}(y)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|y-x|<\rho\right\}$. We observe also that by using results proved in [1] about Riesz potentials, if

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\widetilde{F}(t)}{t^{1+n / n-1}} d t<\infty
$$

where $\widetilde{F}$ denotes the conjugate function of $F$, and if $u \in W_{l o c}^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is such that $|D u| \in L_{F}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then $u$ is bounded. This result is proved in [1] for functions belonging to the Orlicz-Sobolev space $W^{1} L_{F}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Next theorem, due to Gustavsson-Peetre ([6]), is from Interpolation theory, and is a particular case of the original statement.

## Theorem 2.3

Let $\left.p^{*}, p, q^{*}, q \in\right] 1, \infty[$ and let $T$ be a continuous linear operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T: L_{p^{*}}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{p}(\Omega) \\
& T: L_{q^{*}}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{q}(\Omega)
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively with norm $\|T\|_{p^{*}, p},\|T\|_{q^{*}, q}$, where $\Omega$ is a bounded open set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Let $\eta:] 0, \infty[\rightarrow] 0, \infty[$ be such that

$$
\bar{\alpha} \eta(t) \leq t \eta^{\prime}(t) \leq \bar{\beta} \eta(t) \quad \forall t>0
$$

for some $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta} \in] 0,1[$ and let

$$
A^{-1}(t)=t^{1 / q^{*}} \eta\left(t^{1 / p^{*}-1 / q^{*}}\right) \quad, \quad B^{-1}(t)=t^{1 / q} \eta\left(t^{1 / p-1 / q}\right)
$$

Then the operator

$$
T: L_{A}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{B}(\Omega)
$$

is a continuous linear operator with norm $\|T\|_{A, B} \leq \max \left(\|T\|_{p^{*}, p},\|T\|_{q^{*}, q}\right)$.
Next lemmas are parts of the proof of the main theorem of [4].

## Lemma 2.4 ([4])

If $u \in W_{l o c}^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is a very weak solution of (1.1) such that $|\nabla u| \in L_{F_{r}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $r_{0}<r<p$, and if $u^{k}$ is the truncation of $u$ at levels $k$ and $-k, u_{\rho}^{k}=u^{k} \phi_{\rho}$ where $\phi_{\rho}$ are cut-off, $\lambda_{\rho}=c \rho^{-n} \int_{B_{2 \rho}}\left|\nabla u_{\rho}^{k}(y)\right| d y$, and

$$
E\left(\lambda_{\rho}\right)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: M\left(\left|\nabla u_{\rho}^{k}(x)\right|\right) \leq \lambda_{\rho}\right\}
$$

then we have $\lim _{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{\rho}=0$ and $\chi_{E\left(\lambda_{\rho}\right) \longrightarrow 0}$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Lemma 2.5 ([4])
If $u \in W_{l o c}^{1,1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is a very weak solution of (1.1) such that $|\nabla u| \in L_{F_{r}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $r_{0}<r<p$, then if $\delta=p-r>0$ the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{1}{\delta} \int_{B_{4 \rho} \backslash E\left(\lambda_{\rho_{h}}\right)} a F^{\prime}(|\nabla u|) \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \nabla u_{\rho}^{k}\left(M\left(\left|\nabla u_{\rho}^{k}\right|\right)\right)^{-\delta} d x  \tag{2.1}\\
\quad+\frac{\lambda_{\rho}^{-\delta}}{\delta} \int_{E\left(\lambda_{\rho_{h}}\right)} a F^{\prime}(|\nabla u|) \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \nabla u_{\rho}^{k} d x \\
\leq \frac{c}{1-\delta} \int_{B_{4 \rho}} a F^{\prime}(|\nabla u|)\left(M\left(\left|\nabla u_{\rho}^{k}\right|\right)\right)^{1-\delta} d x
\end{gather*}
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We will proceed as follows: first we prove it suffices to show that there exists $r_{0}<p$ such that for every $k>0, r_{0}<r<p$ there exists a sequence $\left(\rho_{h}\right)_{h \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|u^{k}\right\|_{L_{F_{r}\left(\Omega_{\rho_{h}}\right)}}}{\rho_{h}}=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u^{k}$ for $k>0$ is defined by

$$
u^{k}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
u & \text { if } & |u(x)| \leq k \\
k & \text { if } & u(x) \geq k \\
-k & \text { if } & u(x) \leq-k
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $\Omega_{\rho}=B_{2 \rho}-B_{\rho} \quad \forall \rho>0$.
Then we will prove (3.1) by considering the following three cases:
Case 1: $\quad \liminf _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t F^{\prime}(t)}{F(t)}>n$.
Case 2: $\quad \limsup _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t F^{\prime}(t)}{F(t)} \leq n \quad$ and $\quad \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t F^{\prime}(t)}{F(t)} \leq n$.
Case 3: $\quad \limsup _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t F^{\prime}(t)}{F(t)} \leq n \quad$ and $\quad \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t F^{\prime}(t)}{F(t)}>n$.

To show that (3.1) is sufficient to prove Theorem 1.1, we begin by noticing that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\nabla u_{\rho}^{k}-\nabla u^{k}\right\|_{L_{F_{r}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}=\left\|\left(\nabla u^{k}\right)\left(\phi_{\rho}-1\right)+u^{k} \nabla \phi_{\rho}\right\|_{L_{F_{r}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \\
\leq\left\|\left(\nabla u^{k}\right)\left(\phi_{\rho}-1\right)\right\|_{L_{F_{r}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}+\left\|u^{k} \nabla \phi_{\rho}\right\|_{L_{F_{r}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The first term on the right hand side goes to 0 as $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ because of the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem and

$$
\left\|u^{k} \nabla \phi_{\rho}\right\|_{L_{F_{r}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq \frac{\left\|u^{k}\right\|_{L_{F_{r}\left(\Omega_{\rho}\right)}}}{\rho}
$$

By using (3.1) we get that there exists a sequence $\left(\rho_{h}\right)_{h \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\nabla u_{\rho_{h}}^{k} \rightarrow \nabla u^{k}$ in $L_{F_{r}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Now let us pass to the limit in the second term on the left hand side of (2.1). We will call $H(x)$ a function in $L_{F_{r}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ that majorizes a subsequence of $\nabla u_{\rho_{h}}^{k}$ and we will denote, for simplicity of notations, by $\rho_{h}$ again, the relative subsequence of indices. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{E\left(\lambda_{\rho_{h}}\right)} a F^{\prime}(|\nabla u|) \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \nabla u_{\rho_{h}}^{k}\left(M\left(\left|\nabla u_{\rho_{h}}^{k}\right|\right)\right)^{-\delta} d x\right| \\
& \quad=\left|\int_{E\left(\lambda_{\rho_{h}}\right) \cap \Omega_{\rho_{h}}} a F^{\prime}(|\nabla u|) \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \nabla u_{\rho_{h}}^{k}\left(M\left(\left|\nabla u_{\rho_{h}}^{k}\right|\right)\right)^{-\delta} d x\right| \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\Omega_{\rho_{h}}} a F^{\prime}(|\nabla u|)\left(M\left(\left|\nabla u_{\rho_{h}}^{k}\right|\right)\right)^{1-\delta} d x \\
& \quad \leq \int_{\Omega_{\rho_{h}}} a F^{\prime}(|\nabla u|)(M(H))^{1-\delta} d x \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

because the last integrand is in $L_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ by virtue of Lemma 2.3 of [4].
At this point we can conclude the proof by using Lemma 2.4 exactly as in [4], one has only to remember that the $\delta$ in Step 4 has to be chosen also such that $0<\delta<p-r_{0}$.

Now we prove (3.1).
Case 1: $\quad \liminf _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t F^{\prime}(t)}{F(t)}>n$.
Since

$$
\frac{t F_{r}^{\prime}(t)}{F_{r}(t)}=\frac{t F^{\prime}(t)}{F(t)}-(p-r)
$$

let $1<r_{0}<p$ be such that for every $r_{0}<r<p$

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t F_{r}^{\prime}(t)}{F_{r}(t)}>n
$$

and let $\left(t_{h}\right)_{h \in \mathbb{N}}$ any decreasing sequence such that $t_{h} \rightarrow 0$, and therefore, by Remark 2.1, such that

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_{r}\left(t_{h}\right)}{t_{h}^{n}}=0
$$

Set $c_{n}=\left[\left(2^{n}-1\right) \omega_{n}\right]^{-1}$ where $\omega_{n}$ denotes the measure of the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and

$$
\rho_{h}=\left(\frac{c_{n}}{F_{r}\left(t_{h}\right)}\right)^{1 / n}
$$

We have

$$
\frac{\left\|u^{k}\right\|_{L_{F_{r}\left(\Omega_{\rho_{h}}\right)}}}{\rho_{h}} \leq \frac{k\|1\|_{L_{F_{r}\left(\Omega_{\rho_{h}}\right)}}}{\rho_{h}}=\frac{k}{\rho_{h} F_{r}^{-1}\left(c_{n} \rho_{h}^{-n}\right)}=k\left(\frac{F_{r}\left(t_{h}\right)}{c_{n}}\right)^{1 / n} \frac{1}{t_{h}} \rightarrow 0
$$

as $h \rightarrow \infty$ and therefore in this case the proof is complete.
Case 2: $\quad \limsup _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t F^{\prime}(t)}{F(t)} \leq n$ and $\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t F^{\prime}(t)}{F(t)} \leq n$.
Since the inverse $I(F)$ of the upper Boyd index of $F$ is such that (see [5])

$$
I(F) \leq \max \left\{\limsup _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t F^{\prime}(t)}{F(t)}, \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t F^{\prime}(t)}{F(t)}\right\}
$$

we have $I(F) \leq n$ and therefore (see [5]) $I\left(F_{r}\right) \leq n-(p-r)<n$, so we may assume, eventually considering a function equivalent to $F_{r}$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{r} F_{r}(t) \leq t F_{r}^{\prime}(t) \leq q_{r} F_{r}(t) \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $1<p_{r}, q_{r}<n$. Just to simplify the notations, let us drop the index $r$ in all the symbols of (3.2), until the end of the proof of this case: no confusion arise, because we never need to consider the function $F$.

The proof of (3.1) easily follows from the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{L_{F}\left(\Omega_{\rho}\right)} \leq c(n) \rho\|v\|_{L_{F_{*}}\left(\Omega_{\rho}\right)} \quad \forall v \in L_{F_{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}, \quad \forall \rho>0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F^{*}$ is the Sobolev-conjugate function of $F$, in fact, after (3.3), we have

$$
0 \leq \lim _{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left\|u^{k}\right\|_{L_{F_{r}\left(\Omega_{\rho}\right)}}}{\rho_{h}} \leq c(n) \lim _{\rho \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u^{k}\right\|_{L_{F_{*}}\left(\Omega_{\rho}\right)}=0
$$

because we may assume, without loss of generality, that $u^{k} \in L_{F_{*}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$ by virtue of Theorem 2.2.

In the case of powers, i.e. $F(t)=t^{r}$ with $\left.r \in\right] 1, n[$, inequality (3.3) follows from Hölder inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{L_{r}\left(\Omega_{\rho}\right)} \leq\|v\|_{L_{r^{*}}\left(\Omega_{\rho}\right)}\left|\Omega_{\rho}\right|^{\left(1-r / r^{*}\right) 1 / r}=c(n) \rho\|v\|_{L_{r^{*}}\left(\Omega_{\rho}\right)} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c(n)=\left[\left(2^{n}-1\right) \omega_{n}\right]^{1 / n}$ and $r^{*}=\frac{n r}{n-r}$ is the Sobolev-conjugate exponent of $r$.

If $F$ is not a power, we will proceed by the following interpolation argument. By (3.2) we have

$$
\frac{1}{q} F^{-1}(t) \leq t\left(F^{-1}(t)\right)^{\prime} \leq \frac{1}{p} F^{-1}(t) \quad \forall t>0
$$

with $\frac{1}{n}<\frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{p}<1$. Therefore $F^{-1}$ may be written as follows

$$
F^{-1}(t)=t^{1 / q_{1}} \eta\left(t^{1 / p_{1}-1 / q_{1}}\right) \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

with $\eta$ as in Theorem 2.3 and $\frac{1}{n}<\frac{1}{q_{1}}<\frac{1}{q} \leq \frac{1}{p}<\frac{1}{p_{1}}<1$. Let $p_{1}^{*}, q_{1}^{*}$ be the Sobolevconjugate exponents of $p_{1}, q_{1}$ and let $T$ be the identity operator. We can apply Theorem 2.3 with $\Omega=\Omega_{\rho}$ because (3.4) shows that

$$
\|T\|_{p_{1}^{*}, p_{1}} \leq c(n) \rho, \quad\|T\|_{q_{1}^{*}, q_{1}} \leq c(n) \rho
$$

Then we have

$$
\|v\|_{L_{B}\left(\Omega_{\rho}\right)} \leq c(n) \rho\|v\|_{L_{A}\left(\Omega_{\rho}\right)}
$$

with $B(t)=F(t)$ and $A(t)$ given by

$$
A^{-1}(t)=t^{1 / q_{1}^{*}} \eta\left(t^{1 / p_{1}^{*}-1 / q_{1}^{*}}\right) \quad \forall t \geq 0
$$

But $L_{A}\left(\Omega_{\rho}\right)=L_{F_{*}}\left(\Omega_{\rho}\right)$ because the function $A^{-1}$ is equivalent to $F_{*}^{-1}$, in fact

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{*}^{-1}(t) & =\int_{0}^{t} \frac{F^{-1}(\tau)}{\tau^{1+1 / n}} d \tau=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\tau^{1 / q_{1}} \eta\left(\tau^{1 / p_{1}-1 / q_{1}}\right)}{\tau^{1+1 / n}} d \tau \\
& =\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\tau^{1 / q_{1}^{*}} \eta\left(\tau^{1 / p_{1}^{*}-1 / q_{1}^{*}}\right)}{\tau} d \tau=\int_{0}^{t} \frac{A^{-1}(\tau)}{\tau} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we obtain (3.3) and Theorem 1.1 is proved also in this case.
Case 3: $\quad \limsup \frac{t F^{\prime}(t)}{F(t)} \leq n$ and $\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t F^{\prime}(t)}{F(t)}>n$.
Roughly speaking, this case will be treated by noticing that the behavior of $F_{r}$ on big values of $t$ does not influence substantially the norm of $u^{k}$ in $L_{F_{r}}\left(\Omega_{\rho}\right)$, and therefore this case can be reduced to the previous one. Now let us see the proof in details.

Since

$$
\frac{t F_{r}^{\prime}(t)}{F_{r}(t)}=\frac{t F^{\prime}(t)}{F(t)}-(p-r)
$$

let $1<r_{0}<p$ be such that for every $r_{0}<r<p$

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{t F_{r}^{\prime}(t)}{F_{r}(t)}<n \quad \text { and } \quad \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t F_{r}^{\prime}(t)}{F_{r}(t)}>n
$$

and let $\bar{t}>0$ be such that

$$
r F_{r}(t) \leq t F_{r}^{\prime}(t) \leq \bar{q} F_{r}(t) \quad \forall t \in[0, \bar{t}]
$$

for some $r<\bar{q}<n$. Define

$$
G(t)= \begin{cases}F_{r}(t) & \text { if } t \in[0, \bar{t}] \\ \frac{F_{r}(\bar{t})}{\bar{t}^{r}} t^{r} & \text { if } t \in] \bar{t}, \infty[ \end{cases}
$$

so that $G$ is convex, increasing and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(t) \leq F_{r}(t) \quad \forall t \geq 0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
1<r \leq \frac{t G^{\prime}(t)}{G(t)} \leq \bar{q}<n \quad \forall t>0
$$

We may assume that

$$
\limsup _{\rho \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u^{k}\right\|_{L_{F_{r}}\left(\Omega_{\rho}\right)}>0
$$

otherwise the proof is trivial, and therefore there exists $\left(\rho_{h}\right)_{h \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$
\left\|u^{k}\right\|_{L_{F_{r}}\left(\Omega_{\rho_{h}}\right)}>\bar{\epsilon} \quad \forall h \in \mathbb{N}
$$

for some $0<\bar{\epsilon}<\frac{k}{\bar{t}}$. By (3.5) we have

$$
G(t) \leq F_{r}(t) \leq G(t) \frac{F_{r}\left(\frac{k}{\bar{\epsilon}}\right)}{G(\bar{t})} \quad \forall t \in\left[0, \frac{k}{\bar{\epsilon}}\right]
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u^{k}\right\|_{L_{F_{r}}\left(\Omega_{\rho_{h}}\right)} & =\inf \left\{\lambda>0: \int_{\Omega_{\rho_{h}}} F_{r}\left(\frac{u^{k}}{\lambda}\right) d x \leq 1\right\} \\
& =\inf \left\{\lambda>\bar{\epsilon}: \int_{\Omega_{\rho_{h}}} F_{r}\left(\frac{u^{k}}{\lambda}\right) d x \leq 1\right\} \leq c(\bar{t}, k, \bar{\epsilon})\left\|u^{k}\right\|_{L_{G}\left(\Omega_{\rho_{h}}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which, by the Case 2 applied to $G$, we have the assertion. $\square$
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