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#### Abstract

In what follows we shall describe, in terms of some commutation properties, a method which gives nilpotent elements. Using this method we shall describe, as in [9] the irreducibility for Lie algebras which have Levi-Malçev decomposition property.


In 1935 N. Jacobson gave in [5] "a development by rational methods of that part of the theory of Lie algebras which centers around the Lie-Engel theorems". The proofs are based on nilpotent property for some elements and subalgebras of the enveloping of a Lie algebra.

We have proved in [7], [2], [8] an infinite-dimensional analogue of Lie Theorem concerning irreducible finite dimensional representations of solvable Lie algebra. The proofs of this results are based as in [5] on a method which gives nilpotent elements.

In the same time D. L. Gurarii and Ju. I. Lubich have proved in [4] a theorem analogous to Lie's weight theorem. Using this result and the ideas of [7], [2], [8] D. L. Gurarii and M. Sabac in [3], [9] gives some characterizations of irreducible representations on a Banach space for Lie algebras which have Levi-Malçev decomposition property (see [9] Definition 2).

[^0]In what follows we shall describe, in terms of some commutation properties, a method which gives nilpotent elements. Using this method we shall describe, as in [9] the irreducibility for Lie algebras which have Levi-Malçev decomposition property.

The paper has two parts. The first part contains algebraic results (concerning Lie subalgebras of an associative algebra). In the second part we give analogous results for Lie subalgebra of a normed associative algebra respectively for Lie algebra of operators on some complex Banach space.

## 1. Nilpotency and solvability for Lie subalgebras of an associative algebra

In the following $\mathcal{A}$ will be an associative algebra over complex field $\mathbb{C}$ (or a field of characteristic 0).

We denote in a standard way,

$$
\operatorname{ad} a(b)=[a, b]=a b-b a, \quad \text { for } \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}
$$

Definition 1. For $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ we say that $b$ polynomially commutes with $a$ if there exist a nonconstant polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ so that $P(b)$ commute with $a$ i.e.

$$
[P(b), a]=0
$$

Definition 2. For $b \in \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{A}$ we say that $b$ is polynomial central in $\mathcal{M}$, if $b$ polynomially commutes with $a$ for every $a \in \mathcal{M}$.

Remark. If $q \in \mathcal{A}$ is nilpotent, then $q$ is polynomial central in $\mathcal{A}$.

## Lemma 1

Let $x, q, a \in \mathcal{A}$ so that $[x, q]=a$. If $[q, a]=0$ and $q$ polynomially commutes with $x$, then $a$ is nilpotent.

Proof. We have by induction $\left[x, q^{n}\right]=n q^{n-1} a, n \in \mathbb{N}$ because $[q, a]=0$. Hence we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x, P(q)]=P^{\prime}(q) a, \quad \text { for } \quad P \in \mathbb{C}[X] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P^{\prime}$ denotes the derivative of $P$.

But $q$ polynomially commutes with $x$. Then, there exists $P \in \mathbb{C}[X],[x, P(q)]=$ 0 and by (1) we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\prime}(q) a=0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $P_{1} \in \mathbb{C}[X], k \geq 1$ and $P_{1}(q) a^{k}=0$, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[x, P_{1}(q) a^{k}\right] } & =\left[x, P_{1}(q)\right] a^{k}+P_{1}(q)\left[x, a^{k}\right] \\
0 & =P_{1}^{\prime}(q) a^{k+1}+P_{1}(q) x a^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We multiply at left the last relation by $a^{k}$ and we have:

$$
P_{1}(q) a^{k}=0 \Rightarrow P_{1}^{\prime}(q) a^{2 k+1}=0
$$

because $[a, q]=0$. Hence by (2) we deduce,

$$
P^{\prime}(q) a=0 \Rightarrow P^{\prime \prime}(q) a^{2+1}=0 \ldots \Rightarrow n!a^{\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 2^{i}}=0
$$

where $n$ is the degree of $P$. Lemma 1 is proved.
Remark. If $\mathcal{A}$ has finite dimension or $q$ is nilpotent, then $q$ polynomially commutes with $x$ for every $x \in \mathcal{A}$. In this case the hypothesis of Lemma 1 is more simple and in this form Lemma can be found in [5] and [8].

## Theorem 1

Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}, Z_{\mathcal{L}}$ the center of $\mathcal{L}$. If I is a Lie ideal of $\mathcal{L}, \operatorname{dim} I<+\infty$ and ad $x \mid I$ is nilpotent for any $x \in \mathcal{L}$, then one of the following assertions is true:
(i) $[q, I]=0$ for every polynomial central $q$ in $\mathcal{L}$.
(ii) There exists $q \neq 0, q$ nilpotent, $q \in I \cap Z_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Proof. Using the Engel's Theorem we can find $\{0\}=I_{0} \subset I_{1} \subset \ldots \subset I_{k} \subset \ldots \subset$ $I_{n}=I, I_{k}$ Lie ideal of $\mathcal{L}, \operatorname{dim} I_{k}=k$, ad $x\left(I_{k}\right) \subset I_{k-1}$ for every $k=1, \ldots n$ and $x \in \mathcal{L}$.
Obviously, ad $x\left(I_{1}\right)=\{0\}$ for every $x \in \mathcal{L}$ and we have,
(i) $\left[q, I_{1}\right]=0$ for every polynomial central $q$ in $\mathcal{L}$
or
(ii) there exists $q \neq 0, q$ nilpotent, $q \in I_{1} \cap Z_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Now, we suppose that (i) or (ii) holds for $I_{k}$ i.e.
(i) $\left[q, I_{k}\right]=0$ for every polynomial central $q$ in $\mathcal{L}$ or
(ii) there exists $q \neq 0, q$ nilpotent, $q \in I_{k} \cap Z_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Obviously, if (ii) holds for $I_{k}$, then (ii) holds for $I$. It remains to study the case when (i) holds for $I_{k}$. In this case let $a_{k+1}$ be so that $I_{k+1}=I_{k}+\mathbb{C} a_{k+1}$.

We can write

$$
\left[q, a_{k+1}\right]=q_{k} \in I_{k} \quad \text { for every } \quad q \in \mathcal{L}
$$

because $\left[q, I_{k+1}\right] \subset I_{k}$. If $q$ is a polynomial central in $\mathcal{L}$, then $\left[q, q_{k}\right]=0$ because $I_{k}$ verifies (i).

Obviously, we have
(1) $\left[q, a_{k+1}\right]=0 \quad$ for every polynomial central $q$ in $\mathcal{L}$
or
(2) there exists polynomial central $q$ in $\mathcal{L}$ so that $\left[q, a_{k+1}\right]=q_{k} \neq 0$.

If we have (1), then (i) holds for $I_{k+1}$, because $I_{k+1}=I_{k}+\mathbb{C} a_{k+1}$ and $I_{k}$ verifies (i). If we have (2), there exists polynomial central $q$ in $\mathcal{L}$.

$$
0 \neq\left[q, a_{k+1}\right]=q_{k} \in I_{k},\left[q, q_{k}\right]=0
$$

and by Lemma 1 we deduce $q_{k}$ nilpotent.
Hence, in the case (2) there exists $q_{k} \in I_{k}, q_{k} \neq 0, q_{k}$ nilpotent. For any $x \in \mathcal{L}$ we have $\left[x, q_{k}\right]=q_{k-1} \in I_{k-1}$ and one of the following is true:
$\alpha)\left[x, q_{k}\right]=0$ for every $x \in \mathcal{L}$ i.e. (ii) is true for $I_{k}$ hence for $I$
$\beta)$ there exists $x \in \mathcal{L},\left[x, q_{k}\right]=q_{k-1} \neq 0, q_{k}$ nilpotent.
$I_{k}$ verifies (i), hence $I_{k-1}$ verifies (i) because $I_{k-1} \subset I_{k}$.
We have $\left[q_{k}, q_{k-1}\right]=0$, because $q_{k}$ is nilpotent (hence polynomial central in $\mathcal{L}$ ). From $\beta$ ) and by Lemma 1 we deduce $q_{k-1}$ nilpotent, $0 \neq q_{k-1} \in I_{k-1}$ and we can repeat the proof with $\alpha$ ) and $\beta$ ) for $q_{k-1}$ etc.

Clearly we obtain that (ii) holds for some $I_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq k$. Hence, in the case (2), (ii) holds for $I$.

## Corollary

Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a quasinilpotent Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ (i.e. $\mathcal{L}=\sum I_{\alpha}, I_{\alpha}$ Lie finite dimensional nilpotent ideals of $\mathcal{L}$, see [8]). If $Z_{\mathcal{L}}$ (the center of $\mathcal{L}$ ) contains no nilpotent elements, then every polynomial central element in $\mathcal{L}$ is central in $\mathcal{L}$.

We can prove an analogue of Theorem 1 if the adjoint representation of $\mathcal{L}$ restricted to the ideal $I$ of $\mathcal{L}$ is solvable. For this purpose, firstly we shall observe another nilpotent property in $\mathcal{A}$.

## Lemma 2

Let $x, a \in \mathcal{A}$ so that $[x, a]=\lambda a, \lambda \neq 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.
If the set of all eigenvalues of ad $x \mid \operatorname{span}\left\{a^{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is bounded, then $a$ is nilpotent.

Proof. We observe that $\lambda \neq 0$ and

$$
\left[x, a^{n}\right]=n \lambda a^{n} \quad n=1,2 \ldots
$$

Definition 2. We say that $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{A}$ has the property (m) if for every $x, a \in \mathcal{L}$ with ad $x\left(\operatorname{span}\left\{a^{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}\right) \subset \operatorname{span}\left\{a^{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$, the set of all eigenvalues of $\operatorname{ad} x \mid \operatorname{span}\left\{a^{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is bounded.

Remark. $\mathcal{A}$ has the property (m), particularly every $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{A}$ has the property (m) in the following cases:

1. $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{A}<\infty$
2. $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{B}(X)$ the algebra of all bounded linear operators on some Banach space.

## Corollary

Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ has the property (m). The following implication is true:

$$
x, a \in \mathcal{L},[x, a]=\lambda a, \lambda \neq 0 \Rightarrow a \quad \text { nilpotent } .
$$

## Theorem 2

Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a Lie subalgebra with property (m). If $I_{1} \subset I_{2} \subset \ldots \subset I_{n} \subset$ $I_{n+1} \subset \ldots \subset \mathcal{L}$ is an increasing sequence of Lie ideals in $\mathcal{L}$ and $\operatorname{dim} I_{n}=n$ for every $n=1,2 \ldots$ then one of the following assertions holds for every $n$ :
(i) $\left[q, I_{n}\right]=0$ for every polynomial central $q$ in $\mathcal{L}$.
(ii) There exists $q \neq 0, q$ nilpotent, $q \in I_{n} \cap Z_{\mathcal{L}}$.
(iii) There exists an $\mathcal{N}, 0 \neq \mathcal{N} \subset\left[\mathcal{L}, I_{n}\right]$, a commutative ideal of $\mathcal{L}$ so that every $a \in \mathcal{N}$ is nilpotent.

Proof. Let us consider the following assertion:
$(\gamma)$ ad $x \mid I_{n}$ is nilpotent for every $x \in \mathcal{L}$.
If one of $(\gamma)$, (ii), (iii) is true for $I_{n}$, we have by Theorem 1 for $I_{n}$ one of (i), (ii), (iii). We shall prove by induction that for every $n$, one of $(\gamma)$, (ii), (iii) holds for $I_{n}$.

We have $I_{1}=\mathbb{C} a_{1}, a_{1} \in \mathcal{L}\left[x, a_{1}\right]=\lambda(x) a_{1}, \lambda(x) \in \mathbb{C}$.
If $\lambda(x)=0$ for every $x \in \mathcal{L}$, then $(\gamma)$ holds for $I_{1}$.
If $\lambda(x) \neq 0$ for some $x \in \mathcal{L}$, then by Corollary Lemma $2, \mathcal{N}=I_{1}$ verifies (iii).
Now let us suppose that one of ( $\gamma$ ), (ii), (iii) holds for $I_{n}$. It will be proved the same for $I_{n+1}$. Obviously (ii) or (iii) for $I_{n}$ implies (ii) or (iii) for $I_{n+1}$. Hence it remains to be study the case when $(\gamma)$ holds for $I_{n}$, (ii) and (iii) are not true for any $k \leq n$.

Let $a_{n+1} \in \mathcal{L}$ be so that $I_{n+1}=I_{n}+\mathbb{C} a_{n+1}$. For any $x \in \mathcal{L}$ we can write

$$
\left[x, a_{n+1}\right]=b_{n}+\lambda_{n+1}(x) a_{n+1}, b_{n} \in I_{n}, \lambda_{n+1}(x) \in \mathbb{C} .
$$

From $(\gamma)$ we have $(\operatorname{ad} x)^{m} \mid I_{n}=0$ and

$$
(\operatorname{ad} x)^{m+1}\left(a_{n+1}\right)=\lambda_{n+1}(x)(\operatorname{ad} x)^{m}\left(a_{n+1}\right) .
$$

If for every $x \in \mathcal{L}$, we have $\lambda_{n+1}(x)=0$ or $(\operatorname{ad} x)^{m}\left(a_{n+1}\right) \in I_{n}$ then $(\gamma)$ holds for $I_{n+1}$. The following case remains to be studied:
there exists $x_{0} \in \mathcal{L}, 0 \neq \operatorname{ad} x_{0}\left[\left(\operatorname{ad} x_{0}\right)^{m}\left(a_{n+1}\right)\right]=\lambda_{n+1}\left(x_{0}\right)\left(\operatorname{ad} x_{0}\right)^{m}\left(a_{n+1}\right) \notin I_{n}$.
Hence $a_{n+1}^{\prime}=\left(\operatorname{ad} x_{0}\right)^{m}\left(a_{n+1}\right) \neq 0$ is nilpotent in virtue of Corollary Lemma 2 and $a_{n+1}^{\prime} \notin I_{n}$. But (iii) is not true for $I_{n}$ and ad $x \mid I_{n}$ is nilpotent for every $x \in \mathcal{L}$; by Theorem 1 we have
$q$ polynomially central in $\mathcal{L} \Rightarrow q$ commutes with $I_{n}$.
Particularly,
$\left.{ }^{*}\right) ~ q \in \mathcal{L}, q$ nilpotent $\Rightarrow q$ commutes with $I_{n}$.
Hence $a_{n+1}^{\prime}$ commutes with $I_{n}$ and $a_{n+1}^{\prime}$ commutes with $I_{n+1}$, because $a_{n+1}^{\prime} \notin$ $I_{n}, a_{n+1}^{\prime} \in I_{n+1}$ implies $I_{n+1}=I_{n}+\mathbb{C} a_{n+1}^{\prime}$. We can write,
$q \in I_{n+1}, q$ nilpotent $\Rightarrow q$ commutes with $a_{n+1}^{\prime}$.
By (*) we deduce,
$q \in I_{n+1}, q$ nilpotent $\Rightarrow q$ commutes with $I_{n+1}$.
Hence,

$$
0 \neq a_{n+1}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{N}=\left\{q \mid q \in\left[\mathcal{L}, I_{n+1}\right], q \text { nilpotent }\right\} \subset Z_{I_{n+1}} .
$$

If $n \in \mathcal{N}, x \in \mathcal{L}$ we have $[x, n]=n^{\prime} \in I_{n+1}$ and $\left[n, n^{\prime}\right]=0$. By Lemma $1 n^{\prime}$ is nilpotent. We deduce that $\mathcal{N}$ is a commutative Lie ideal of $\mathcal{L}$ because $\left[\mathcal{L}, I_{n+1}\right]$ is a Lie ideal of $\mathcal{L}$. Hence $\mathcal{N}$ is a commutative Lie ideal of $\mathcal{L}, 0 \neq \mathcal{N} \subset\left[\mathcal{L}, I_{n+1}\right]$, consisting of nilpotent elements and (iii) holds for $I_{n+1}$.

A nil-ideal is an ideal consisting of nilpotent elements. We remember also (see [9]) that $\mathcal{R}$ is quasisolvable Lie algebra, if $\mathcal{R}=\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} I_{\alpha}, I_{\alpha}$ finite dimensional solvable Lie ideals of $\mathcal{R}$. We have the following corollary.

## Corollary

Let $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a Lie subalgebra with property (m) and quasisolvable. If $\mathcal{R}$ contains no non-zero Lie nil-ideal then every polynomial central element in $\mathcal{R}$ is central.

We can prove as in [9] an analogue of this result for Lie subalgebra $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{A}$ with property (m) and LM-decomposable.

We remember (see [9]) that a LM-decomposable Lie algebra is a Lie algebra $\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{R}+\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{R}=\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} I_{\alpha}, I_{\alpha}$ finite dimensional ideals of $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{J}$ is a Lie algebra so that every ideal of $\mathcal{J}$ is primitive. An ideal $\mathcal{P}$ of $\mathcal{J}$ is primitive if $\mathcal{P}$ contains any ideal $I$ of $\mathcal{J}$ with $[I, I] \subset \mathcal{P}$.

Every finite dimensional Lie algebra is LM-decomposable (Levi-Malçev Theorem). Every ideally-finite Lie algebra ([11]) is LM-decomposable ([9]).

Now let us consider $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{A}$ a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ with property (m) and LMdecomposable; $\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{R}+\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{R}=\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} I_{\alpha}$ as before. We denote

$$
\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}=\operatorname{ad} \mathcal{U}\left|I_{\alpha}=\left\{\operatorname{ad} x \mid I_{\alpha} ; x \in \mathcal{U}\right\}, \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}=\operatorname{ad} \mathcal{R}\right| I_{\alpha}
$$

Because $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{U}_{\alpha}<\infty, \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}<\infty$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\alpha}$ is a solvable ideal of $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$, it is well-known (see [6], Corollary 2 Theorem 8 sect. 5 Chap II) that the nil-radical of the associative algebra generated by $\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}$ contains $\left[\mathcal{U}_{\alpha}, \mathcal{R}_{\alpha}\right]$. Then $\left[I_{\alpha}, \mathcal{U}\right]$ is finite dimensional nilpotent ideal of $\mathcal{U}$. We have,

$$
\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}=\left[I_{\alpha}, \mathcal{U}\right] \subset I_{\alpha}
$$

and the representation of $\mathcal{J} g \longmapsto \operatorname{ad} g \mid \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}$ is semisimple, because every ideal of $\mathcal{J}$ is primitive.

By Weyl's Theorem $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}$ splits into the direct sum

$$
\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}=\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}^{0}+\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}^{1}
$$

$\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}^{0}, \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}^{1}$ vector spaces which are invariant to ad $\mathcal{J} \mid \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}^{0}$ and $\cap_{g \in \mathcal{J}} \operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{ad} g \mid \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=\{0\}$.
If $Z_{\alpha}$ is the center of $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}$, by Theorem 1 we deduce that one of the following assertions holds:

1. $q \in Z_{\alpha}$ for every polynomial central $q \in \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}$.
2. There exists $q$ nilpotent, $0 \neq q \in Z_{\alpha}$.

We discuss the following cases: $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}^{1} \neq\{0\}, \mathcal{B}_{\alpha}^{1}=\{0\}$.
If $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}^{1} \neq\{0\}$, by Corollary Lemma 2 we deduce that $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}^{1}$ contains nonzero nilpotent because $\mathcal{U}$ has property (m). In this case 1 or 2 proves that there exist nonzero nilpotent elements in $Z_{\alpha}$ the center of $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}$. Then

$$
N_{\alpha}=\left\{q \in Z_{\alpha} \mid q \text { nilpotent }\right\} \neq 0
$$

is an ideal in $\mathcal{U}$. This is an easy consequence of Lemma 1 , because $Z_{\alpha}$ is an ideal in $\mathcal{U}$.

Therefore $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}^{1} \neq\{0\}$ implies the following assertion:
$(\mathrm{N})$ there exists a finite dimensional commutative nonzero Lie ideal of $\mathcal{U}$ consisting of nilpotent elements.
If $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}^{1}=\{0\}$, we have $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}=\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}^{0}$ and

$$
\left[\mathcal{J},\left[\mathcal{U}, I_{\alpha}\right]\right]=0
$$

Since $\mathcal{R}$ is quasisolvable and $\mathcal{U}$ has the property (m), by the proof of Theorem 2 one of the following assertions is true for $I_{\alpha}$ :
a) $\operatorname{ad} x \mid I_{\alpha}$ is nilpotent for every $x \in \mathcal{R}$
b) there exists $\mathcal{N}$ a commutative nil-ideal of $\mathcal{R}, 0 \neq \mathcal{N} \subset\left[\mathcal{R}, I_{\alpha}\right]$
c) there exists $q \neq 0, q$ nilpotent, $q \in Z_{\mathcal{R}} \cap I_{\alpha}$.

By Theorem 1 we deduce in the case (a) one of the following assertions:
(a1) $\left[q, I_{\alpha}\right]=0$ for every polynomial central $q$ in $\mathcal{R}$.
(a2) there exists $q \neq 0, q$ nilpotent, $q \in Z_{\mathcal{R}} \cap I_{\alpha}$.
Therefore, if $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}^{1}=\{0\}$ one of (a1), (b), (c) is true. Obviously (b) $\Rightarrow(\mathrm{N})$, because $\mathcal{B}_{\alpha}^{1}=\{0\}$ shows that $\mathcal{N}$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{U}$.

Also we have $(\mathrm{c}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{N})$, because

$$
0 \neq\left\{q \mid q \text { nilpotent, } q \in Z_{I_{\alpha}}\right\}
$$

is a finite dimensional Lie ideal in $\mathcal{U}$, in virtue of Lemma 1.
Hence there exists two possibilities:
A) $(\mathrm{N})$ is true.
B) $\left[\mathcal{J},\left[\mathcal{U}, I_{\alpha}\right]\right]=0$, ad $x \mid I_{\alpha}$ nilpotent for every $x \in \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}$ verifies (a1) for every $\alpha \in \Lambda$.
In the case B) we can eliminate the situation when $\mathcal{R}$ contains nilpotent elements. Indeed, by (a1) for any $\alpha \in \Lambda$ we can find $I=\sum_{k=1}^{p} I_{\alpha_{k}}$ a finite-dimensional ideal of $\mathcal{U}$ so that $Z_{\mathcal{R}} \cap I$ contains non zero nilpotent elements and by Lemma 1 ,

$$
0 \neq\left\{q \mid q \text { nilpotent, } \quad q \in Z_{I}\right\}=\mathcal{N}
$$

verifies ( N ).
If $\mathcal{R}$ contains no non zero nilpotent elements, we obtain $\left[\mathcal{J}, I_{\alpha}\right]=0$ for any $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Indeed, we can write by virtue of the semisimplicity of the representation ad $\mathcal{J} \mid I_{\alpha}, I_{\alpha}=I_{\alpha}^{0}+I_{\alpha}^{1}$ ad $\mathcal{J} \mid I_{\alpha}^{0}=0$ and $\cap_{g \in \mathcal{J}} \operatorname{ker}\left(\operatorname{ad} g \mid I_{\alpha}^{1}\right)=0$. By Lemma 1 we deduce $I_{\alpha}^{1}=0$ so $\left[\mathcal{J}, I_{\alpha}\right]=0$.

We have proved the following theorem:

## Theorem 3

Let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a LM-decomposable Lie subalgebra which has the property (m). If $\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{R}+\mathcal{J}$ is the decomposition of $\mathcal{U}$, then one of the following statements is true:
(I) there exists $\mathcal{N}$ a finite dimensional commutative non zero ideal of $\mathcal{U}$, consisting of nilpotent elements.
(II) $[\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{R}]=0, \mathcal{R}$ is a quasi nilpotent Lie algebra, $\mathcal{R}$ contains no non zero nilpotent elements and every polynomial central element in $\mathcal{R}$ is central in $\mathcal{R}$.

## Corollary

Let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{A}$ be a finite dimensional Lie subalgebra and $\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{R}+\mathcal{J}$ its LeviMalçev decomposition. If $\mathcal{U}$ contains no non zero commutative nil-ideals, then $[\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{R}]=0, \mathcal{R}$ is a nilpotent Lie algebra, $\mathcal{R}$ contains no non zero nilpotent elements and every polynomial central element in $\mathcal{R}$ is central in $\mathcal{R}$.

## 2. Nilpotent and solvable Lie algebra of bounded operators on a complex Banach space

In that which follows $\mathcal{X}$ will be a complex Banach space, $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ the algebra of all linear bounded operators on $\mathcal{X}$ with standard structure of Lie algebra given by

$$
\text { ad } A(B)=[A, B]=A B-B A \quad \text { for } \quad A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})
$$

For $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}), \sigma(T)$ will be the spectrum of $T, f(T)$ denotes the value of analytic functional calculus of $T$ for $f \in \mathcal{O}(\sigma(T))$. For every spectral set $\sigma$ of $T$ we denote $E(\sigma)$ the spectral projection of $T$ associated to $\sigma$ by analytic functional calculus of $T$.

Firstly we prove a useful formula for ad $x, x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$.

## Lemma

Let $X, Q, A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$. If $[X, Q]=A$ and $[Q, A]=0$, then $[X, f(Q)]=f^{\prime}(Q) A$ for every $f \in \mathcal{O}(\sigma(Q))$, where $f^{\prime}$ is the derivative of $f$.

Proof. Let be $f \in \mathcal{O}(\sigma(Q))$ belong to analytic functional calculus of $Q$ and $\gamma$ an admissible curve for $f$ such that

$$
f(Q)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\lambda) R(\lambda, Q) d \lambda, \quad R(\lambda, Q)=(\lambda I-Q)^{-1}
$$

Obviously we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[X, f(Q)] } & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma} f(\lambda)[X, R(\lambda, Q)] d \lambda \\
{[X, R(\lambda, Q)] } & =-R(\lambda, Q)[X, \lambda I-Q] R(\lambda, Q)=R(\lambda, Q)[X, Q] R(\lambda, Q)
\end{aligned}
$$

But $Q$ commutes with $A$, hence we have

$$
[X, R(\lambda, Q)]=[R(\lambda, Q)]^{2} A
$$

and

$$
[X, f(Q)]=\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left[\int_{\gamma} f(\lambda)[R(\lambda, Q)]^{2} d \lambda\right] A=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma} f^{\prime}(\lambda) R(\lambda, Q) d \lambda A=f^{\prime}(Q) A
$$

because $\frac{d}{d \lambda} R(\lambda, A)=-[R(\lambda, A)]^{2}$.
We can prove an analogue of Lemma $1 \S 1$.

## Lemma 1

Let $X, Q, A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ be so that $[X, Q]=A,[Q, A]=0$. If there exists an open set $V \supset \sigma(Q)$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}(V)$ so that $[X, f(Q)]=0$, then we have:
$A \mid E(\sigma) \mathcal{X}$ is nilpotent for every spectral set $\sigma$ in $\sigma(Q), \sigma \subset W$, where $W$ is a connected component of $V$ so that $\left.f\right|_{W}$ is nonconstant.

Proof. Let $\sigma$ be a spectral set for $Q$. By the preceding Lemma we have,

$$
[X, E(\sigma)]=e_{\sigma}^{\prime}(Q) A=0
$$

where $e_{\sigma} \in \mathcal{O}(\sigma(Q))$ so that $E(\sigma)=e_{\sigma}(Q)$.
It is well known that $E(\sigma)$ commutes with $A$ and with every value $\varphi(Q)$ of analytic functional calculus of $Q$. Therefore $E(\sigma) \mathcal{X}=\mathcal{X}_{\sigma}$ is invariant to $X, A, \varphi(Q)$ for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}(\sigma(Q))$.

Now we consider $V \supset \sigma(Q), f \in \mathcal{O}(V),[X, f(Q)]=0$ and a non empty $\sigma=\sigma(Q) \cap W$, where $W$ is a connected component of $V$ so that $\left.f\right|_{W}$ is non constant.

Let $W_{1}$ be a relative compact open set so that

$$
W \supset W_{1} \supset \sigma=\sigma(Q) \cap W
$$

Obviously $f^{\prime}$ has in $W_{1}$ a finite number of zeros because $\left.f\right|_{W}$ is nonconstant. Therefore $\left.f^{\prime}\right|_{W_{1}}=P g$ where $g \in \mathcal{O}\left(W_{1}\right), g(z) \neq 0$ for every $z \in W_{1}$ and $P \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ is a polynomial.

We have by the preceding lemma,

$$
0=[X, f(Q)]=f^{\prime}(Q) A
$$

It is well known that

$$
f^{\prime}(Q) \mid \mathcal{X}_{\sigma}=f^{\prime}\left(Q \mid \mathcal{X}_{\sigma}\right)=g\left(Q \mid \mathcal{X}_{\sigma}\right) P\left(Q \mid \mathcal{X}_{\sigma}\right)
$$

Hence

$$
0=f^{\prime}(Q) A\left|\mathcal{X}_{\sigma}=g\left(Q \mid \mathcal{X}_{\sigma}\right) P\left(Q \mid \mathcal{X}_{\sigma}\right) A\right| \mathcal{X}_{\sigma}
$$

But $g\left(Q \mid \mathcal{X}_{\sigma}\right)$ is invertible because $g(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in \sigma$. Therefore $P\left(Q \mid \mathcal{X}_{\sigma}\right) A \mid \mathcal{X}_{\sigma}=$ $0,\left[X\left|\mathcal{X}_{\sigma}, Q\right| \mathcal{X}_{\sigma}\right]=0$ and $\left[A\left|\mathcal{X}_{\sigma}, Q\right| \mathcal{X}_{\sigma}\right]=0$. As in the last part of the proof of Lemma $1 \S 1$ we deduce that $A \mid \mathcal{X}_{\sigma}$ is nilpotent.

## Corollary

Let $X, Q, A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}),[X, Q]=A,[Q, A]=0$. If there exists an open set $V \supset \sigma(Q), f \in \mathcal{O}(V)$ so that $[X, f(Q)]=0$ and $f$ is non constant on every $W$ connected component of $V$ with property $W \cap \sigma(Q) \neq \emptyset$, then $A$ is nilpotent.

We put the following definition
Definition 1. For $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ we say that $B$ analytically commutes with $A$ if there exists $f \in \mathcal{O}(\sigma(B)), f$ nonconstant on every connected open set $D$ with $D \cap \sigma(B) \neq \emptyset$, so that $[A, f(B)]=0$.

Obviously, the above Corollary may be rewritten as follows.

## Corollary 1

Let $X, Q, A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ so that $[X, Q]=A,[Q, A]=0$. If $Q$ analytically commutes with $X$, then $A$ is nilpotent.

Remarks. 1) $B$ polynomially commutes with $A \Rightarrow B$ analytically commutes with $A$.
2) $Q \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}), Q$ nilpotent $\Rightarrow Q$ analytically commutes with every $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$.
3) Corollary 1 is an extension of Lemma $1 \S 1$ when $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$.

Definition 2. We say that $B \in \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ is analytic central in $\mathcal{M}$ if $B$ analytically commutes with every $A \in \mathcal{M}$.

Remarks. 1) $B$ polynomial central in $\mathcal{M} \Rightarrow B$ analytic central in $\mathcal{M}$.
2) $Q \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}), Q$ nilpotent $\Rightarrow Q$ analytic central in every $\mathcal{M}$ which contains $Q$.

The results of $\S 1$ can be extended for Lie subalgebras in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ if we change "polynomial central" by "analytic central" by using Corollary $1 \S 2$ instead of Lemma 1 $\S 1$, and the following consequence of the property (m) of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$, instead of Corollary Lemma 2 §1.

## Corollary 2

If $X, A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ and $[X, A]=\lambda A, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \lambda \neq 0$, then $A$ is nilpotent.
$\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ is topologically irreducible if there are no closed invariant to $\mathcal{L}$ subspaces different from 0 and $\mathcal{X}$. Also we mention the possibility to eliminate a part of conclusions of these results when the Lie subalgebras are topologically irreducible.

## Theorem 1

Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ be a Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}), Z_{\mathcal{L}}$ the centre of $\mathcal{L}$. If $I$ is a Lie ideal of $\mathcal{L}, \operatorname{dim} I<+\infty$ and ad $x \mid I$ is nilpotent for any $x \in \mathcal{L}$, then one of the following assertions is true:
(i) $[Q, I]=0$ for every analytic central $Q$ in $\mathcal{L}$.
(ii) There exists $Q \neq 0, Q$ nilpotent, $Q \in I \cap Z_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Obviously, if $0 \neq Q \in I \cap Z_{\mathcal{L}}, Q$ nilpotent, then $0 \neq \operatorname{ker} Q \neq X$ is a closed subspace which is invariant to $\mathcal{L}$.

## Corollary

Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ be a quasinilpotent Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$. If $\mathcal{L}$ is topologically irreducible then every analytic central element of $\mathcal{L}$ is central in $\mathcal{L}$.

## Theorem 2

Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ be a quasisolvable Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$. If $I_{1} \subset I_{2} \subset \ldots I_{n} \subset$ $I_{n+1} \subset \ldots \subset \mathcal{L}$ is an increasing sequence of Lie ideals in $\mathcal{L}$ and $\operatorname{dim} I_{n}=n$ for every $n=1,2, \ldots$, then one of the following statements holds for every $n$ :
(i) $\left[Q, I_{n}\right]=0$ for every $Q$ analytic central in $\mathcal{L}$.
(ii) There exists $Q \neq 0, Q$ nilpotent, $Q \in I_{n} \cap Z_{\mathcal{L}}$.
(iii) There exists an $\mathcal{N}, 0 \neq \mathcal{N} \subset\left[\mathcal{L}, I_{n}\right]$ a commutative Lie ideal of $\mathcal{L}$ so that every $Q \in \mathcal{N}$, is nilpotent.

An irreducible variant of this result is the following

## Corollary

Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ be a quasisolvable Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$. If $\mathcal{L}$ is topologically irreducible then the following statements are equivalent:
(ac) $Q$ is analytic central in $\mathcal{L}$.
(c) $Q$ is central in $\mathcal{L}$.

Proof. $\mathcal{L}$ quasisolvable means that $\mathcal{L}=\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda} I_{\alpha}, I_{\alpha}$ finite dimensional solvable ideals of $\mathcal{L}$. By the irreducibility of $\mathcal{L}$ we can eliminate in conclusion of Theorem 2 (ii) and (iii) because $\operatorname{ker} Q$ respectively $\cap_{Q \in \mathcal{N}}$ ker $Q$ are closed invariant subspaces to $\mathcal{L}$.

## Theorem 3

Let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ be a LM-decomposable Lie subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X}), \mathcal{U}=\mathcal{R}+\mathcal{J}$ the decomposition of $\mathcal{U}$. One of the following statements holds:
(I) there exists $\mathcal{N}$ a finite dimensional commutative non zero Lie ideal of $\mathcal{U}$, consisting of nilpotent elements.
(II) $[\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{R}]=0, \mathcal{R}$ is a quasinilpotent Lie algebra $\mathcal{R}$ contains no nonzero nilpotent operators and every analytic central element in $\mathcal{R}$ is central in $\mathcal{R}$.

## Corollary

Let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$ be a finite dimensional Lie sub algebra of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{X})$. If $\mathcal{U}$ is topologically irreducible and $\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{R}+\mathcal{J}$ is the Levi-Malçev decomposition of $\mathcal{U}$, then $[\mathcal{J}, \mathcal{R}]=0, \mathcal{R}$ is a nilpotent Lie algebra, $\mathcal{R}$ contains no non zero nilpotent operators and every analytic central element in $\mathcal{R}$ is central in $\mathcal{R}$.
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