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#### Abstract

As an application of a theorem concerning a general stochastic process in a finitely additive probability space, the existence of non-atomic countably additive restrictions with large range is obtained for group-valued finitely additive measures.


## 1. Introduction

This paper investigates a further generalization of a problem already studied in [5], [2], [3], [9], [1]. More precisely, given a finitely additive measure $m$ on a set $\Omega$, we seek a countably additive restriction preserving some "nice" properties of $m$.

We refer to the Introduction of [9] and to [4] and [10] for a detailed description of the intermediate steps toward the general solution of the problem presented here.

We mention that the techniques adopted in [3] and [9] cannot be transported to the present setting, differently to that of [1]. Nevertheless the proof that we exhibit here is a new, and in some sense more concrete one.

## 2. Results concerning stochastic processes

Let $(S, \Sigma, P)$ be a finitely additive probability space, and let $T$ be an infinite set of indexes.

Definition 1. Let $V$ denote the class of finite subsets of $T$. For each $v \in V$, $v=\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right\}$, let $\mathcal{R}_{v}$ denote the family of rectangles $R_{v} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, of the form $\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.\left.R_{v}=\right] a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \times\right] a_{2}, b_{2}\right] \times \ldots \times\right] a_{n}, b_{n}\right]$, where $a_{i} \leq b_{i}$ for each $i, a_{i} \geq-\infty, b_{i}<+\infty$ for each $i$.

Let also $\mathcal{E}_{v}$ denote the algebra on $\mathbb{R}^{v}$ generated by $\mathcal{R}_{v}$, and $\mathcal{B}_{v}$ the $\sigma$ algebra generated by $\mathcal{R}_{v}$ namely the Borel $\sigma$-algebra. For every $R_{v} \in \mathcal{R}_{v}$, let $\widetilde{R}_{v}=R_{v} \times \mathbb{R}^{T-v} \subset \mathbb{R}^{T}$, and let us denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{v}$ the family

$$
\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{v}=\left\{\widetilde{R}_{v}: R_{v} \in \mathcal{R}_{v}\right\}
$$

In a similar fashion we will define the algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{v}$ and the $\sigma$-algebra $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{v}$. Finally we will set $\widetilde{\mathcal{R}}=\bigcup_{v \in V} \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{v}, \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}=\bigcup_{v \in V} \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{v}, \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}=\bigcup_{v \in V} \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{v}$.

Let now a family $\left\{X_{t}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right\}_{t \in T}$ of random variables (r.v.) be assigned. We shall denote with $F_{t}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1]$ the distribution function of $X_{t}$ defined as

$$
\left.\left.F_{t}(x)=P\left(X_{t} \leq x\right)=P\left(X_{t}^{-1}(]-\infty, x\right]\right)\right)
$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We shall finally denote by $\mathbf{X}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{T}$ the r.v defined as $\mathbf{X}(\mathrm{s})(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{s})$.

## Proposition 1

Let $\left\{X_{t}\right\}_{t \in T}$ be a family of r.v. on $S$, and suppose that each distribution function $F_{t}$ is right-continuous at each $x$, and such that

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow-\infty} F_{t}(x)=0=1-\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} F_{t}(x)
$$

Then there exists a countably additive probability measure $P_{X}$, defined on $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathbf{X}}(\widetilde{E})=P\left(\mathbf{X}^{-1}(\widetilde{E})\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\widetilde{E} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$.

Proof. For every $v \in V, v=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$, we set $X_{v}=\left(X_{t_{1}}, \ldots, X_{t_{n}}\right)$. Furthermore, let

$$
\left.\left.\left.\left.g_{v}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=P\left(X_{v}^{-1}(]-\infty, x_{1}\right] \times \ldots \times\right]-\infty, x_{n}\right]\right)\right) .
$$

It is straightforward to verify that $g_{v}$ is monotonic with respect to each variable, and that $\lim _{x_{j} \rightarrow-\infty} g_{v}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{j}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=0$ for every $j$.

We shall now verify the marginalization properties. Let $k<n$ be fixed and let $X_{k}$ denote the vector $\left(X_{t_{1}}, \ldots, X_{t_{k}}\right)$, and let $g^{k}$ be the corresponding distribution

$$
\left.\left.\left.\left.g^{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)=P\left(X_{k}^{-1}(]-\infty, x_{1}\right] \times \ldots \times\right]-\infty, x_{k}\right]\right)\right) .
$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$ be fixed, and choose a $\bar{x}$ in such a way that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-F_{t_{j}}(x)<\frac{\varepsilon}{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $j=k+1, \ldots, n$ and for every $x \geq \bar{x}$. Relationship (2) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(X_{t_{j}}>x\right)<\frac{\varepsilon}{n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $j=k+1, \ldots, n$ and for every $x>\bar{x}$. Therefore, corresponding to $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a $\bar{x}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\bigcup_{j=k+1}^{n}\left(X_{t_{j}}>x\right)\right)<\varepsilon \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x \geq \bar{x}$. Therefore, choosing $x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ greater than $\bar{x}$ and without varying $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$ we shall find from (4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g^{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)-g_{v}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)= \\
& =P\left(\left(X_{t_{1}} \leq x_{1}\right) \cap\left(X_{t_{2}} \leq x_{2}\right) \cap \ldots \cap\left(X_{t_{k}} \leq x_{k}\right) \cap\left(\bigcup_{j=k+1}^{n}\left(X_{t_{j}}>x_{j}\right)\right)\right) \leq \varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\lim _{\left(x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \rightarrow+\infty} g_{v}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}, x_{k+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=g^{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ namely the marginalization property of $g_{v}$ holds.

Furthermore, as it is easily verified, each $g_{v}$ is right- continuous, with respect to every variable as well as globally, at every point, since each $F_{t}$ is right-continuous.

To prove that each $g_{v}$ generates a unique countably additive measure $P_{v}$ on $\mathcal{B}_{v}$ it is enough to show that condition (4) of [8] (page 219-220) is satisfied. This condition can be expressed as:

Setting $\left.\left.\left.\left.P_{v}(]-\infty, x_{1}\right] \times \ldots \times\right]-\infty, x_{n}\right]\right)=g_{v}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and making use of the usual procedure (namely adding and subtracting) to extend $P_{v}$ to the rectangles $R_{v} \in \mathcal{R}_{v}$, one necessarily finds $P_{v}\left(R_{v}\right) \geq 0$, for every $R_{v} \in \mathcal{R}_{v}$.

Then, by making use of this standard procedure to get $P_{v}\left(R_{v}\right)$ we shall find in this case $P_{v}\left(R_{v}\right)=P\left(X_{v}^{-1}\left(R_{v}\right)\right)$ for every $R_{v}$, and therefore Levine's condition is satisfied.

Thus, for every $v \in V$ a unique countably additive measure $P_{v}: \mathcal{B}_{v} \rightarrow[0,1]$ can be determined in such a way that, for every $R_{v} \in \mathcal{R}_{v}$

$$
P_{v}\left(R_{v}\right)=P\left(X_{v}^{-1}\left(R_{v}\right)\right)
$$

and therefore, by finite summation, for every $E_{v} \in \mathcal{E}_{v}$

$$
P_{v}\left(E_{v}\right)=P\left(X_{v}^{-1}\left(E_{v}\right)\right)
$$

Since the measures $P_{v}$ obviously verify, when $v$ ranges in $V$, the compatibility conditions of Kolmogoroff (see [6]), it is possible to find a (unique) probability measure $P_{\mathbf{X}}$ on $\mathcal{B}$, admitting the $P_{v}$ 's as finite-dimensional distributions, that is

$$
P_{\mathbf{X}}\left(\widetilde{B}_{v}\right)=P_{v}\left(B_{v}\right)
$$

for all $B_{v} \in \mathcal{B}_{v}$ and $v \in V$.
In particular, if $E_{v} \in \mathcal{E}_{v}$ it follows

$$
P_{\mathbf{X}}\left(\widetilde{E}_{v}\right)=P_{v}\left(E_{v}\right)=P\left(X_{v}^{-1}\left(E_{v}\right)\right)=P\left(\mathbf{X}^{-1}\left(\widetilde{E}_{v}\right)\right):
$$

by the arbitrariness of $v$ condition (1) follows.
Definition 2. Besides the space $\mathbb{R}^{T}$ it will be convenient to consider the space $L$ defined as follows: $L=\left\{f \in \mathbb{R}^{T}: f(t)=0\right.$ for every $t \in T-F$ where $F$ is an at most countable set, depending upon $f\}$.

Observe that the cardinality of $L$ is exactly equal to $\max \{\operatorname{card}(T), c\}$.
Over the space $L$ we shall introduce the families $\mathcal{R}_{v}^{L}, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{v}^{L}, \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{L}$ defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{R}_{v}^{L}=\left\{R_{v} \cap L: R_{v} \in \mathcal{R}_{v}\right\}, \\
& \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{v}^{L}=\left\{\widetilde{R}_{v} \cap L: \widetilde{R}_{v} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}_{v}\right\}, \\
& \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}^{L}=\{\widetilde{R} \cap L: \widetilde{R} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and in an analogous fashion we shall define the families $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{v}^{L}, \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{v}^{L}, \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^{L}, \mathcal{B}_{v}^{L}, \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}_{v}^{L}, \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{L}$. Obviously, $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^{L}$ is an algebra on $L$, and $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{L}$ is a $\sigma$-algebra on $L$.

We shall give another definition in the space $\mathbb{R}^{T}$. A subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{T}$ will be called $\sigma$-binding if there are an at most countable set $F \subset T$ and a subset $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{F}$ such that

$$
A=C \times \mathbb{R}^{T-F}
$$

It is well known, for example, that all the sets in $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ are $\sigma$-binding.

## Theorem 1

If $B \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ and $B \cap L=\emptyset$ then $B=\emptyset$.

Proof. Since $B$ is $\sigma$-binding there will be a finite or countable set $F \subset T$ and a set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^{F}$, such that

$$
B=C \times \mathbb{R}^{T-F}
$$

For $f \in B$ we set $f_{0}=f 1_{F}$. Then $f_{0} \in B \cap L$. Therefore, if $B$ is non-empty, $L \cap B$ is non-empty.

## Corollary 1

If $P: \widetilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow[0,1]$ is a countably additive probability measure, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{L}(B \cap L)=P(B) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $B \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Then $P^{L}: \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{L} \rightarrow[0,1]$ is a countably additive probability measure.
Proof. Let $B_{1}, B_{2} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ be such that $B_{1} \cap L=B_{2} \cap L$. Then $\left(B_{1} \Delta B_{2}\right) \cap L=\emptyset$ and therefore, from Theorem 1, $B_{1}=B_{2}$. Hence (5) well defines $P^{L}$. Moreover, it is obvious that $P^{L}(\emptyset)=0$ and $P^{L}(L)=1$. Finally, if $\left(B_{n}\right)_{n}$ is a sequence in $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ such that the sets $B_{n} \cap L$ are pairwise disjoint, it follows, for $m \neq n,\left(B_{n} \cap B_{m}\right) \cap L=\emptyset$ and thus $B_{n} \cap B_{m}=\emptyset$. Hence

$$
P^{L}\left(\bigcup_{n}\left(B_{n} \cap L\right)\right)=P\left(\bigcup_{n} B_{n}\right)=\sum_{n} P\left(B_{n}\right)=\sum_{n} P^{L}\left(B_{n} \cap L\right)
$$

and thus $P^{L}$ is $\sigma$-additive.

## 3. Countably additive restrictions

Throughout this section $\Omega$ will denote an infinite set with $\operatorname{card}(\Omega) \geq c, G$ an abelian group and $m: \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow G$ a finitely additive measure (f.a.m.).

Definition 3. We will say that $m$ is continuous iff, for every neighborhood $U$ of the neutral element 0 in $G$ there exists a decomposition of $\Omega$ into finitely many sets $\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}\right\}$, with $A_{i} \cap A_{j}=\emptyset$ when $i \neq j$ and such that $m(E) \in U$ for every $E \subseteq A_{i}$ and for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{I}(0)$ a neighborhood basis of 0 in $G$.

We will say that $m$ is absolutely continuous with respect to a scalar f.a.m. $\nu: \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$iff, for every $U \in \mathcal{I}(0)$ there exists $\delta>0$ such that for every $E \subset \Omega$ with $\nu(E) \leq \delta$ it follows that $m(E) \in U$. In this case we will write $m \ll \nu$.

We will say that $m$ is singular with respect to $\nu$ iff, for every $U \in \mathcal{I}(0)$ and for every $\varepsilon>0$ a set $A \subset \Omega$ exists, such that $m(E) \in U$ for every $E \subset A$, and $\nu\left(A^{c}\right)<\varepsilon$. This definition is symmetric with respect to $m$ and $\nu$. We will write then $m \perp \nu$ or $\nu \perp m$.

We will say that $\nu$ is absolutely continuous with respect to $m$, and we will write $\nu \ll m$, provided for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a neighborhood $U \in \mathcal{I}(0)$ such that the following implication holds:

$$
\{m(E): E \subset A\} \subset U \Rightarrow \nu(A)<\varepsilon
$$

for every $A \subset \Omega$.
Finally, we will say that $m$ and $\nu$ are equivalent iff $m \ll \nu$ and $\nu \ll m$. In this case we will say that $\nu$ is a control for $m$.

We shall report a Theorem which will be needed in the sequel.

## Theorem 2

(Lebesgue decomposition; [7]) Let $m: \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow G$ and $\nu: \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$be two f.a.m.'s. Then there are only two f.a.m. $\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}: \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$such that:
(i) $\nu_{1}+\nu_{2}=\nu$;
(ii) $\nu_{1} \perp m, \quad \nu_{2} \ll m$.

We mention that an analogous result holds for $m$ with respect to $\nu$, but we are not going to use it in this paper.

## Corollary 2

Let $m: \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow G$ be a f.a.m., and assume that there exists a scalar f.a.m. $\nu$ such that $m \ll \nu$. Then $m$ admits a control.

When the assumptions of Corollary 2 are satisfied we will say that $m$ is controllable.

Proof. Let $\left(\nu_{1}, \nu_{2}\right)$ be the Lebesgue decomposition stated in Theorem 2. From (ii) we find $\nu_{2} \ll m$ and $\nu_{1} \perp m$. We will show that $m \ll \nu_{2}$ : thus $\nu_{2}$ is a control for $m$.

Let then $U \in \mathcal{I}(0)$. Since $\nu_{2} \ll m$ there exists a $\delta>0$ such that $\nu_{2}(E)<\delta \Rightarrow$ $m(E) \in U_{1}$ for $E \subset \Omega$, where $U_{1} \in \mathcal{I}(0)$ is such that $U_{1}+U_{1} \subset U$. Being $\nu_{1} \perp m$,
corresponding to $U$ and $\delta$ there is a set $F \subset \Omega$ such that $\nu_{1}(F)<\frac{\delta}{2}$ and $m(E) \in U_{1}$ for every $E \subset F^{c}$.

Let now $A \subset \Omega$ be a set such that $\nu_{2}(A)<\frac{\delta}{2}$. We have

$$
m(A)=m(A \cap F)+m\left(A \cap F^{c}\right) .
$$

Since $A \cap F \subset A$ it has to be $\nu_{2}(A \cap F)<\frac{\delta}{2}$, and since $A \cap F \subset F$ it has to be $\nu_{1}(A \cap F)<\frac{\delta}{2}$. Therefore it is $\nu(A \cap F)<\delta$ whence $m(A \cap F) \in U_{1}$. Furthermore, since $m(E) \in U_{1}$ for every $E \subset F^{c}$, we will have $m\left(A \cap F^{c}\right) \in U_{1}$. In conclusion $m(A) \in U_{1}+U_{1} \subset U$.

This shows that $m \ll \nu_{2}$.
The following two Propositions concern the continuity.

## Proposition 2

Let $\nu: \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$and $m: \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow G$ be two f.a.m.'s. If $m \ll \nu$ and $\nu$ is continuous, then $m$ is continuous. If $\nu \ll m$ and $m$ is continuous, then $\nu$ is continuous. If $m$ is continuous and controllable, then there exists a continuous control for $m$.

Proof. Straightforward.

## Proposition 3

([2]) Let $\nu: \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$be a continuous f.a.m. Then, for every $A \subset \Omega$ there exists a family $\{A(t)\}_{t \in[0,1]}$ of subsets of $A$ such that:
(i) $A(0)=\emptyset, A(1)=A$;
(ii) $t<t^{\prime} \Rightarrow A(t) \subset A\left(t^{\prime}\right)$;
(iii) $\nu(A(t))=t \nu(A)$.

We shall assume from now on that $m: \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \rightarrow G$ is a continuous controllable f.a.m. with range $R \subset G$ infinite and $\operatorname{card}(R) \leq \operatorname{card}(\Omega)$. We shall denote by $\nu$ a continuous control for $m$, and we can assume, without loss of generality, that $\nu(\Omega)=1$.

Since $\operatorname{card}(\Omega) \geq c$, and $\nu$ is defined on the whole $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ there exists a subset $H \subset \Omega$ such that $\nu(H)=0$ and $\operatorname{card}(H)=\eta$ where $\eta=\max \{c, \operatorname{card}(R)\}$. In this way it is also true that $m(E)=0$ for all $E \subset H$. Set $S=\Omega-H$. Obviously $H$ can be chosen in such a way that $S$ and $\Omega$ have the same cardinality. Furthermore $R$ is the range of $\left.m\right|_{\mathcal{P}(S)}$.

Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$ be a one-to-one map such that $\varphi(m(S))=S$ and also $m(\varphi(r))=r$ for each $r \in R$. Let $T$ be the range of $\varphi$. In this way $T$ is a set of
subsets of $S$. Let us define a family of maps $\left\{X_{A}\right\}_{A \in T}, X_{A}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in the following way: $X_{A}(s)=(1-\inf \{u \in[0,1]: s \in A(u)\}) 1_{A}$ where $\{A(u)\}_{u \in[0,1]}$ is the family described in Proposition 3.

## Lemma 1

For every $A \in T$ let $F_{A}(x)=\nu\left(X_{A} \leq x\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$. Then

$$
F_{A}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { if } & x<0  \tag{6}\\
\nu\left(A^{c}\right)+x \nu(A) & \text { if } & 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\
1 & \text { if } & x>1
\end{array}\right.
$$

and therefore $F_{A}$ is right-continuous at each point, and

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow-\infty} F_{A}(x)=0=1-\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} F_{A}(x)
$$

for every $A \in T$.
Proof. For every $x \in] 0,1]$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(X_{A}<x\right) \subset(A(1-x))^{c} \subset\left(X_{A} \leq x\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are now going to prove that, for $x \in] 0,1]$, we have $\nu\left(X_{A}=x\right)=0$. First, for $x=1,\left(X_{A} \leq 1\right)=S$ and thus $F_{A}(1)=1$. Since, for every $\varepsilon>0,\left(X_{A} \leq 1-\varepsilon\right) \subset$ ( $X_{A}<1$ ) from (7) it is also true that

$$
(A(\varepsilon))^{c}=(A(1-(1-\varepsilon)))^{c} \subset\left(X_{A}<1\right) .
$$

Having $\nu\left((A(\varepsilon))^{c}\right)=1-\varepsilon \nu(A)$ for every $\varepsilon>0$, we obtain $\nu\left(\left(X_{A}<1\right)\right)=1$. This shows that $\nu\left(\left(X_{A}=1\right)\right)=0$.

We move now to the case $0<x<1$.
Fix $\varepsilon>0$ in such a way that $0<x-\varepsilon<x+\varepsilon<1$.
Then $\left(X_{A}=x\right) \subset\left(X_{A}<x+\varepsilon\right)-\left(X_{A} \leq x-\varepsilon\right)$. From (7) it follows that $\left(X_{A}<x+\varepsilon\right) \subset(A(1-x-\varepsilon))^{c}$, and $\left(X_{A} \leq x-\varepsilon\right)^{c} \subset A(1-x+\varepsilon)$ whence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nu\left(\left(X_{A}=x\right)\right) \leq \nu\left(A(1-x+\varepsilon) \cap(A(1-x-\varepsilon))^{c}\right)= \\
& \nu(A(1-x+\varepsilon)-A(1-x-\varepsilon))=2 \varepsilon \nu(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

By the arbitrariness of $\varepsilon$ it follows $\nu\left(\left(X_{A}=x\right)\right)=0$.
This yields that $\nu\left(\left(X_{A}<x\right)\right)=\nu\left(\left(X_{A} \leq x\right)\right)$ for every $\left.\left.x \in\right] 0,1\right]$. Therefore, again from (7), we shall find

$$
\nu\left(\left(X_{A} \leq x\right)\right)=\nu\left((A(1-x))^{c}\right)=\nu\left(A^{c}\right)+\nu(A-A(1-x))=\nu\left(A^{c}\right)+x \nu(A) .
$$

Hence, relationship (6) is proven for $x \in] 0,1]$. The relationship is obvious for $x<0$ and for $x>1$. It remains to prove it for $x=0$, namely that $\nu\left(\left(X_{A} \leq 0\right)\right)=$ $\nu\left(A^{c}\right)$. But $\left(X_{A} \leq 0\right)=\left(X_{A}=0\right)$ and, from what has just been proven,

$$
\nu\left(\left(X_{A}=0\right)\right) \leq \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \nu\left(\left(X_{A} \leq \varepsilon\right)\right)=\nu\left(A^{c}\right)
$$

On the other side, if $s \in A^{c}$ then $X_{A}(s)=0$, and hence $A^{c} \subset\left(X_{A}=0\right)$ whence it is also $\nu\left(\left(X_{A}=0\right)\right) \geq \nu\left(A^{c}\right)$, which concludes the proof.

We are now able to prove the main theorem.

## Theorem 3

Let $\Omega$ and $m$ be as in Lemma 1. Then there exists an algebra $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ such that $\left.m\right|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is continuous and countably additive and its range coincides with the range of $m$.

Proof. If $T$ is the set previously described, $T$ has the same cardinality as $R$ and the last one is underneath $\operatorname{card}(\Omega)$. Let $L$ be the space introduced in Section 2 corresponding to $\mathbb{R}^{T}$. We have

$$
\operatorname{card}(L)=\max \{c, \operatorname{card}(R)\}=\eta=\operatorname{card}(H) .
$$

Then there exists a bijection $\alpha$ between $L$ and $H$, which induces a complete isomorphism between $\mathcal{P}(L)$ and $\mathcal{P}(H)$. Consider now the family of random variables $\left\{X_{A}: A \in T\right\}$ defined as in the previous proof. From Lemma 1 and Proposition 1 there exists a countably additive probability measure $\nu_{\mathbf{X}}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{\mathbf{X}}(\widetilde{E})=\nu\left(\mathbf{X}^{-1}(\widetilde{E})\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\widetilde{E} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$. If we now define $\varphi: \widetilde{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ as

$$
\varphi(\widetilde{E})=\mathbf{X}^{-1}(\widetilde{E}) \cup \alpha(\widetilde{E} \cap L)
$$

we shall find that $\varphi$ is a homomorphism between algebras, and that its range, which we shall denote by $\mathcal{A}$, is the required algebra.

In fact, we shall show that $\left.m\right|_{\mathcal{A}}$ has for range $R$. Let $r \in R$, and let us pick an $A \in T$ such that $m\left(A^{c}\right)=r$.

We then set $\widetilde{E}=\left\{f \in \mathbb{R}^{T}: f(A) \leq 0\right\}$ : here $A$ is seen as a singleton in $T$, and hence $\widetilde{E} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{R}}$. Moreover it is

$$
m\left(\varphi(\widetilde{E})=m\left(\mathbf{X}^{-1}(\widetilde{E})\right)=m\left(\left(X_{A} \leq 0\right)\right) .\right.
$$

Since $\nu\left(\left(X_{A} \leq 0\right)\right)=\nu\left(A^{c}\right)$ and since $A^{c} \subset\left(X_{A} \leq 0\right)$ from $m \ll \nu$ it follows also $m\left(\left(X_{A} \leq 0\right)\right)=m\left(A^{c}\right)=r$. Hence $\left.m\right|_{\mathcal{A}}$ ranges on the whole set $R$.

We are now going to prove that $\left.m\right|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is continuous.
Let $\varepsilon>0$ be fixed, and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n>\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$. Let also $A_{1}=\left(X_{S} \leq \frac{1}{n}\right)$, $A_{2}=\left(\frac{1}{n}<X_{S} \leq \frac{2}{n}\right), \ldots A_{n}=\left(\frac{n-1}{n}<X_{S} \leq 1\right)$. The sets $A_{j}$ are pairwise disjoint, their union coincides with $S$ and $\nu_{j}(A)=\frac{1}{n}<\varepsilon$ for every $j$, by (7).

Setting $\widetilde{A}_{j}=\left\{f \in \mathbb{R}^{T}: \frac{j-1}{n}<f(S) \leq \frac{j}{n}\right\}$ (considering again $S$ as an element of $T$ ), we will have $\widetilde{A}_{j} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ for every $j$, and the $\widetilde{A}_{j}$ 's form a decomposition of $\mathbb{R}^{T}$. Moreover we have

$$
\nu\left(\varphi\left(\widetilde{A}_{j}\right)\right)=\nu\left(\mathbf{X}^{-1}\left(\widetilde{A}_{j}\right)\right)=\nu\left(A_{j}\right)<\varepsilon
$$

for every $j$. Therefore $\nu$ is continuous on $\mathcal{A}$, and hence $m$ is continuous on $\mathcal{A}$, since $m \ll \nu$.

We are finally going to prove that $\left.\nu\right|_{\mathcal{A}}$ is $\sigma$-additive. In order to do this, let us denote by $\lambda: \widetilde{\mathcal{B}}^{L} \rightarrow[0,1]$ the countably additive probability measure $\lambda=\nu_{\mathbf{X}}^{L}$ according to the definition given in Corollary 1.

Let now $\left(\widetilde{E}_{n}\right)_{n}$ be a sequence in $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}$ such that $\varphi\left(\widetilde{E}_{n}\right) \downarrow \emptyset$. It is then

$$
\nu\left(\varphi\left(\widetilde{E}_{n}\right)\right)=\nu\left(\mathbf{X}^{-1}\left(\widetilde{E}_{n}\right)\right)=\nu_{\mathbf{X}}\left(\widetilde{E}_{n}\right)=\lambda\left(\widetilde{E}_{n} \cap L\right)
$$

for every $n$. Being $\varphi\left(\widetilde{E}_{n}\right) \downarrow \emptyset$ it has to be $\alpha\left(\widetilde{E}_{n} \cap L\right) \downarrow \emptyset$. Since $\alpha$ is a complete isomorphism it will also hold $\widetilde{E}_{n} \cap L \downarrow \emptyset$, and thus $\lambda\left(\widetilde{E}_{n} \cap L\right) \downarrow 0$. This yields $\nu\left(\varphi\left(\widetilde{E}_{n}\right)\right) \downarrow 0$, and hence the countable additivity of $\left.\nu\right|_{\mathcal{A}}$. Being $m \ll \nu$, this will imply the countable additivity of $\left.m\right|_{\mathcal{A}}$. The theorem is now completely proved.

Remark 1. A version of Theorem 3 holds true also if the continuity condition (both in the hypotheses and in the thesis) is dropped. One can follow the same device, but the definition of $X_{A}$ must be simplified: namely $X_{A}=1_{A}$ for every $A$ and therefore the functions $F_{A}$ are just right-continuous Heaviside-type functions.
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