Collectanea Mathematica (electronic version): http://www.mat.ub.es/CM

*Collect. Math.* **45**, 2 (1994), 165–175 © 1994 Universitat de Barcelona

# On a boundary value problem for quasi-linear differential inclusions of evolution

Marian Mureşan

Babeş-Bolyai University, Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Str. M. Kogălniceanu 1, 3400, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

Received November 1, 1993

# Abstract

In the present paper we prove two theorems concerning the existence of mild solutions of quasi-linear differential inclusions of evolution. The existence problem is reduced to a fixed point problem and then there are used the multivalued version of Banach fixed point theorem and the Himmelberg-Bohnenblust-Karlin theorem.

# 1. Introduction

The goal of this paper is to find conditions guaranteeing the existence of a mild solution of the linear boundary value problem for the following quasi-linear differential inclusion:

(BP) 
$$\begin{cases} dx(t)/dt \in A(t, x(t))x(t) + F(t, x(t)), & t \in I, \\ Lx = 0, \end{cases}$$

where I = [0, T], T > 0, A(t, w) is a linear operator in a separable Banach space X, depending on t, and w varies on an open set, say,  $\emptyset \neq 0 \subset X$ , [22]. L is a linear

165

bounded operator from C(I, X) (the Banach space of continuous functions defined on I with values in X, endowed with the topology of uniform convergence) in X.

If operator A does not depend on neither t nor w, then the differential inclusion in (BP) is said to be *linear*; if operator A depends only on t, then the differential inclusion in (BP) is said to be *semi-linear*, while if it depends both on t and w it is said to be *quasi-linear*, [4], [22], [24].

The importance of the above problem consists in the fact that it includes many boundary value problems for ODE, PDE and linear or semi-linear differential inclusions of evolution. Let's say that an interesting paper on a boundary value problem of a semi-linear differential inclusion is [21]. Particularly, by a suitable choice of operator L, we get information on the existence of periodic solutions. The problem of existence of periodic mild solutions for linear differential inclusions is studied in [13] by the fixed points index theory of condensing multivalued maps.

The existence of mild solutions of an initial value problem for a quasi-linear differential inclusion, was studied in several papers, e.g., [24], [15], [18], [19], [1]. In the case of linear or semi-linear differential inclusions results on initial value problem may be found in [27], [10], [9].

Let Z be a linear topological space. We will use the following notations:  $P(Z) = \{S \subset Z \mid S \neq \emptyset\}, \ C(Z) = \{S \in P(Z) \mid S \text{ is closed}\}, \ Co(Z) = \{S \in P(Z) \mid S \text{ is convex}\}, \ CCo(Z) = \{S \in C(Z) \mid S \in Co(Z)\}.$ 

Let M be a measurable space with  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , and X is a separable metrizable space, a multifunction  $F: M \longrightarrow P(X)$  is said to be measurable (weakly measurable) iff  $F^{-1}(E) = \{t \in M \mid F(t) \cap E \neq \emptyset\}$  is measurable for each closed (open) subset Eof X. If F have closed values, F is measurable iff F is weakly measurable, provided the measure is complete. This result together with other equivalences may be found in [12] or [31]. If  $F: Y \longrightarrow P(X)$  is a multifunction, where Y is a topological space, then the assertion that F is measurable means that F is measurable when Y is assigned the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of Borel subsets of Y. If  $F: M \times Y \longrightarrow P(X)$ , and if the measurability of F is defined in terms of the product  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$  on  $M \times Y$  generated by the sets  $A \times B$ , where  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , then F is said to be product-measurable. If  $F: M \times Y \longrightarrow P(X)$  and for each single valued measurable function  $G: M \longrightarrow Y$ , the multifunction  $t \longrightarrow F(t, G(t))$  is measurable, then it is said to be superpositionally measurable.

Denote by C(I, X) the Banach space of continuous functions from I to X with the norm  $||x|| = \sup_{t \in I} ||x(t)||$  and by  $L_1(I, X)$  the Banach space of Bochner integrable functions from I to X with the norm  $||x||_1 = \int_I ||x(t)|| dt$ . Set  $L_1(I) := L_1(I, \mathbb{R}_+)$ , [8]. A set-valued  $G : X \longrightarrow P(X)$  is called *L-Lipschitz* on  $K \subset X$  if for all  $x \in K, G(x) \neq \emptyset$  and for every  $x, y \in K, G(x) \subset G(y) + L ||x - y|| B$ , where B denotes the closed unit ball in X.

A set-valued  $G: I \longrightarrow 2^X$  is called *integrably bounded* if there exists  $m \in L_1(I)$  such that  $G(t) \subseteq m(t)B$ , a.e. on I.

If  $F: I \times X \longrightarrow C(X)$  is a multifunction, then by  $S^1_{F(\cdot,x(\cdot))}$  we denote the set of integrable selections of  $F(\cdot, x(\cdot))$ ,  $x: I \longrightarrow X$ . A sufficient condition for  $S^1_{F(\cdot,x(\cdot))} \neq \emptyset$ is that F has a measurable selection and  $F(\cdot, x(\cdot))$  is integrably bounded. The existence of a measurable selection may be obtained by the Kuratowski-Ryll-Nardzewski theorem, [12], [31], while conditions implying the superpositionally and product measurability there are in, e.g., [20], [29].

A multifunction  $F: X \longrightarrow P(Y), X$  and Y being topological spaces, is said to be *upper semicontinuous* (usc) on X iff  $F^{-1}(E)$  is closed for every closed  $E \subset Y$ , and it is said to be *lower semicontinuous* (lsc) on X iff  $F^{-1}(E)$  is open for every open  $E \subset Y$ , [2], [3], [5].

In the sequel we assume the followings:

- (X) X is a separable reflexive Banach space,  $0 \subset X$ , 0 is nonempty and open.
- (L) L is a bounded linear operator from the Banach space C(I, X) onto  $X.D = \ker L$ . Hence, D is nonempty, closed and convex in C(I, X).

A two family of bounded linear operators  $\mathcal{U}(t,s)$ ,  $0 \le s \le t \le T$  on I is said to be an *evolution system* if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\mathcal{U}(s,s) = 1$  (identity),  $\mathcal{U}(t,r)\mathcal{U}(r,s) = \mathcal{U}(t,s), \ 0 \le s \le r \le t \le T$ ;
- (ii)  $(t, s) \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(t, s)$  is strongly continuous for  $0 \le s \le t \le T$ .
- (A) For every  $v \in D$  the family of linear operators  $\{A(t,v), t \in I\}$  generates a unique strongly continuous evolution system  $\mathcal{U}_v(t,s), 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ .
- $(U_1)$  If  $u \in D$  has values in 0, then the evolution system  $\mathcal{U}_u(t,s), 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ , satisfies:
  - (i)  $\|\mathcal{U}_u(t,s)\| \leq C_1$ , for  $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ , uniformly in u;
  - (ii) there is a positive constant  $C_2$  such that for every  $u, v \in D$  with values in 0 and every  $w \in 0$  we have:

$$\|\mathcal{U}_{u}(t,s)w - \mathcal{U}_{v}(t,s)w\| \le C_{2}\|w\| \int_{s}^{t} \|u(\tau) - v(\tau)\|d\tau.$$

- (U<sub>2</sub>) If  $u \in D$  has values in 0, and  $0 \le s < t \le T$ , then  $\mathcal{U}_u(t, s)$  is a compact operator, i.e., it maps bounded sets in relatively compact sets. From [22], it follows that  $\mathcal{U}_u(t, s)$  is continuous in the uniform operator topology.
- $(U_3)$  If  $t, t + \delta \in I, \delta > 0$ , then  $\lim_{\delta \to 0} \mathcal{U}_u(t + \delta, 1) = 1$ , uniformly in u and t.

- $(F_1)$   $F: I \times X \longrightarrow CCo(X)$  such that: multifunction  $t \longrightarrow F(t, x)$  is measurable for every  $x \in X$ ;  $x \longrightarrow F(t, x)$  from X to X is lsc and from X in  $X_w(X)$  endowed with the weak topology) is usc.
- $(F_2)$  F satisfies  $(F_1)$  and, moreover, it is k(t)-Lipschitz,  $k \in L_1(I)$ , i.e.,  $d(F(t,x), F(t,y)) \leq k(t) ||x y||$ ,  $t \in I$ ,  $x, y \in X$ , d being the Hausdorff-Pompeiu pseudo-metric.
- (F<sub>3</sub>) F is integrably bounded by a function  $\alpha \in L_1(I)$ .
- ( $L_1$ ) For every  $v \in D$  with values in 0 the linear mapping  $L_{1v}$  is considered and it is the same with  $L_1$  in [17], p. 18. We suppose it is onto.
- $(S_v)$  For every  $v \in D$   $S_v : X \longrightarrow \ker L_{1v}$  is the unique pseudo-inverse of the restriction of L to  $\ker L_{1v}$ , [17]. Suppose there exist the constants  $c \geq ||S_v||, v \in D$  and p with  $||S_u S_v|| \leq p||u v||, u, v \in D$ .
- (B) Let B be the closed ball in C(I, X) centered in the origin and with radius  $b, b = (c||L|| + 1)C_1||\alpha||_1$ .
- (P) For every  $v \in D$  we define the linear bounded projector  $P_{1v}$  by  $P_{1v}(x) = \mathcal{U}_v(\cdot, 0)x(0)$ . For every  $v \in D$  let  $P_{3v}$  be a linear bounded projector from  $\ker L_{1v}$  in  $\ker L_{1v}$  defined by  $P_{3v}(\mathcal{U}_v(\cdot, 0)c) = \mathcal{U}_v(\cdot, 0)c_1$  such that  $\operatorname{Im} P_{3v} = \ker(L_{|\ker L_{1v}})$ .

Remark 1.1. If the operator A does not depend on w, the differential inclusion in (BP) is linear or semi-linear, then (A) has to read as:  $\{A(t), t \in I\}$  generates a unique strongly continuous evolution system  $\mathcal{U}(t,s), 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$ . Also,  $L_{1v}$  is  $L_1$  and  $S_v$  is S. In this case  $C_2 = 0$  and p = 0.

We will need the following fixed point theorems for multifunctions:

# **Theorem 1.1** [26, Theorem 1]

Let D be a nonvoid, convex and closed subset of a locally convex space X. Let  $\psi : D \longrightarrow CCo(D)$  be an upper semicontinuous multifunction such that  $\overline{\psi(D)}$  is compact. Then  $\psi$  has a fixed point i.e., there exists an  $x \in D$  such that  $x \in \psi(x)$ .

# **Theorem 1.2** [7, Theorem 11.1]

Let  $D \neq \emptyset$  be a closed subset of a Banach space X and  $F : D \longrightarrow C(D)$  be a contraction, with closed values. Then  $Fix(F) \neq \emptyset$ .

#### Existence result

We will prove two existence theorems, one based on a multivalued version of the Banach fixed point theorem, Theorem 1.2., the other based on the Himmelberg-Bohnenblust-Karlin fixed point theorem, Theorem 1.1.

A function  $x \in C(I, X)$  is said to be a *mild solution* of the boundary value problem (BP) if it satisfies:

$$x(t) = \mathcal{U}_x(t,0)x(0) + \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_x(t,s)f(s)ds, \ t \in I, \quad \text{and} \quad Lx = 0,$$

where  $f(\cdot) \in S^1_{F(\cdot,x(\cdot))}$ . For  $v \in 0$  let us consider the following semi-linear differential inclusion:

$$\begin{cases} dx(t)/dt \in A(t,v)x(t) + F(t,x(t)), & t \in I, \\ Lx = 0. \end{cases}$$

Remark 2.1. The above problem has a mild solution due to the Theorem 1 [21] or the Theorem 2.1 or 2.2 below. The difference between the two approaches lies in the fact that we get the weak compactness of the set  $S_F^1$  using the reflexivity of the space X (which it is not assumed in [21]) while in [21] it is used the assumption that the values of F are weakly compact (which it is not assumed here). Our approach appears in [18], [19] too.

Remark 2.2. As it is shown in [17] or [16] the existence of the solutions of the problem (BP) is equivalent to the existence of the fixed points of the operator  $\psi: D \longrightarrow P(D), \ \psi(v) = C_v(v)$  defined by:

$$C_v(x) = \left\{ y \in D \mid y(t) = P_{3v} \left( P_{1v}(x) \right) - S_v L \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_v(t,s) f(s) ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_v(t,s) f(s) ds, \quad f \in S^1_{F(\cdot,x(\cdot))} \right\}.$$

From [6] we have that it is possible to consider the first term in the expression of y(t) as zero, what we will do in the sequel.

The *t*-section of  $\psi(D)$  is:

$$C(t) := \{ y(t) \mid y \in C_v(v), \quad v \in D \}.$$

 $(S_1)$  When A depends on t and w we suppose that for every  $t \in I$ , C(t) is relatively compact.

If A depends on t only this will be proved in Lemma 2.3.

# Theorem 2.1

If the following assumptions hold:  $(X), (U_1), (F_{1-3}), (L), (L_1), (S_v), (P)$ , and  $0 < C_3 = (c \|L\| + 1) (C_1 \|k\|_1 + C_2 T \|\alpha\|_1) + p \|L\| C_1 \|\alpha\|_1 < 1$ , then boundary value problem (BP) has a mild solution in D.

#### Theorem 2.2

If there hold the assumptions (X), (A),  $(U_1)$ ,  $(U_3)$ ,  $(F_{1-3})$ , and  $(U_2)$  or  $(S_1)$ , then there exists a mild solution of (BP) in D.

In the next lemmata we suppose that there are fulfilled all the necessary assumptions listed at the end of the first paragraph.

#### Lemma 2.1

If  $v \in D$ , then for every  $\psi(v) \in CCo(C(I, X))$ .

Proof.  $\psi(v)$  is nonempty. The convexity of  $\psi(v)$  follows from the convexity of the values of F and from the linearity of the operators  $S_v$  and L. To prove that  $C_v(v) \in C(C(I, X))$  let us consider  $(y_n)_{n\geq 1} \subset C_v(v)$  a sequence converging uniformly to an element  $y \in D$ . We have to show that  $y \in C_v(v)$ , i.e., there exists an element  $f \in S^1_{F(\cdot,v(\cdot))}$  such that:

$$y(t) = -S_v L \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_v(t,s) f(s) ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_v(t,s) f(s) ds$$

If  $y_n \in C_v(v)$ , then it results that there exists  $f_n(\cdot) \in S^1_{F(\cdot,v(\cdot))}$  such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$y_n(t) = -S_v L \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_v(t,s) f_n(s) ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_v(t,s) f_n(s) ds \,.$$

Since F is integrably bounded,  $\{f_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  is a bounded set in  $L_1(I, X)$ . By Pettis's theorem ([11], Theorem 2.11.2) taking into account the reflexivity of X it results that  $\bigcup_{n\geq 1}\{f_n(t)\}$  is sequentially weakly compact,  $t \in I$ . From [27] Proposition 1.2 we have that  $\{f_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  is a metrizable relatively weak compact subset in  $L_1(I, X)$ . It means that (taking a subsequence if necessary and keeping the same notations)  $(f_n)_{n\geq 1}$  converges weakly in  $L_1(I, X)$  to some  $f \in L_1(I, X)$ . It remains to show that  $f(\cdot) \in S^1_{F(\cdot,v(\cdot))}$ . By Mazur lemma ([28], p. 199), ([23], p. 65) there exists a sequence  $(g_n)_{n\geq 1}$  formed by convex combinations of  $\{f_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  tending to f in  $L_1(I, X)$ . It is clear that  $g_n(\cdot) \in F(\cdot, v(\cdot))$ , and, moreover  $g_n(\cdot) \in S^1_{F(\cdot,v(\cdot))}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It follows that  $g(t) \in F(t, v(t))$  a.e. on I and  $f(\cdot) \in S^1_{F(\cdot,v(\cdot))}$ .

For every  $t \in I$  the map  $\overline{f} \longrightarrow \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_v(t,s)\overline{f}(s)ds$  from  $L_1(I,X)$  into X is continuous and linear and, by Theorem IV.7.4 in [25], it remains continuous as a map from  $L_1(I,X)_w$  in  $X_w$ . Hence, for every  $t \in I$ , the sequence  $(y_n(t)) \longrightarrow y(t)$  in  $X_w$ . But  $y_n(\cdot) \longrightarrow y(\cdot)$ , and this implies that  $y \in C_v(v)$ .  $\Box$ 

170

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let us find an upper bound for the Hausdorff-Pompeiu distance of the sets  $\psi(u)$  and  $\psi(v)$ ,  $u, v \in Dd(\psi(u), \psi(v))$ . Our desire is to show that  $\psi$  is a contraction. In order to do this let be  $y \in \psi(u)$ ,  $z \in \psi(v)$ . If so, there are  $f \in S^1_{F(\cdot,v(\cdot))}$ ,  $g \in S^1_{F(\cdot,u(\cdot))}$  such that:

$$y(t) = -S_u L \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_u(t,s)g(s)ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_u(t,s)g(s)ds \,.$$
$$z(t) = -S_v L \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_v(t,s)f(s)ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_v(t,s)f(s)ds \,.$$

Then:

$$\begin{split} \|y(t) - z(t)\| &= \left\| S_u L \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_u(t,s) g(s) ds - S_v L \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_v(t,s) f(s) ds \right\| \\ &+ \left\| \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_u(t,s) g(s) ds - \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_v(t,s) f(s) ds \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| S_u L \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_u(t,s) g(s) ds - S_u L \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_v(t,s) f(s) ds \right\| \\ &+ \left\| S_u L \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_u(t,s) f(s) ds - S_v L \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_v(t,s) f(s) ds \right\| \\ &+ \left\| \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_u(t,s) g(s) ds - \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_v(t,s) g(s) ds \right\| \\ &+ \left\| \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_u(t,s) g(s) ds - \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_v(t,s) f(s) ds \right\| \\ &\leq \|S_u\| \|L\| \left\| \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_u(t,s) g(s) ds - \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_v(t,s) f(s) ds \right\| \\ &+ \|S_u - S_v\| \left\| L \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_v(t,s) f(s) ds \right\| \\ &+ C_2 \int_0^t \|g(s)\| \int_s^t \|u(\tau) - v(\tau)\| d\tau ds + C_1 \|k\|_1 \|u - v\| \\ &\leq \|S_u\| \|L\| \left\| C_2 \int_0^t \|g(s)\| \int_s^t \|u(\tau) - v(\tau)\| d\tau ds + C_1 \|k\|_1 \|u - v\| \\ &+ p\|L\|C_1\|\alpha\|_1 \|u - v\| + [C_1\|k\|_1 + C_2 T\|\alpha\|_1 ] \|u - v\| \\ &\leq C_3 \|u - v\|. \end{split}$$

Hence,  $||y - z|| \le C_3 ||u - v||$  and it follows that:

$$d(\psi(u), \psi(v)) \leq C_3 \|u - v\|$$
.  $\Box$ 

# Lemma 2.2

There holds the inclusion  $\psi(D) \subset B \cap D$ .

Proof. For any  $v \in D$  and  $y \in \psi(v)$  we have:

$$||y(t)|| \le ||S_v|| ||L||C_1||\alpha||_1 + C_1||\alpha||_1 \le (c||L||+1)C_1||\alpha||_1.$$

#### Lemma 2.3

C(t), the t-section of  $\psi(D)$ , is relatively compact in X.

Proof. If A depends on t and w this is  $(S_1)$ . If not then following [21] we note that:

$$C(t) \subseteq (1 - SL) \int_0^t \mathcal{U}(t, s) P(s) ds$$
,

where  $P(s) = \{x \in X \mid ||x|| = \sup\{|F(s,z)| : ||z|| \le b\}\}$ , and S is the unique pseudoinverse of L to kerL<sub>1</sub>. Since  $\mathcal{U}(t,s)$  is compact, we have that  $\overline{\mathcal{U}(t,s)P(s)}$  is a convex and compact subset in X. Also  $s \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}(t,s)P(s)$  is measurable. So by the Radstrom embedding theorem  $\int_0^t \mathcal{U}(t,s)P(s)ds$ , [14], is a compact and convex subset of X. We get that  $\overline{C(t)}$  is compact in X.  $\Box$ 

#### Lemma 2.4

The mapping  $x \longrightarrow \psi(x)$  from D into  $\psi(D)$  is uniformly upper semicontinuous.

Proof. Choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $u \in D$  arbitrary. We shall determine a positive  $\eta$  such that if  $v \in D$  with  $||v - u|| < \eta$  then  $||z - y|| < \varepsilon$ , where  $y \in \psi(u)$  and  $z \in \psi(v)$ . If so, there are  $f \in S^1_{F(\cdot,v(\cdot))}, g \in S^1_{F(\cdot,u(\cdot))}$  such that:

$$y(t) = -S_u L \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_u(t,s)g(s)ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_u(t,s)g(s)ds \,.$$
$$z(t) = -S_v L \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_u(t,s)f(s)ds + \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_v(t,s)f(s)ds \,.$$

It follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \|y(t) - z(t)\| &\leq \left\| S_u L \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_u(t,s)g(s)ds - S_v L \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_v(t,s)f(s)ds \right\| \\ &+ \left\| \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_u(t,s)g(s)ds - \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_v(t,s)f(s)ds \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Performing the same estimations as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 we get:  $||y - z|| \le C_3 ||u - v|| \cdot C_3$  does not depend on u, being a constant, so the lemma is obvious.  $\Box$ 

#### Lemma 2.5

 $\psi(D)$  is a family of equicontinuous maps.

172

Proof. To prove that  $\psi(D)$  is a family of equicontinuous maps we have to show that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\mu > 0$  such that for every,  $t, t + \delta \in I, \ 0 < \delta < \mu, x \in D, y \in \psi(v)$  there holds  $||y(t + \delta) - y(t)|| < \varepsilon$ . Then we have:

$$\begin{split} \|y(t+\delta) - y(t)\| &\leq \left\| S_v L\Big[ \int_0^{t+\delta} \mathcal{U}_x(t+\delta,s)v(s)ds - \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_x(t,s)v(s)ds \Big] \right\| \\ &+ \left\| \int_0^{t+\delta} \mathcal{U}_x(t+\delta,s)v(s)ds - \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_x(t,s)v(s)ds \right\| \\ &\leq \left( \|S_v\| \|L\| + 1 \right) \left\| \int_0^{t+\delta} \mathcal{U}_x(t+\delta,s)v(s)ds - \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_x(t,s)v(s)ds \right\| \\ &\leq \left( c\|L\| + 1 \right) \left[ \left\| \int_0^t \left[ \mathcal{U}_x(t+\delta,s) - 1 \right] \mathcal{U}_x(t,s)v(s)ds \right\| \\ &+ \int_t^{t+\delta} \left\| \mathcal{U}_x(t+\delta,s)v(s) \right\| ds \right] \\ &\leq \left( c\|L\| + 1 \right) \left[ C_1 \int_t^{t+\delta} \|v(s)\| ds \\ &+ \left\| \left( \mathcal{U}_x(t+\delta,t) - 1 \right) \int_0^t \mathcal{U}_x(t,s)v(s)ds \right\| \right]. \end{split}$$

By  $(U_3)$  and Theorem 9. p. 4 in [8] we conclude that  $\psi(S)$  is a family of equicontinuous maps.  $\Box$ 

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Consider again  $\psi: D \longrightarrow P(D)$ . From Lemma 2.3 and 2.5, based on the Ascoli-Arzelá Theorem [30] we have that  $\psi(D)$  is relatively compact. From Lemma 2.1–2.5 we observe that all the assumptions of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied, hence (BP) has a mild solution in D.  $\Box$ 

Remark 2.3. If L is considered as Lx = x(0) - x(T), then under the above conditions we get periodic mild solution.

### References

- 1. A. Anguraj and K. Balachandran, Existence of solutions of nonlinear differential inclusions, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ.* **13** (1992), 61–66.
- 2. J.P. Aubin and A. Cellina, Differential Inclusions, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- 3. J.P. Aubin and H. Frankowska, Set-valued Analysis, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1990.

- 4. V. Barbu, *Nonlinear Semigroups and Differential Equations in Banach Spaces*, Ed. Academiei, Bucureşti, Noordhoff, Leyden, 1976.
- 5. C. Castaing and M. Valadier, *Convex Analysis and Measurable Multifunctions*, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- 6. R. Conti, Some problems for functional equations as fixed point problems, *Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures et Appl.* **13** (1968), 1273–1277.
- 7. K. Deimling, Multivalued Differential Equations, W. de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992.
- 8. J. Diestel and J. Uhl, Vector Measures, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1977.
- 9. F.A. Fillipov, *Differential Equations with Discontinuous Righthand Sides*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1988.
- H. Frankowska, A priori estimates for operational differential inclusions, J. Diff. Eqs. 84 (1990), 100–128.
- 11. E. Hille, Functional Analysis and Semi-groups, Amer. Math. Soc., New-York, 1948.
- 12. C.J. Himmelberg, Measurable relations, Fund. Math. 87 (1975), 53–72.
- M.I. Kamenskii and V.V. Obukhovshii, On periodic solutions of differential inclusions with unbounded operators in Banach spaces, *Univ. u Novom Sadu, Zb. Rad. Prirod.–Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat.* 21, 1 (1991), 173–191.
- 14. E. Klein and A.C. Thompson, Theory of Correspondences, Wiley, New-York, 1984.
- 15. K. Kobayasi and N. Sanekata, A method of iterations for quasi-linear evolution equations in nonreflexive Banach spaces, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **19** (1989), 521–540.
- 16. J. Mawhin, Equations non lineaires dans les espaces de Banach, *Rap.* **39**, *Semin. Math. Appl. Mec. Univ. Cath. Louvain*, Vander, Louvain.
- 17. J. Mawhin, Nonlinear operator equations in vector spaces. I. Reduction theorems to fixed point problems, *Rap.* 14 Semin. Math. Pure. Inst. Math. Pure Appl., Univ. Cath. Louvain.
- M. Mureşan, On quasi-linear inclusions of evolution, Preprint n.<sup>o</sup> 7, 1993, "Babeş-Bolyai" University.
- 19. M. Mureşan and C. Mureşan, On the solutions of quasi-linear inclusions of evolution. (Submitted).
- N.S. Papageorgiou, Measurable multifunctions with applications to random multivalued equations, *Math. Japonica*, 23 (1987), 437–464.
- N.S. Papageorgiou, Boundary value problems for evolution inclusions, *Comm. Math. Univ. Carol.* 29 (1988), 355–363.
- 22. A. Pazy, Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations, Springer, New-York, 1983.
- 23. W. Rudin, Functional Analysis, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1973.
- 24. N. Sanekata, Abstract quasi-linear equations of evolution in nonreflexive Banach spaces, *Hiroshima Math. J.* **19** (1989), 109–139.
- 25. H.H. Schaeffer, Topological Vector Spaces, Macmillan, New York, 1966.
- 26. V. Seda, Some fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings, *Czech. Math. J.* **39** (114) (1989), 147–164.
- A.A. Tolstonogov, On solutions of evolution inclusions I, (Russian). Sibiris. Mat. Zh. 33 (1992), 3, 161–174.

- 28. V. Trenoguine, Analyse Fonctionelle, Mir Moscou, 1985.
- 29. V.Z. Tsalyuk, On the superpositionally multifunctions, (Russian). *Mat. Zamet.* **43** (1988), 98–102.
- 30. I.I. Vrabie, Compactness Methods for Nonlinear Evolutions, Longman, Burnt Mill, 1987.
- 31. D.H. Wagner, Survey of measurable selection theorems, *SIAM J. Control and Opt.* **15** (1977), 859–903.