Collectanea Mathematica (electronic version): http://www.imub.ub.es/collect

Collect. Math. **44** (1993), 307–325 © 1994 Universitat de Barcelona

P-convexity property in Musielak-Orlicz sequence spaces

YE YINING AND HUANG YAFENG

P.O. Box 610, Math. Dept., Harbin Univ. Sci. Tech., Harbin, Heilongjiang, 150080, P.R. China

Abstract

We prove that in the Musielak-Orlicz sequence spaces equipped with the Luxemburg norm, P-convexity coincides with reflexivity.

In 1970, Kottman [1] introduced an important geometric property-*P*-convexity in order to describe a reflexive Banach space. We say that a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is *P*-convex if X is $P(n\varepsilon)$ -convex for some positive integer n and a real number $\varepsilon > 0$, i.e. for any x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n in the unit sphere of X, $\min_{i \neq j} ||x_i - x_j|| < 2-\varepsilon$ for some n and $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover Kottman proved that any *P*-convex Banach space is reflexive. After *P*-convexity property was introduced, many people tried to give a distinct relation between *P*-convexity and reflexivity. But there are a lot of differences between them in a Banach space.

In 1978 Sastry and Naidu [2] introduced a new geometric property, O-convexity intermediate between P-convexity and reflexivity, and proved that P-convexity implies O-convexity and O-convexity implies reflexivity.

In 1984, D. Amir and C. Franhetti [3] gave two geometric properties, O-convexity and H-convexity by the preceding results and proved O-convexity implies Q-convexity, Q-convexity implies reflexivity and H-convexity implies B-convexity and these convexities do not coincide with each other.

In 1988, Yeyining, Hemiaohong and Ryszard Pluciennik [4] proved that in Orlicz spaces *P*-convexity coincides with reflexivity, and reflexivity coincides with $P(3, \varepsilon)$ convexity for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

In this paper we prove that in Musielak-Orlicz sequence spaces equipped with the Luxemburg norm P-convexity coincides with reflexivity.

YINING AND YAFENG

0. Introduction

Let X be a Banach space equipped with the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and S(X) be the unit sphere of the space X, i.e. $S(X) = \{x \in X : \|x\| = 1\}$. Denote by N the set of positive integers and by R the set of real numbers. Let $\varphi = (\varphi_n)$ be a sequence of Young functions, i.e. for every $n \in \mathbb{N}, \varphi_n(\cdot) : \mathbb{R} \to [0, \infty]$ is a convex, $\varphi_n(0) = 0, \lim_{u \to \infty} \varphi_n(u) = \infty, \varphi_n(\cdot)$ is continuous at 0 and not identically equal to the zero function, and there exists a real number u_0 , s.t. $\varphi_n(u_0) < \infty$. We define a modular on the family of all sequences $x = (x_n)$ of real numbers by the following formula

$$I_{\varphi}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n(x_n)$$

The linear set

$$l_{\varphi} = \{x = (x_n) : \exists a > 0, I_{\varphi}(ax) < \infty\}$$

equipped with so - called Luxemburg norm

$$||x|| = \inf\{k > 0: I_{\varphi}(k^{-1}x) \le 1\}$$

is said to be a Musielak-Orlicz sequence space.

We say that $\varphi = (\varphi_n)$ satisfies the δ_2 -condition if there are constants a, k, and a sequence (c_n) of non-negative real numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_a(2u) \le k\varphi_n(u) + c_n$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\varphi_a(u) \leq a$.

The complementary function of Young function $\varphi = (\varphi_n)$ is defined by

$$\varphi_n^*(v) = \sup_{u \ge 0} \{ u | v | - \varphi_n(u) \}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

A Musielak-Orlicz sequence space l_{φ} is reflexive if and only if $\varphi = (\varphi_n)$ and $\varphi^* = (\varphi_n^*)$ satisfy the δ_2 -condition. Let $a_n = \sup\{u > 0: \varphi_n(u) \leq 1\}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

1. Auxiliary lemmas

Lemma 1

Let $\varphi = (\varphi_n)$ satisfy the δ_2 -condition, then

- (i) if $A = \inf_{n} \varphi_n(a_n)$, then A > 0,
- (ii) for any $l_1 > 1, a_1 > 0$, there are $k_1 > 1$ and a sequence $(c_n^{(1)})$ of non-negative real numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^{(1)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_n(lu) \le k\varphi_n(u) + c_n^{(1)}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\varphi_n(l_1 u) \leq a_1$,

(iii) for any $k_1 > 1, l_2 > 1, a_2 > 0$, there are $\sigma \in (0, l_2 - 1)$ and a sequence $(c_n^{(2)})$ of non-negative real numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^{(2)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_n \left((1+\delta)u \right) \le k_2 \varphi_n(u) + c_n^{(2)}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\varphi_n(l_2 u) \leq a_2$.

Proof. (i) Obviously $A \ge 0$, so it is enough to prove $A \ne 0$. Assume that A = 0. Then for any a > 0 there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\varphi_{n_0}(a_{n_0}) < a$. It is easy to see that $a_{n_0} \ne 0$ by the definition of $\varphi_n(u)$. We may assume without loss of generality that a < 1. Then $\varphi_{n_0}(a_{n_0}) < 1$ implies $\varphi_{n_0}(2a_{n_0}) = \infty$ because $\varphi_n(u)$ is a convex function and so it has the only discontinuous point u_0 , such that $\varphi_{n_0}(u_0 - 0) < \infty$ and $\varphi_{n_0}(u_0 + 0) = \infty$. By the definition of a_{n_0} and $\varphi_{n_0}(a_{n_0}) < 1$ we may deduce that a_{n_0} is the discontinuous point of $\varphi_{n_0}(u)$, so $\varphi_{n_0}(2a_{n_0}) = \infty$. But this contradicts the δ_2 -condition and so A > 0.

(ii) Let a positive integer α satisfy $2^{\alpha-1} < l_1 < 2^{\alpha}$.

Since $\varphi = (\varphi_n)$ satisfies the δ_2 -condition, there are constants k > 0, a > 0 and a sequence (c_n) of non-negative real numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_n(2u) \le k\varphi_n(u) + c_n$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\varphi_n(u) \leq a$. When $\varphi_n(l_1 u) \leq a, \varphi_n(2^{\alpha-1}u) \leq \varphi_n(l_1 u) \leq a$, then

$$\varphi_n(l_1 u) \le \varphi_n(2^{\alpha} u)$$

$$\le k\varphi_n(2^{\alpha-1} u) + c_n \le \dots \le k^{\alpha}\varphi_n(u) + (k^{\alpha-1} + \dots + k + 1)c_n.$$

Let $c_n^{(1)} = (k^{\alpha-1} + \dots + k + 1)c_n$. Obviously $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^{(1)} < \infty$. Then $\varphi_n(l_1u) \le k^{\alpha}\varphi_n(u) + c_n^{(1)}$ with $\varphi_n(l_1u) \le a$.

If $a_1 \leq a$, it is enough to put $k_1 = k^{\alpha}$. Let $a < \varphi_n(l_1 u) \leq a_1$ and $\varphi_n(l'_1 u) = a$. Then $l'_1 < l$. Hence

$$\begin{split} \varphi_n(l_1, u) &\leq a_1 = a_1 a^{-1} a = a_1 a^{-1} \varphi_n(l_1' u) \\ &= a_1 a^{-1} \varphi_n(l_1 l_2^{-1} l_1' u) \leq a_1 a^{-1} [k^{\alpha} \varphi_n(l_1^{-1} l_1' u) + c_n^{(1)}] \\ &\leq a_1 a^{-1} k^{\alpha} \varphi_n(u) + a_1 a^{-1} c_n^{(1)}. \end{split}$$

Replace $a_1 a^{-1} k^{\alpha}$ by $k_1, a_1 a^{-1} c_n^{(1)}$ by $c_n^{(1)}$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^{(1)} < \infty$. So $\varphi_n(l_1 u) \leq l_1 \dots \leq l_n$

 $k_1\varphi_n(u) + c_n^{(1)}$ when $\varphi_n(l_1u) \le a_1$.

(iii) For $l_2 > 1, a_2 > 0$, by (ii) there are $k_1 > 1$ and a sequence $(c_n^{(1)})$ of non-negative real numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^{(1)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_n(l_2 u) \le k_1 \varphi_n(u) + c_n^{(1)}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\varphi_n(l_2 u) \leq a_2$. Take σ satisfying

$$\sigma < \min\left\{l_2 - 1, \left[(k_2 - 1)/(k_1 - 1)\right](l_2 - 1)\right\}.$$

Because $\varphi_n(u)$ is convex, when $\varphi_n(l_2 u) \leq a_2$ it follows that

$$\begin{split} \varphi_n \big((1+\sigma)u \big) &= \varphi_n \Big(\frac{(l_2-1)(l+\sigma)}{l_2-1}u \Big) \\ &= \varphi_n \Big(\frac{\sigma}{l_2-1} l_2 u + \frac{l_2-1-\sigma}{l_2-1}u \Big) \\ &\leq \frac{\sigma}{l_2-1} \varphi_n(l_2 u) + \frac{l_2-1-\sigma}{l_2-1} \varphi_n(u) \\ &\leq \frac{k_1 \sigma}{l_2-1} \varphi_n(u) + \frac{l_2-1-\sigma}{l_2-1} \varphi_n(u) + \frac{\sigma}{l_2-1} c_n^{(1)} \\ &= \Big[\frac{(k_1-1)\sigma}{l_2-1} + 1 \Big] \varphi_n(u) + \frac{\sigma}{l_2-1} c_n^{(1)} \\ &\leq \Big[\frac{(k_1-1)(k_2-1)}{(l_2-1)(k_1-1)} (l_2-1) + 1 \Big] \varphi_n(u) \\ &+ \frac{c_n^{(1)}(k_2-1)}{(l_2-1)(k_1-1)} (l_2-1) \\ &= k_2 \varphi_n(u) + \frac{k_2-1}{k_1-1} c_n^{(1)}. \end{split}$$

Let $c_n^{(2)} = [(k_2 - 1)/(k_1 - 1)]c_n^{(1)}$, which completes the proof of (iii). \Box

Lemma 2

If $\varphi = (\varphi_n)$ and $\varphi^* = (\varphi_n^*)$ satisfy the δ_2 -condition, then for any $l_3 > 1$, b > 1there are $k_3 > 1$ and a sequence $(c_n^{(3)})$ of non-negative real numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^{(3)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_n^*(v) < \frac{1}{l_3 k_3} \varphi_n^*(l_3 v) + c_n^{(3)},$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\varphi_n^*(v) \leq b$.

Proof. First we prove when $\varphi_n^*(v) \leq b$, there is a > 0 such that $\varphi_n(u) \leq a$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where $v = p_n(u)$.

Otherwise, there is a sequence $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of real numbers such that $\varphi_{n_k}(u_k) \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$, while $\varphi_{n_k}^*(v) \leq b$.

Notice that for some $l_3 > 1$, there is b' > 0, such that $\varphi_n^*(l_3 v) \leq b'$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is enough to put $b' = 2l_3 b$. If $\varphi_n^*(l_3 v) > 2l_3 b$, Lemma 2 obviously holds.

By Lemma 1, there is $\sigma \in (0, l_3 - 1)$ such that $\varphi_{n_k}^*((1 + \sigma)v_k) \le k_2 \varphi_{n_k}^*(v_k) + c_k$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$\varphi_{n_k}^*(l_3v) \le b', \quad \text{where} \quad k_2 > 1, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_k < \infty.$$

Let $b_1 = k_2 b + \max_k c_k$. Then $\varphi_{n_k}^*((1+\sigma)v_k) \le b_1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

On the other hand, when $v_k = p_{n_k}(u_k)$, $\varphi_{n_k}^*(v_k) = |u_k v_k| - \varphi_{n_k}(u_k) > 0$, and $\varphi_{n_k}(u_k) \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$, i.e. there is $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varphi_{n_k}(u_k) > b_1 \sigma^{-1}$ with $k > k_0$. So, when $k > k_0$, we have

$$\varphi_{n_k}^* \left((1+\sigma)v_k \right) = \sup_{u \ge 0} \left\{ (1+\sigma)|v_k|u - \varphi_{n_k}(u) \right\}$$
$$\ge (1+\sigma)|v_k u_k| - \varphi_{n_k}(u_k)$$
$$\ge (1+\sigma)\varphi_{n_k}(u_k) - \varphi_{n_k}(u_k) = \sigma\varphi_{n_k}(u_k) > b_1$$

This contradicts the inequality $\varphi_{n_k}^*((1+\sigma)v_k) \leq b_1$.

Therefore, there is a > 0 such that $\varphi_n(u) \leq a$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\varphi_n^*(v) \leq b$. Hence by $\varphi_n^*(l_3 v) \leq b'$ there is a' > 0 such that $\varphi_n(l_3 u) \leq a'$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

By Lemma 1 (iii) for $k_2 = l_3, l_2 = l_3, a_2 = a'$, there are $\varepsilon \in (0, l_3 - 1)$ and a sequence $(c_n^{(2)})$ of non-negative real numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^{(2)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_n \big((1+\varepsilon)u \big) \le l_3 \varphi_n(u) + c_n^{(2)}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\varphi_n(l_3 u) \leq a'$. Then

$$\begin{split} \varphi_n^*(v) &= \sup \left\{ u|v| - \varphi_n(u) \colon u \ge 0, \varphi_n^*(l_3v) \le b' \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ u|v| - \varphi_n(u) \colon \varphi_n(l_3u) \le a' \right\} \\ &\leq \sup_{u\ge 0} \left\{ u|v| - \frac{\varphi_n(u+\varepsilon)u) - c_n^{(2)}}{l_3} \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{l_3} \sup_{u\ge 0} \left\{ \frac{l_3|v|}{1+\varepsilon} (1+\varepsilon)u - \varphi_n\left((1+\varepsilon)u\right) \right\} + \frac{c_n^{(2)}}{l_3} \\ &= \frac{1}{l_3} \varphi_n^* \left(\frac{l_3v}{1+\varepsilon}\right) + \frac{c_n^{(2)}}{l_3} \\ &< \frac{1}{l_3(1+\varepsilon)} \varphi_n^*(l_3v) + \frac{c_n^{(2)}}{l_3}. \end{split}$$

Let $k_3 = 1 + \varepsilon$, $c_n^{(3)} = c_n^{(2)}/l_3$, which completes the proof of Lemma 2.

Lemma 3

If $\varphi = (\varphi_n)$ and $\varphi^* = (\varphi_n^*)$ satisfy the δ_2 -condition, then there is a sequence (c_n) of non-negative real numbers such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n(c_n) < \infty$, and if

$$d_n = \sup\left\{\alpha(u, n): \varphi_n\left(\frac{u}{\alpha(u, n)}\right) \ge \frac{1}{2}\varphi_n(u), c_n \le |u| \le a_n\right\}, \ n = 1, 2, \dots$$
$$d_1 = \lim_{m \to \infty} \sup_{n > m} d_n,$$

then $d_1 < 2$.

Proof. Let $l_3 = 2, b = 1$ in Lemma 2. Then there are $k_3 > 1$ and a sequence $(c_n^{(3)})$ of non-negative real numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^{(3)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_n(u) \le \frac{1}{2k_3} \varphi_n(2u) + c_n^{(3)} \tag{1}$$

for all n and u with $\varphi_n(u) \leq 1$.

In Lemma 1 (iii) let $k_2 = (k_3 + 1)/2, l_2 = 2, a_2 = 1$. There are $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and a sequence (β_n) of positive numbers such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n < \infty$, and when $\varphi_n(2u) \le 1$,

$$\varphi_n\big((1+\varepsilon)u\big) < \frac{1}{2}(k_3+1)\varphi_n(u) + \beta_n.$$
(2)

Let

$$c'_{n} = \frac{2k_{3}(k_{3}+1)}{k_{3}-1}c_{n}^{(3)} + \frac{4k_{3}}{k_{3}-1}\beta_{n}.$$

Obviously $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c'_n < \infty$.

Since $A = \inf_{n} \varphi_n(a_n) > 0$ is true by Lemma 1 (i), so there is $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $c'_n < A$ for $n > n_0$. We define a sequence (c_n) by

$$c_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } n \le n_0 \\ \varphi_n^{-1}(c'_n) & \text{when } n > n_0. \end{cases}$$

Then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n(c_n) \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c'_n < \infty$.

We will show the sequence (c_n) satisfies Lemma 3.

Obviously $d_1 \leq 2$. If $d_1 = 2$, for $n > n_0$ there are subsequence $\{u_n\}_{n > n_0}$ and $\{\alpha(u_n, n)\}_{n > n_0}$ (let the subsequence be $\{u_n\}$ and $\{\alpha(u_n, n)\}$) such that

$$\varphi_n\left(\frac{u_0}{\alpha(u_n,n)}\right) \ge \frac{1}{2}\varphi_n(u_n), \quad c_n \le |u_n| < a_n \tag{3}$$

and $\alpha(u_n, n) \to 2$ as $n \to \infty$.

So there is $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $2/\alpha(u_n, n) < 1 + \varepsilon$ for $n > n_1$. Let $\alpha_n = \alpha(u_n, n)$. By formula (2) it follows that

$$\varphi_n\left(\frac{u_n}{\alpha_n}\right) \le \varphi_n\left((1+\varepsilon)\frac{u_n}{2}\right) < \frac{k_3+1}{2}\varphi_n\left(\frac{u_n}{2}\right) + \beta_n.$$

By (1), we get

$$\varphi_n\left(\frac{u_n}{\alpha_n}\right) < \frac{k_3 + 1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{2k_3}\varphi_n(u_n) + c_n^{(3)}\right] + \beta_n = \frac{k_3 + 1}{4k_3}\varphi_n(u_n) + \frac{k_3 + 1}{2}c_n^{(3)} + \beta_n.$$

By (3), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\varphi_n(u_n) < \frac{k_3 + 1}{4k_3}\varphi_n(u_n) + \frac{k_3 + 1}{2}c_n^{(3)} + \beta_n,$$

i.e.

$$\varphi_n(u_n) < \frac{2k_3(k_3+1)}{k_3-1}c_n^{(3)} + \frac{3k_3}{k_3-1}\beta_n \,. \tag{4}$$

But when $n > \max(n_0, n_1)$, we have

$$\varphi_n(u_n) \ge \varphi_n(c_n) = c'_n = \frac{2k_3(k_3+1)}{k_3-1}c_n^{(3)} + \frac{4k_3}{k_3-1}\beta_n$$

This contradicts (4), so Lemma 3 is true. \Box

YINING AND YAFENG

2. Result

Theorem

A Musielak-Orlicz sequence space l_{φ} is P-convex if and only if l_{φ} is reflexive.

Proof. We may obtain necessity according to paper [1], so it is enough to prove sufficiency.

Assume sufficiency is false. Let l_{φ} be reflexive i.e. $\varphi = (\varphi_n)$ and $\varphi^* = (\varphi_n^*)$ satisfy the δ_2 -condition but l_{φ} is not *P*-convex. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and positive integer N_1 , there is a set $X = \{x^i\}$ having N_1 elements in $S(l_{\varphi})$ such that

$$||x^{i} - x^{j}|| \ge 2(1 - \varepsilon); \quad i \ne j, \ i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N_{1}.$$

We will complete the proof of theorem in two steps.

Step 1. There is $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that $||x_n|| < (1 - \varepsilon_0)a_n$ for any $x = (x_n) \in X$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(1a) We define some constants.

By Lemma 3, there are a sequence (c_n) of non-negative real numbers, $N' \in N, d > 0$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n < \infty, d_1 < d < 2$ and $d_n < d$ with n > N'. Let $\beta = \varepsilon_0/4$, then $\beta < 1$.

By Lemma 1 (ii), for $l_1 = 1/\beta$ and $a_1 = 1$, there are $k_1 > 1$ and a sequence $(c_n^{(2)})$ of non-negative real numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^{(2)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_n(u/\beta) \le k_1 \varphi_n(u) + c_n^{(2)} \tag{1}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\varphi_n(u/\beta) \leq 1$. Let $\lambda_1 = (2-d)/(24k_1)$, $\lambda_2 = (2-d)/2d$. By Lemma 1 (iii), for $k_2 = 1 + \min(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, $l_2 > 1$ and a = 1, there are $a \in (0, l-1)$ and a sequence $(c_n^{(3)})$ of non-negative real numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^{(3)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_n \left((1+\delta)u \right) \le k_2 \varphi_n(u) + c_n^{(3)} \tag{2}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\varphi_n(l_2 u) \leq 1$.

By Lemma 1 (ii), for $l_1 = 2$, and $a_1 = 1$, there are k > 1 and a sequence $(c_n^{(1)})$ of non-negative real numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n^{(1)} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_n(2u) \le k\varphi_n(u) + c_n^{(1)} \tag{3}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\varphi_n(2u) \leq 1$. Let h_1 be, such that $0 < h_1 < 1$. Let

$$h_{2} = \min\left\{\frac{2-d}{8k}, \frac{2-d}{4}\right\}$$

$$r_{1} = \min\left\{\frac{1-h_{1}}{4(1+k_{1})}, \frac{h_{2}(1-h_{1})}{12kk_{1}}\right\}$$

$$r_{2} = \frac{h_{2}(1-h_{1})}{12(3k+1)}.$$

By $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n(c_n) < \infty$ and (1), (2), (3), there is $N_0 > N'$, such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n(c_n) < r_1, \quad \sum_{n=N_0}^{\infty} c_n^{(i)} < r, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$
(4)

(1b) Now we will prove that for any h_1 , $0 < h_1 < 1$, there do not exist three elements x^1, x^2 and x^3 in X, such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n(x_n^i) \ge I_{\varphi}(x^i) - h_1 = 1 - h_1, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$
(5)

Assume (1b) is false:

(i) If $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0/4$, then $\varphi_n((x_n^i - x_n^j)/2(1 - \varepsilon)) < \infty$ for all $n \in N, i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2, 3$.

Let $u_n = \max\{|x_n^1|, |x_n^2|, |x_n^3|\}, w_n = \min\{|x_n^1|, |x_n^2|, |x_n^3|\}, v_n$ be the arithmetic mean of u_n and w_n . Since $u_n v_n \ge 0$, or $u_n w_n \ge 0$, or $v_n w_n \ge 0$ is true, we first consider $v_n, w_n \ge 0$.

Divide positive integers $n \ge N_0$ into the following sets:

$$I_{1} = \left\{ n: \left| \frac{v_{n}}{u_{n}} \right| \ge \beta \quad \text{and} \quad |v_{n}| \ge c_{n} \right\}$$

$$I_{2} = \left\{ n: \left| \frac{v_{n}}{u_{n}} \right| \ge \beta \quad \text{and} \quad |v_{n}| < c_{n} \right\}$$

$$I_{3} = \left\{ n: \left| \frac{v_{n}}{u_{n}} \right| < \beta \quad \text{and} \quad |u_{n}| \ge c_{n} \right\}$$

$$I_{4} = \left\{ n: \left| \frac{v_{n}}{u_{n}} \right| < \beta \quad \text{and} \quad |u_{n}| < c_{n} \right\}$$

When $n \in I_1$, by formula (2) for $l_2 = (1 - \varepsilon_0/2)/[(1 - \varepsilon_0)(1 - \varepsilon)]$, if $\sigma = 1/(1 - \varepsilon) - 1$, then $\sigma < l_2 - 1$. Since

$$\varphi_n \left(l_2 \frac{u_n - v_n}{2} \right) = \varphi_n \left(\frac{1 - \varepsilon_0/2}{1 - \varepsilon_0} \cdot \frac{u_n - v_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon)} \right)$$
$$\leq \varphi_n \left(\frac{1 - \varepsilon_0/2}{1 - \varepsilon_0/4} \cdot \frac{2u_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon_0)} \right) \leq \varphi_n(a_n) \leq 1$$

by (2) and $k_2 = 1 + \min(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, it follows that

$$\varphi_n\left(\frac{u_n - v_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\right) = \varphi_n\left((1 + \sigma)\frac{u_n - v_n}{2}\right) \le k_2\varphi_n\left(\frac{u_n - v_n}{2}\right) + c_n^{(3)}$$

$$\le (1 + \lambda_1)\varphi_n\left(\frac{u_n - v_n}{2}\right) + c_n^{(3)}$$

$$\le (1 + \lambda_1)\frac{\varphi_n(u_n) + \varphi_n(v_n)}{2} + c_n^{(3)}$$

$$< \frac{1}{2}\varphi_n(u_n) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_n(v_n) + \lambda_1\varphi_n(u_n) + c_n^{(3)}.$$
(6)

By the same argumentation, we get

$$\varphi_n\left(\frac{u_n - w_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\right) \le \frac{1}{2}\varphi_n(u_n) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_n(w_n) + \lambda_1\varphi_n(u_n) + c_n^{(3)}.$$
(7)

By $v_n, w_n \ge 0$ and $|v_n| \ge |w_n|$, it follows that

$$\varphi\left(\frac{v_n - w_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\right) \le \varphi_n\left(\frac{v_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\right) \le (1 + \lambda_1)\varphi_n\left(\frac{v_n}{2}\right) + c_n^{(3)}.$$

By $|v_n| \ge c_n$ and the definition of d, we get

$$\varphi_n\left(\frac{v_n}{2}\right) = \varphi_n\left(\frac{d}{2} \cdot \frac{v_n}{d}\right) \le \frac{d}{2}\varphi_n\left(\frac{v_n}{d}\right) \le \frac{d}{4}\varphi_4(v_n),$$

 \mathbf{SO}

$$\varphi_n\left(\frac{v_n - w_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\right) \le \frac{d}{4}(1 + \lambda_1)\varphi_n(v_n) + c_n^{(3)}.$$
(8)

Let

$$f(n) = \varphi_n \left(\frac{u_n - v_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\right) + \varphi_n \left(\frac{v_n - w_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\right) + \varphi \left(\frac{u_n - w_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\right) - \varphi_n(u_n) - \varphi_n(v_n) - \varphi_n(w_n).$$

By (1) we get $\varphi_n(u_n) \le k_1 \varphi_n(\beta u_n) + c_n^{(2)}$. By (6), (7) and (8) it follows

$$\sum_{n \in I_{1}} f(n) \leq \sum_{n \in I_{1}} \left[2\lambda_{1}\varphi_{n}(u_{n}) + \frac{a}{4}(1+\lambda_{1})\varphi_{n}(v_{n}) + 3c_{n}^{(3)} - \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{n}(v_{n}) \right] \\ \leq \sum_{n \in I_{1}} \left[3\lambda_{1}\varphi_{n}(u_{n}) - \frac{2-d}{4}\varphi_{n}(v_{n}) \right] + 3\sum_{n \in I_{1}} c_{n}^{(3)} \\ \leq \sum_{n \in I_{1}} \left[3\lambda_{1}\varphi_{n}(u_{n}) - \frac{2-d}{4}\varphi(\beta u_{n}) \right] + 3\sum_{n \in I_{1}} c_{n}^{(3)} \\ \leq \sum_{n \in I_{1}} \left[3\lambda_{1}\varphi_{n}(u_{n}) - \frac{2-d}{4k_{1}}\varphi_{n}(u_{n}) \right] + \frac{2-d}{4k_{1}}\sum_{n \in I_{1}} c_{n}^{(3)} \\ + 3\sum_{n \in I_{1}} c_{n}^{(3)} \\ = \frac{2-d}{8k_{1}}\sum_{n \in I_{1}} \varphi_{n}(u_{n}) + \frac{2-d}{4k_{1}}\sum_{n \in I_{1}} c_{n}^{(3)} + 3\sum_{n \in I_{1}} c_{n}^{(3)} .$$

$$(9)$$

When $n \in I_2, |\frac{v_n}{u_n}| \ge \beta, |v_n| < c_n$. Since

$$\varphi_n\left(\frac{2u_n}{2(1-\varepsilon)}\right) \le \varphi_n\left(\frac{u_n}{1-\varepsilon_0}\right) \le \varphi_n(a_n) \le 1,$$

by (3) we get

$$\varphi_n\left(\frac{2u_n}{2(1+\varepsilon)}\right) \le k\varphi_n\left(\frac{u_n}{2(1-\varepsilon)}\right) + c_n^{(1)} \le k\varphi_n(u_n) + c_n^{(1)},$$

 \mathbf{so}

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_n \Big(\frac{u_n - v_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon)} \Big) &\leq \varphi_n \Big(\frac{2u_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon)} \Big) \leq k\varphi_n(u_n) + c_n^{(1)} \\ &\leq kk_1 \ \varphi_n(\beta u_n) + kc_n^{(2)} + c_n^{(1)} \leq kk_1\varphi_n(c_n) + kc_n^{(2)} + c_n^{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

We have also

$$\varphi_n\left(\frac{u_n - w_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\right) \le kk_1\varphi_n(c_n) + c_n^{(1)} + kc_n^{(2)}$$
$$\varphi_n\left(\frac{v_n - w_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\right) \le kk_1\varphi_n(c_n) + c_n^{(1)} + kc_n^{(2)},$$

so we get

$$\sum_{n \in I_2} f(n) \leq \sum_{n \in I_2} \left[\varphi_n \left(\frac{u_n - v_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon)} \right) + \varphi_n \left(\frac{v_n - w_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon)} \right) + \varphi_n \left(\frac{u_n - w_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon)} \right) \right]$$

$$\leq 3kk_1 \sum_{n \in I_2} \varphi_n(c_n) + 3 \sum_{n \in I_2} c_n^{(1)} + 3k \sum_{n \in I_3} c_n^{(3)}.$$
(10)

When $n \in I_3, |\frac{v_n}{u_n}| < \beta, |u_n| \ge c_n$, by

$$\varphi_n\Big(\frac{u_n-v_n}{2(1-\varepsilon)}\Big) \le \varphi_n\Big(\frac{(1+\varepsilon_0/4)u_0}{2(1-\varepsilon)}\Big),$$

denoting $(1 + \varepsilon_0/4)/(1 - \varepsilon) = 1/(1 - \varepsilon'), \sigma' = 1/(1 - \varepsilon') - 1$, we get as in (6),

$$\varphi_n\left(\frac{u_n - v_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\right) \le \varphi_n\left((1 + \sigma')\frac{u_n}{2}\right) \le (1 + \lambda_2)\varphi_n\left(\frac{u_n}{2}\right) + c_n^{(3)}$$
$$\le \frac{d}{4}(1 + \lambda_2)\varphi_n(u_n) + c_n^{(3)}$$

and

$$\varphi_n\left(\frac{u_n - w_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\right) \le \frac{d}{4}(1 + \lambda_2)\varphi_n(u_n) + c_n^{(3)}.$$

By $\varphi_n(\frac{u_n-w_n}{2(1-\varepsilon)}) \leq \varphi_n(\frac{v_n}{2(1-\varepsilon)}) \leq \varphi_n(v_n)$ we get

$$\sum_{n \in I_1} f(n) \leq \sum_{n \in I_3} \left[\frac{d}{2} \varphi_n(u_n) + \frac{d}{2} \lambda_2 \varphi_n(u_n) + 2c_n^{(3)} - \varphi_n(u_4) \right]$$
$$\leq \sum_{n \in I_2} \left[-\frac{2-d}{2} \varphi_n(u_n) + \frac{2-d}{4} \varphi_n(u_n) \right] + 2 \sum_{n \in I_3} c_n^{(3)} \qquad (11)$$
$$= -\frac{2-d}{4} \sum_{n \in I_3} \varphi_n(u_n) + 2 \sum_{n \in I_3} c_n^{(3)}.$$

When $n \in I_4$, $|u_n| < c_n$, as in the case of $n \in I_2$, we get

$$\varphi_n\left(\frac{u_n - v_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\right) \le k\varphi_n(u_n) + c_n^{(1)} \le k\varphi_n(c_n) + c_n^{(1)}$$
$$\varphi_n\left(\frac{u_n - v_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\right) \le k\varphi_n(c_n) + c_n^{(1)}$$
$$\varphi_n\left(\frac{u_n - w_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\right) \le k\varphi_n(c_n) + c_m^{(1)}.$$

Then

$$\sum_{n \in I_4} f(n) \le 3k \sum_{n \in I_4} \varphi_n(c_n) + 3 \sum_{n \in I_4} c_n^{(1)}.$$
(12)

By (9), (10), (11) and (12), we get

$$\sum_{n=N_0}^{\infty} f(n) \leq -h_2 \sum_{n=N_0}^{\infty} \varphi_n(u_n) + h_2 \sum_{n \in I_2 \cup I_4} \varphi_n(u_n) + 3 \sum_{n=N_0}^{\infty} (c_n^{(1)} + c_n^{(3)}) + 3kk_i \sum_{n=N_0} \varphi_n(c_n) + \left(3k + \frac{2-d}{2}\right) \sum_{n=N_0}^{\infty} c^{(2)}$$
(13)

$$+ 3kk_1 \sum_{n=N_0} \varphi_n(c_n) + \left(3k + \frac{2-d}{4k_1}\right) \sum_{n=N_0}^{\infty} c_n^{(2)}.$$

When $n \in I_2$, since (1) implies $\varphi_n(u_n) \le k_1 \varphi_n(c_n) + c_n^{(2)}$, then

$$h_{2} \sum_{n \in I_{2} \cup I_{4}} \varphi_{n}(u_{n}) = h_{2} \sum_{n \in I_{2}} \varphi_{n}(u_{n}) + h_{2} \sum_{n \in I_{4}} \varphi_{n}(u_{n})$$

$$\leq h_{2} \sum_{n \in I_{2}} [k_{1}\varphi_{n}(c_{n}) + c_{n}^{(2)}] + h_{2} \sum_{n \in I_{4}} \varphi_{n}(c_{n})$$

$$\leq h_{2}(k_{1}+1) \sum_{n=N_{0}}^{\infty} \varphi_{n}(c_{n}) + h_{2} \sum_{n \in I_{2}} c_{n}^{(2)}.$$
(14)

It we put (14) into (13), by (4) and (5), we get

$$\sum_{n=N_{0}}^{\infty} f(n) \leq -h_{2} \sum_{n=N_{0}}^{\infty} \varphi_{n}(u_{n}) + h_{2}(k_{1}+1) \sum_{n=N_{0}}^{\infty} \varphi_{n}(c_{n}) + 3kk_{1} \sum_{n=N_{0}}^{\infty} \varphi_{n}(c_{n}) + 3 \sum_{n=N_{0}}^{\infty} (c_{n}^{(1)} + c_{n}^{(2)}) + (3k+1) \sum_{n=N_{0}}^{\infty} c_{n}^{(2)} < -h_{2}(1-h_{1}) + h_{2}(k_{1}+1)r_{1} + 3kk_{1}r_{1} + 3(3k+1)r_{2} < -\frac{h_{2}(1-h_{1})}{4}.$$
(15)

(ii) Formula (5) implies $\sum_{n=1}^{N_0-1} \varphi_n(x_n^i) < h, i = 1, 2, 3$. We deduce that $|2x_n^i| < a_n$ for all $n < \mathbb{N}$, and i = 1, 2, 3. Let

$$\alpha' = \min_{n < N_0} \varphi_n^{-1} \left(\frac{h_2}{48N_0} \right).$$

Then $k' = \max_{n < N_0} \max_{\alpha' \le u \le a_n} \varphi_n(u) / \varphi_n(\frac{u}{2}) < \infty.$

So when $|2u_n| \in [\alpha', a_n], \varphi_n(2u_n) \leq k'\varphi_n(u_n)$; when $|2u_n| < \alpha', \varphi_n(2u_n) \leq \varphi_n(\alpha')$. Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N_0-1} f(n) < \sum_{n=1}^{N_0-1} \left[\varphi_n \left(\frac{u_n - v_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon)} \right) + \varphi_n \left(\frac{v_n - w_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon)} \right) + \varphi_n \left(\frac{u_n - w_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon)} \right) \right]$$

$$\leq 3 \sum_{n=1}^{N_0-1} \varphi_n(2u_n) \leq 3k \sum_{n=1}^{N_0-1} \varphi_n(u_n) + 3 \sum_{n=1}^{N_0-1} \varphi_n(\alpha')$$

and when $h_1 < \frac{1}{3k_1} \cdot \frac{h_2}{16} \cdot h_1 < \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N_0-1} f(n) < 3k'h_1 + 3N_0 \frac{h_2}{48N_0} \le \frac{h_2}{16} + \frac{h_2}{16} = \frac{h_2}{8} < \frac{h_2(1-h_1)}{4}.$$
 (16)

By (15) and (16), we get $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n) < 0$, i.e.

$$I_{\varphi}\left(\frac{x^{1}-x^{2}}{2(1-\varepsilon)}\right) + I_{\varphi}\left(\frac{x^{2}-x^{3}}{2(1-\varphi)}\right) + I_{\varphi}\left(\frac{x^{1}-x^{3}}{2(1-\varepsilon)}\right) - I_{\varphi}(x^{1}) - I_{\varphi}(x^{2}) - I_{\varphi}(x^{3}) < 0.$$

Since $I_{\varphi}(x^i) = 1, i = 1, 2, 3$, so $I_{\varphi}(\frac{x^1 - x^2}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}) < 1$, or $I_{\varphi}(\frac{x^2 - x^3}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}) < 1$, or $I_{\varphi}(\frac{x^1 - x^3}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}) < 1$, and this implies $||x^1 - x^2|| < 2(1 - \varepsilon)$ or $||x^2 - x^3|| < 2(1 - \varepsilon)$, or $||x^1 - x^3|| < 2(1 - \varepsilon)$. This contradicts the assumption in the theorem, so result (1b) is true.

Repeating the same argumentation, we may prove result (1b) in case of uw > 0and uv > 0.

(1c) Let $N_1 = 2N_0 + 1$, N_1 is the number of elements of X. Result (1b) implies that there are at least $2N_0 - 1$ elements in X such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N_0-1} \varphi_n(x_n) > h_1.$$
(17)

Let

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{h_1}{N_0 - 1}, \quad u_0 = \min_{n < N_0} \frac{1}{4} \varphi_n^{-1} \Big(\frac{\alpha_1}{4(N_0 - 1)} \Big).$$

The fact that a continuous function is uniformly continuous in a closed interval implies that there is $\delta'_n > 0$ such that

$$\varphi\left(\frac{u}{1-\delta}\right) \le \varphi_n(u) + \frac{\alpha_1}{4(N_0-1)}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, N_0 - 1$$
 (18)

for all $\delta < \delta'_n$ and $u \in [u_0, a_n]$.

Let $\delta' = \min_{n < N_0} \delta'_n$. Take $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0/4$ and $0 < \varepsilon < \delta'$. Among the elements satisfying (17), there are three ones x^1, x^2, x^3 and $n_0 < N_0$ such that

$$\varphi_{n_0}(x_{n_0}^i) > \frac{h_1}{N_0 - 1}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3$$

this is because $2N_0 - 1$ elements satisfy (17) in the former $N_0 - 1$ components, then there are three elements satisfying the above formula in the same component.

Since there are at least two elements having same sign among $x_{n_0}^1, x_{n_0}^2, x_{n_0}^3$ and without loss of generality we have

$$x_{n_0}^1 x_{n_0}^2 \ge 0$$
 and $|x_{n_0}^1| \ge |x_{n_0}^2|$

By analogy of the former proof we get

$$\sum_{n=N_0}^{\infty} \varphi_n \left(\frac{x_n^1 - x_n^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)} \right) < \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=N_0}^{\infty} \varphi_n(x_n^1) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=N_0}^{\infty} \varphi_n(x_n^2) + \frac{\alpha_1}{4}.$$
 (19)

Divide the positive integers of $n < N_0 (n \neq n_0)$ into three sets:

$$\begin{split} I_5 &= \left\{ n: \max(|x_n^1|, |x_n^2|) \geq 2u_0 \quad \text{and} \quad x_n^1 x_n^2 < 0 \right\} \\ I_6 &= \left\{ n: \max(x_n^1|, |x_n^2|) \geq 2u_0 \quad \text{and} \quad x_n^1 x_n^2 \geq 0 \right\} \\ I_7 &= \left\{ n: \max(|x_n^1|, |x_n^2|) < 2u_0 \right\}. \end{split}$$

When $n \in I_5, |\frac{x_n^1 - x_n^2}{2}| \ge \frac{1}{2} \max(|x_n^1|, |x_n^2|) \ge u_0$, we get by $\varepsilon \le \delta_n$ and (18)

$$\varphi_n\left(\frac{x_n^1 - x_n^2}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\right) \le \varphi_n\left(\frac{x_n^1 - x_n^2}{2}\right) + \frac{\alpha_1}{4(N_0 - 1)} \le \frac{1}{2}\varphi_n(x_n^1) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_n(x_n^2) + \frac{\alpha_1}{4(N_0 - 1)}.$$
(20)

When $n \in I_6$,

$$\varphi_n\left(\frac{x_n^1 - x_n^2}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\right) \le \max\left\{\left(\frac{x_n^1}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\right), \varphi_n\left(\frac{x_n^2}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\right)\right\}$$
$$\le \frac{1}{2}\varphi_n(x_n^1) + \frac{1}{2}\varphi_n(x_n^2) + \frac{\alpha_1}{4(N_0 - 1)}.$$
(21)

When $n \in I_7$,

$$\varphi_n\left(\frac{x_n^1 - x_n^2}{2(1-\varepsilon)}\right) \le \varphi_n\left(\frac{4u_0}{2(1-\varepsilon)}\right) \le \varphi_n(4u_0) \le \frac{\alpha_1}{4(N_0-1)} \tag{22}$$

since

$$\varphi_{n_0}\left(\frac{x_n^1 - x_n^2}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\right) < \varphi_{n_0}\left(\frac{x_{n_0}^1}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\right) \le \varphi_{n_0}\left(\frac{x_{n_0}^1}{2}\right) + \frac{\alpha_1}{4(N_0 - 1)}
\le \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{n_0}(x_{n_0}^1) + \frac{\alpha_1}{4(N_0 - 1)}$$
(23)

notice $\varphi_{n_0}(x_{n_0}^2) > \frac{h_1}{N_0 - 1} = \alpha_1$, by (19) and (23)

$$\begin{split} I_{\varphi}\Big(\frac{x_{n}^{1}-x_{n}^{2}}{2(1-\varepsilon)}\Big) &= \varphi_{n_{0}}\Big(\frac{x_{n_{0}}^{1}-x_{n_{0}}^{2}}{2(1-\varepsilon)}\Big) + \sum_{\substack{n=1\\n\neq n_{0}}}^{N_{0}-1}\varphi_{n}\Big(\frac{x_{n}^{1}-x_{n}^{2}}{2(1-\varepsilon)}\Big) \\ &+ \sum_{n=N_{0}}^{\infty}\varphi_{n}\Big(\frac{x_{n}^{1}-x_{n}^{2}}{2(1-\varepsilon)}\Big) \\ &< \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{n_{0}}(x_{n_{0}}^{1}) + \frac{\alpha_{1}}{4(N_{0}-1)} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{n$$

so $||x^1 - x^2|| < 2(1 - \varepsilon)$, and we get a contradiction again.

Steps (1b) and (1c) complete the proof of theorem.

Step 2. We discuss the general case without the restriction of step 1. For any $\varepsilon \leq 1/4$, let $A = \inf_{n} \varphi_n((1-\varepsilon)a_n)$. By the proof of Lemma 1 (i) we get A > 0. Let $N_2 = [1/A]$, i.e. N_2 be the integer part of 1/A. If l_{φ} is reflexive but not *P*-convex, then for any $\varepsilon': 0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon/4$, there is a set *X* consisted of any finite elements in $S(I_{\varphi})$ such that

$$\|x^i - x^j\| \ge 2(1 - \varepsilon'), \quad i \ne j.$$

Let the number of X be $(2N_0 + 1)2^{(N_2+1)N_2/2}$ where N_0 is the positive integer satisfying (4).

Take any element x^0 in X. The definition of A implies that x^0 has at most N_2 numbers of components, such that $|x_n^0| \ge (1 - \varepsilon)a_n$; hence

$$I_{\varphi}(x^{0}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{n}(x_{n}^{0}) \ge (N_{2}+1)A > \frac{1}{A} \cdot A = 1,$$

this leads to contradiction. Without loss of generality we have $|x_n^0| \ge (1-\varepsilon)a_n$ for $n \le N_2$. For any $x \in X$, we define a map: $x \to (r_1^x, r_2^x, \ldots, r_{N_2}^x)$, i.e. for $n = 1, 2, \ldots, N_2$

$$r_n^x = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{when } x_n^0 x_n < 0 \text{ and } |x_n| \ge (1-\varepsilon)a_n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

This makes us classify the elements of X into 2^{N_1} categories, we say that the category mapping the vector $(0, 0, \ldots, 0)$ is 0-category.

First we assume: apart from 0-category, the number of elements in other category is less than $(2N_0 + 1)2^{(N+1+1)N_1/2}/2^{N_2} = (2N_0 + 1)2^{N_2(N_2-1)/2}$. Take another element from 0-category and let it be x^0 , then classify X again by the former program.

After we classify each time, if the number of the elements in category, except 0-category, is less than $(2N_0 + 1)2^{N_1(N_1-1)/2}$, when we classify $(2N_0 + 1)$ -times we get a set X_0 having $(2N_0 + 1)$ elements such that

$$x_n^i x_n^j > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad |x_n^i| \ge (1 - \varepsilon)a_n \quad \text{and} \quad |x_n^j| \ge (1 - \varepsilon)a_n$$
 (24)

for any $x^i, x^j \in X_0 (i \neq j)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$\left|\frac{x_n^1 - x_n^2}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\right| < \left|\frac{a_n + (1 - \varepsilon)a_n}{2(1 - \varepsilon/4)}\right| = \frac{2 - \varepsilon}{2 - \varepsilon/2}a_n < a_n,$$

i.e. $|x_n^i| < (1 - \varepsilon')a_n$ for all $n \le N_2$, and this is the case of section 1. But in section 1, we proved that there is no set X having $(2N_0 + 1)$ elements such that

$$\|x^i - x^j\| \ge 2(1 - \varepsilon), \quad i \ne j, \ x^i, x^j \in X,$$

so we deduce that apart from 0-category there is a category X_1 such that the number of elements in X is $(2N_0 + 1)2^{N_1(N_2-1)/2}$ and the element x of x_1 satisfies $r_{n_1}^x = 1$ for some $n_1 \leq N_2$.

Apart from n_1 -th component, any $x = (x_n)$ in X_1 has at most $(N_2 - 1)$ numbers of components such that $|x_n| \ge (1 - \varepsilon)a_n$. Let $|x_n| \ge (1 - \varepsilon)a_n$ for $n = N_2 + 1, N_2 + 2, \ldots, 2N_2 - 1$.

For any $x \in X_1$, define a map: $x \to (r_1^x, r_2^x, \dots, r_{N_1-1}^x)$, i.e. for $n = N_2 + 1, N_2 + 2, \dots, 2N_2 - 1$

$$r_n^x = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{when } x_n^0 x_n < 0 \text{ and } |x_n| \ge (1-\varepsilon)a_n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

then we may classify X_1 into 2^{N_2-1} categories.

If the number of elements in category except 0-category is less than $(2N_0 + 1)2^{(N_1-1)(N_2-2)/2}$, we take one element from those mapping 0-category and let it be x^0 , and then classify X_1 by the former program. When we classify $(2N_0 + 1)$ times, the number of elements in the category except 0-category is less than $(2N_0 + 1)2^{(N_2-1)(N_2-2)/2}$, then we get a set having $(2N_0+1)$ elements such that (24), which leads a contradiction again.

We assume there a category X_2 having $(2N_0+1)2^{(N_1-1)(N_2-2)/2}$ elements except 0-category. Repeating the same discussion, when we classify N_2 -times we get a category X_{N_2} having $(2N_0+1)$ elements such that

$$x_n^i x_n^j > 0$$
 and $|x_n^i| \ge (1-\varepsilon)a_n, |x_n^j| \ge (1-\varepsilon)a_n$

for any $x^i, x^j \in X_{N_2}, i \neq j.n = n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{N_2}$. Then for any $x \in X_{N_2}$

$$I = I_{\varphi}(x) = \sum_{j \le N_2} \varphi_{n_j}(x_{n_j}) + \sum_{n \ne n_j} \varphi_n(x_n)$$

$$\geq \sum_{j \le N_0} \varphi_{n_j} \left((1 - \varepsilon) a_{n_j} \right) + \sum_{n \ne n_j} \varphi_n(x_n) \ge N_2 A + \sum_{n \ne n_j} \varphi_n(x_n)$$

i.e.

$$\sum_{n \neq n_j} \varphi_n(x_n) \le 1 - N_1 A = \frac{A}{A} - \left[\frac{I}{A}\right] A < A = \inf_n \varphi_n\left((1 - \varepsilon)a_n\right)$$

so $|x_n| < (1-\varepsilon)a_n$ with $n \neq n_j$, but when $n = n_j x_n^i x_n^j > 0 (i \neq j)$. This shows that (24) is true for any $x \in X_{N_2}$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which leads to a contradiction again.

Section 1 and section 2 complete the proof of theorem. \Box

Now we give an example of a Musielak-Orlicz sequence space which is *P*-convex but not $P(3, \varepsilon)$ -convex.

Let a Young function $\varphi = (\varphi_n)$ and $\varphi^* = (\varphi_n^*)$ satisfy the δ_2 -condition, and such that there are two positive integers n_1 and $n_2 (n_1 < n_2)$

$$\varphi_{n_1}(a_{n_1}) + \varphi_{n_2}(a_{n_2}) \le 1$$
 and $\varphi_{n_1}(a_{n_1}) > 0, \ \varphi_{n_2}(a_{n_2}) > 0.$

By Theorem we know that the I_{φ} generated by φ is *P*-convex but not $P(3, \varepsilon)$ -convex. Let

$$x_1 = (0, \dots, 0, a_{n_1}, 0, \dots, 0, a_{n_2}, 0, \dots)$$

$$x_2 = (0, \dots, 0, a_{n_1}, 0, \dots, 0, -a_{n_2}, 0, \dots)$$

$$x_3 = (0, \dots, 0, -a_{n_1}, 0, \dots, 0, a_{n_1}, 0, \dots)$$

Then $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in S(I_{\varphi})$. But for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\begin{split} I_{\varphi}\Big(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\Big) &= \varphi_{n_2}\Big(\frac{2a_{n_2}}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\Big) > 1\\ I_{\varphi}\Big(\frac{x_1 - x_i}{2(l - \varepsilon)}\Big) &= \varphi_{n_1}\Big(\frac{2a_{n_1}}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\Big) > 1\\ I_{\varphi}\Big(\frac{x_2 i x_j}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\Big) &= \varphi_{n_1}\Big(\frac{2a_{n_1}}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\Big) + \varphi_{n_2}\Big(\frac{2a_{n_1}}{2(1 - \varepsilon)}\Big) > 1 \end{split}$$

so $||x_1 - x_2|| \ge 2(1 - \varepsilon), ||x_2 - x_3|| \ge 2(1 - \varepsilon), ||x_1 - x_4|| \ge 2(1 - \varepsilon)$, hence l_{φ} is not $P(3, \varepsilon)$ -convex.

References

- 1. C.A. Kottman, Packing and reflexivity in Banach spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **150** (1970), 565–576.
- K.P.R. Sastry and S,V. R. Naidu, Convexity conditions in normed linear spaces, J. Reine Ange Math. 297 (1978), 35–53.
- 3. D. Amir and C. Franchetti, The radius ratio and convexity properties in normed linear spaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **282** (1984), 275–291.
- 4. Ye Yining, He Miaohong and R. Pluciennik, *P*-convexity and reflexivity of Orlicz spaces, *Comm. Math. XXX* 1 (1991), 203–216.
- 5. H. Hudzik and A. Kaminska, On uniformly convexifiable and *B*-convex Musielak-Orlicz spaces, *Comment. Math. (Prace Mat.)* **24** (1985), 59–75.