Collectanea Mathematica (electronic version): http://www.imub.ub.es/collect

*Collect. Math.* **44** (1993), 211–215 (c) 1994 Universitat de Barcelona

# Boundary spaces for inclusion map between rearrangement invariant spaces

S. YA. NOVIKOV

Samara State University, 443023 Samara 23, Russia

## Abstract

Let E([0,1];m) be a rearrangement invariant space (RIS) on [0,1] with Lebesgue measure m. That is, E is a Banach lattice and if  $m(t:|x(t)| > \tau) = m(t:|y(t)| > \tau) \forall \tau$ , then  $||x||_E = ||y||_E$ . For each of this kind of spaces we have inclusions  $C \subset L_{\infty} \subset E \subset L_1$  and canonical inclusion maps I(C, E) or  $I(E_1, E_2)$ . The aim of this paper is to represent a number of RIS, which are boundary for various properties of canonical inclusion maps. There are still some unsolved problem in this area.

## 1. Strict singularity

An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  between two Banach spaces (BS) X and Y is called strictly singular if there is no infinite dimensional subspace Z of X such that the restriction T|Z is an isomorphism. The set of this kind of operators will be denoted  $\sigma(X, Y)$ . It is an ideal in the Pietsch sense.

According to a well-known Grothendieck's theorem  $I(L_{\infty}, L_p) \in \sigma, 1 \leq p < \infty$ (see, for example, the text book of W. Rudin). A more general fact seems to be true:

# Theorem 1

Let E be a RIS and  $E \neq L_{\infty}$ . Then  $I(L_{\infty}, E)$  is strictly singular.

211

## NOVIKOV

Proof. The function  $\varphi_E(t) := \|\mathbf{1}_{[0,t]}\|_E$ , the so-called fundamental function of the space E is a quasi-concave function. We may define Lorentz space  $\Lambda(\varphi_E) := \{f: \int_0^1 f^* d\varphi_E < \infty\}$ , where  $f^*$  is the decreasing rearrangement of |f|, besides we have another inclusion:  $E \supset \Lambda(\varphi_E), E \neq L_\infty$ . It's known, that if  $E \neq L_\infty$ , then the function  $\varphi_E$  is continuous at zero and the space  $\Lambda(\varphi_E)$  is weakly sequential complete. From this we deduce that the *p*-convexification  $\Lambda_p(\varphi_E) := \{f: |f|^p \in \Lambda(\varphi_E)\}$  is reflexive for  $1 . So, we have: <math>E \supset \Lambda(\varphi_E) \supset \Lambda_p(\varphi_E) \supset L_\infty$ . As  $L_\infty$  has the Dunford-Pettis property (i.e.,  $\forall Y, \forall$  weakly compact  $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_\infty, Y), \forall$  convex weakly compact  $K \subset L_\infty, T(K)$  is compact in Y), we have that the unit ball  $B_H$  of each subspace  $H \subset E$ , such that  $H \subset L_\infty$ , is compact in E.  $\Box$ 

In spite of the fact that Theorem 1 solves the problem of strict singularity of the inclusion map  $I(L_{\infty}, E)$ , there are still left a lot of problems concerning inclusion maps between general RIS  $E_1 \subset E_2$ . For example, there is no full description of the set of such RIS E, for which  $I(E, L_1) \in \sigma$ . In this direction we know only a partial answer:

## Theorem 2

If RIS  $E \subset L_2$ , then  $I(E, L_1) \in \sigma$  iff  $E \not\supseteq G$ , where G is the closure of C[0, 1] in the Orlicz space  $L_N, N(u) = e^{u^2} - 1$ .

Proof. If  $E \supset G$ , then according to the classical result of Rodin-Semenov ([6], [2]), E contains an infinite dimensional subspace R closed in  $L_1$ .

Now suppose that  $I(E, L_1)$  is not strictly singular. It means that E contains an infinite dimensional subspace H, closed in  $L_1$ . This subspace is closed in  $L_2$ also (cf. condition). Let  $\{f_i\}$  be a sequence of elements of H, equivalent to the unit basis of  $l_2$  and  $||f_i||_{L_2} = 1, i = 1, 2, \ldots$  We can assume that  $f_i \to 0$  weakly in  $L_2$  and  $\lim \inf ||f_i||_{L_1} > 0$ ; this may be done by choosing subsequences. The last inequality ensures the existence of a function  $0 \le g \in L_1$  with m(supp g) > 0 such that  $f_i^2 \to g$  weakly in  $L_1$ . Now we will use the following theorem of V. Gaposhkin ([1], Th. 1.5.1):

If  $\{f_k\}$  is a sequence of functions such that:

1)  $||f_k||_{L_2} = 1 \ \forall k;$ 

2)  $f_k \to 0$  weakly in  $L_2$ ;

3)  $\exists g \in L_1^+, \|g\|_{L_1} = 1$  such that  $f_k \to g$  weakly in  $L_1$ ;

then it's possible to choose a subsequence  $\{f_{k_i}\}$  such that the next equality, like in central limit theorem, takes place

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} m\left\{t: \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_{k_i}(t) \ge s\right\} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^1 dt \int_{s/\sqrt{g(t)}}^\infty \exp\left(\frac{-u^2}{2}\right) du.$$

Using this fact it's not difficult to see that the function  $(\ln \frac{1}{t})^{\frac{1}{2}} \in E''$ , where E'' is the Köthe dual of E. The last condition is known to be equivalent to the inclusion  $E \supset G$ .  $\Box$ 

#### 2. Absolutely summing properties

DEFINITION. An operator T is called (q, p) – absolutely summing  $(T \in \Pi_{q,p}(X, Y))$ if  $\exists C > 0 : \forall \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \in X$ 

$$\left(\sum_{i} \|Tx_{i}\|^{q}\right)^{1/q} \leq C \sup\left\{\left(\sum_{i} |F(x_{i})|^{p}\right)^{1/p} : \|F\|_{X^{*}} \leq 1\right\}.$$

This definition makes sense only if 0 ; if <math>p > q then only 0 – operator is (q, p) – absolutely summing. For p = q we use the notations  $\Pi_p$  and "p – absolutely summing".

## Theorem 3

Let  $E_1 \subset E_2$  and  $p \ge 1$ . The inclusion map  $I(E_1, E_2) \in \prod_p$  iff  $E_1 = L_\infty, E_2 \supset L_p$ .

Proof. Sufficiency is obvious. Now assume that  $I(E_1, E_2)$  is p – absolutely summing. Then each weak convergent sequence in  $E_1$  is convergent in norm in  $E_2$ . Repeating the proof of Theorem 1 we deduce that  $E_1 = L_{\infty}$ . From classical factorization theorem of Pietsch we have:  $\exists$  probability measure  $\nu$  on [0, 1] such that

$$||f||_{E_2} \le \pi_p(I) \Big(\int |f(s)|^p d\nu(s)\Big)^{1/p}, \ f \in C[0,1].$$

Now let  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $f_t(s) := f(t + s)$ , addition by mod 1. We have:

$$||f_t||_{E_2}^p \le \pi_p(I) \Big( \int |f_t(s)|^p d\nu(s) \Big), \ t \in [0,1].$$

Integrating this inequality by Lebesgue measure, we have

$$||f||_{E_2} \le \pi_p(I) ||f||_{L_p}, \ f \in C[0,1].$$

In order to give the analogous fact for (q, p) – absolutely summing operators, we again return to Lorentz spaces  $L_{q,1} := \Lambda(\varphi_q)$ , where  $\varphi_q(t) = t^{\frac{1}{q}}$ . Another description of its norm is as following:  $||f|| = \int_0^\infty (m(|f| > t))^{\frac{1}{q}} dt$ .

#### NOVIKOV

## Theorem 4

Let  $1 \leq p < q < \infty$ . The following assertions are equivalent: 1)  $I(C[0,1]; E[0,1]) \in \prod_{q,p};$ 2)  $\exists K > 0: \varphi_E(t) \leq Kt^{\frac{1}{q}}, \ 0 \leq t \leq 1;$ 3)  $E \supset L_{q,1}.$ 

*Proof.* This theorem may be easily deduced from the recent factorization theorem of G. Pisier [5], but we prefer the direct way from the rather old paper of I. Novikov [3].

 $1) \Rightarrow 2$ ). Let  $I(C, E) \in \Pi_{q,p}$ . Then, as is known from the results of B. Maurey  $I \in \Pi_{q,1}$ , that is

$$\exists K > 0 : \forall \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in C[0, 1], \left(\sum \|x_i\|^q\right)^{1/q} \le K \left\|\sum |x_i|\right\|$$

This inequality may be continued on  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \subset L_{\infty}$ . If we set  $x_i = \mathbf{1}_{\left[\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{i}{n}\right]}$ , then  $n\varphi^q(\frac{1}{n}) \leq K$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ ; that is equivalent to 2).

(7)) is well-known ([7]).

3) $\Rightarrow$ 1). Simple calculations (cf. [8] for q = 2) show that  $I(C, L_{q,1})$  is (q, 1) – absolutely summing.  $\Box$ 

There are some open problems in this area. As far as I know, there is not a single result concerning the (q, p) – absolutely summing property of inclusion map  $I(E_1, E_2)$  for another RIS besides  $L_p$ -spaces.

# 3. Another ideal properties

DEFINITION. An operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  is of gaussian cotype q if for some C > 0and all sequences  $(x_i)$  of X, we have  $(\sum ||Tx_i||^q)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq CE ||g_ix_i||$ , where  $(g_i)$  denotes a sequence of independent normalized N(0, 1) –gaussian random variables. The set of all operators of such kind forms an ideal and will be denoted by  $\mathcal{C}_q^{(g)}$ . Not long ago M. Talagrand (preprint) and S. Montgomery-Smith (dissertation) found boundary spaces for the gaussian cotype 2 – property of inclusion map. Their result is the following

#### Theorem 5

I(C, E) is of gaussian cotype 2 iff  $E \supset L_{\Phi,2}$ , where  $\Phi(t) = t^2 \log t$ . The space  $L_{\Phi,2}$  is defined by the following norm:

$$||f|| = \left(\int \theta \left(m(|f| \ge t)\right) dt^2\right)^{1/2}, \text{ where } \theta(t) = t \ln \frac{2}{t}.$$

214

It's not difficult to show that  $L_{\Phi,2} \supseteq L_{2,1}$  and so  $I(C; L_{2,1}) \in \Pi_{2,1} \setminus C_2^{(g)}$ , i.e. we have a nice counterexample to the conjecture  $C_2^{(g)} = \Pi_{2,1}$ . Thus, the space  $L_{2,1}$ is still a rich source of counterexamples. Another example of this statement is the following. Let  $E \subseteq L_2$ . The following conjecture was made by M. Braverman, N. Carothers and others. If  $(f_1) \subset E$  and  $(f_i)$  are independent, identically distributed random variables such that  $Ef_i = 0$ , then  $[\text{span } (f_i)]_E$  is isomorphic to  $l_2$ . But this conjecture is not true. As shown in [4] the following equality is valid:  $A(L_{2,1}) := \{(a_i) \in R^\infty : \sum a_i f_i \text{ converges for each sequence of i.i.d. } \{f_i\} : \int f_i =$  $0, f_1 \in L_{2,1}\} = l_{2,1}$ . If the conjecture were true, we would have to have that

 $A(L_{2,1}) = l_2$ . The Theorems 1–5 give the basis for the following

CONJECTURE. For each ideal  $\mathcal{U}$  of operators there exists a boundary RIS  $E_{\mathcal{U}}$  such that  $I(C, E) \in \mathcal{U}$  iff  $E \supset E_{\mathcal{U}}$ , where the inclusion in the right hand may be strict or unstrict in dependence of the ideal  $\mathcal{U}$ . As far as I know there is no answer to the question about the boundary space for the ideal of Rademacher cotype q – property.

#### References

- V.F. Gaposhkin, Lacunary series and independent functions, *Uspechy mat. nauk.* 21, no 6 (1966), 3–83 (Russian).
- J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces II*, Function Spaces, Ergebnisse der Math., v. 97, Springer Verlag 1979.
- I. Ya. Novikov, (p,q) concavity of canonical inclusion map, Scientific Student Conference, Novosibirsk, 18 (1980), 36–42.
- 4. S. Ya. Novikov, The classes of coefficients for convergent random series in  $L_{p,q}$ -spaces, *Theory* of operators in function spaces (red. S. Gindikin), Saratov Univ. (1989), 180–192. English translation: *Transl. Amer. Math. Soc.* **153** (1992).
- 5. G. Pisier, Factorization of operators through  $L_{p,\infty}$  or  $L_{p,1}$ , Math. Ann. 276 (1986), 105–136.
- 6. V.A. Rodin and E.M. Semenov, Rademacher series in symmetric spaces, *Anal. Math.* 1, no 3 (1975), 207–222.
- 7. E.M. Stein, G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1971.
- 8. M. Talagrand, The canonical injection from C[0,1] into  $L_{2,1}$  is not of cotype 2, *Contemp. Math.: Banach Space Theory*, **85** (1989), 513–521.