# Collectanea Mathematica (electronic version): http://www.collectanea.ub.edu

*Collect. Math.* **60**, 3 (2009), 249–259 © 2009 Universitat de Barcelona

# Dimension free estimates for the bilinear Riesz transform

O.  $BLASCO^*$ 

Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universitad de Valencia 46100 Burjassot-Valencia, (SPAIN) E-mail: oscar.blasco@uv.es

T.A. GILLESPIE

School of Mathematics and Maxwell Institute for Mathematical Sciences University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh EH9 3JZ, (SCOTLAND) E-mail: t.a.gillespie@ed.ac.uk

Received August 7, 2008. Revised November 6, 2008

#### Abstract

It is shown that for  $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ ,  $1/p_3 = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2, p_3 \ge 1$  there exists  $C_1$  (independent of n) such that

$$||R_k(f,g)||_{L^{p_3}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C_1 ||f||_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} ||g||_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

where

$$R_k(f,g)(x) = b_n \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{|y| > \varepsilon} f(x-y)g(x+y)\frac{y_k}{|y|^{n+1}}dy,$$

and  $b_n$  is chosen so that  $R_k$  has norm 1 as a bilinear map from  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . In the case  $p_3 > 1$  it is even shown that

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} |R_k(f,g)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^{p_3}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C_2 \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

for some constant  $C_2$  independent of the dimension.

<sup>\*</sup> Partially supported by the Spanish grant Proyecto MTM 2005-08350-C03-03. *Keywords:* Bilinear Riesz transform, independence of the dimension. *MSC2000:* 42B20.

#### BLASCO AND GILLESPIE

### 1. Introduction

It is well known that the method of transference is a useful procedure for obtaining norm estimates independent of the dimension for classical operators acting on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n, dx)$  (see for instance [1, 13, 14]) and even in the weighted situation (see for instance [7, 8, 9]). The aim of this note is to combine the techniques and methods at our disposal from the linear case (see [1, 6, 7, 18, 13]) and the "bilinear transference" method, introduced in [4] (and extended in [2, 3]), to show the boundedness of certain bilinear multipliers defined in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the norm independent of the dimension n.

One particular case of interest in this note is the bilinear version of the classical *Riesz transforms* on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , defined for  $1 \leq k \leq n$  by

$$(R_k f)(x) = c_n \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\varepsilon < |y| < 1/\varepsilon} f(x-y) \frac{y_k}{|y|^{n+1}} dy, \quad k = 1, 2, ..., n$$
(1)

where  $c_n = \Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})\pi^{-(n+1)/2}$ , or equivalently, and more useful, by

$$(R_k f)(\xi) = \frac{-i\xi_k}{(\sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j^2)^{1/2}} \hat{f}(\xi), \quad k = 1, 2, ..., n$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where  $\hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-2\pi i \langle x, \xi \rangle} dx$ . These operators are known to satisfy, for 1 , the estimate

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} |R_k(f)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$
(3)

with a constant C independent of n.

The Riesz transforms are the basic examples of Calderón-Zygmund operators with kernels which are odd and homogeneous of degree 0.

Throughout the paper  $K(x) = \frac{\Omega(x)}{|x|^n}$ , where  $\Omega$  is an odd function, homogeneous of degree 0 and integrable over  $\Sigma_{n-1}$ , i.e.  $\Omega(-x) = -\Omega(x)$  and  $\Omega(\lambda x) = \Omega(x)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\lambda > 0$ , with  $\Omega(u) \in L^1(\Sigma_{n-1})$ . We define

$$T_{\Omega}(f) = c_n(\Omega) \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\varepsilon < |y| < 1/\varepsilon} f(x-y) \frac{\Omega(y)}{|y|^n} dy$$

where  $c_n(\Omega)$  is chosen such that  $||T_{\Omega}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)\to L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$ , i.e.

$$c_n(\Omega)^{-1} = \|\hat{K}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

We use the notations  $v_n = \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+1)}$  for the volume of the unit ball and write  $d\sigma$  the normalized area measure of the sphere  $\Sigma_{n-1}$ . We shall see from our considerations that actually the following result holds true: The condition

$$nv_n c_n(\Omega) \|\Omega\|_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \le C \tag{4}$$

implies

$$||T_{\Omega}(f)||_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq C||f||_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}$$

for all 1 with a constant C independent of n.

### **Proposition 1.1**

Let  $a \neq 0$  and  $\Omega_a(x) = \langle a, \frac{x}{|x|} \rangle$ . Then

$$c_n(\Omega_a) = |a|^{-1} \pi^{-(n+1)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) = \frac{c_n}{|a|}.$$

*Proof.* It is elementary to show that if  $\Omega$  is odd then

$$\hat{K}(\xi) = \frac{i\pi n v_n}{2} \int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \Omega(u) sign\langle u, \xi \rangle d\sigma(u).$$

Hence  $|\hat{K}(\xi)| \leq \frac{\pi n v_n}{2} \|\Omega\|_{L^1(\Sigma_{n-1})}$ . In particular for  $\Omega = \Omega_a$  one gets  $\hat{K}(a) = \frac{i\pi n v_n}{2} \int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} |\Omega_a(u)| d\sigma(u)$ . Hence

$$\pi n v_n c_n(\Omega_a) \|\Omega_a\|_{L^1(\Sigma_{n-1})} = 2.$$

$$\tag{5}$$

On the one hand, using polar coordinates, one has

$$\int_{|x|\leq 1} |\langle a, x \rangle| dx = \frac{n}{n+1} v_n \|\Omega_a\|_{L^1(\Sigma_{n-1})},$$

and, on the other hand, using Fubini's theorem, one also has

$$\int_{|x| \le 1} |\langle a, x \rangle| dx = |a| \int_{|x| \le 1} |x_1| dx = |a| \frac{2v_{n-1}}{n+1}$$

Hence  $nv_n \|\Omega_a\|_{L^1(\Sigma_{n-1})} = 2|a|v_{n-1}$  which gives

$$c_n(\Omega_a) = \frac{1}{|a|\pi v_{n-1}} = |a|^{-1} \pi^{-(n+1)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right).$$

In the last decade the *bilinear Hilbert transform*, given by

$$H(f,g)(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|y| > \varepsilon} \frac{f(x-y)g(x+y)}{y} dy$$

for f, g belonging to the Schwarzt class  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , was shown by M. Lacey and C. Thiele to be bounded from  $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \times L^2(\mathbb{R})$  into  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  solving an old question by A. Calderón. In their fundamental work they discover that the parameter  $p_3$  in the range space could go even below 1.

### **Theorem 1.2** (see [11, 12])

Let  $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ ,  $1/p_3 = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$  and  $2/3 < p_3 < \infty$ . Then there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$\|H(f,g)\|_{L^{p_3}(\mathbb{R})} \le C \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R})} \|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R})}.$$
(6)

Actually, the bisublinear maximal Hilbert transform, defined by

$$H^*(f,g)(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \Big| \int_{|y| > \varepsilon} \frac{f(x-y)g(x+y)}{y} dy \Big|$$

for f, g belonging to the Schwarzt class  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ , was also shown to be bounded from  $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \times L^2(\mathbb{R})$  into  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  by M. Lacey (see [10]), i.e. there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$\|H^*(f,g)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \le C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$
(7)

In a similar way we shall define the bilinear version of the operator  $T_{\Omega}$  and shall try to get its boundedness from  $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  into  $L^{p_3}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  under the same conditions on  $p_i$ . To analyze the independence of the dimension for the norm of the corresponding bilinear operator one needs to select the right normalization constant  $b_n(\Omega)$ . Let us introduce the natural choice in the following definition.

DEFINITION 1.3 Given  $\Omega$  as above we define

$$B_{\Omega}(f,g)(x) = b_n(\Omega) \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\varepsilon < |y| < 1/\varepsilon} f(x-y)g(x+y)\frac{\Omega(y)}{|y|^n} dy,$$

where  $b_n(\Omega)$  is chosen in such a way that

$$||B_{\Omega}||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1.$$

Let us also mention the formulation in terms of Fourier transforms which is left to the reader.

Remark 1.1 Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then

$$B_{\Omega}(f,g)(x) = b_n(\Omega) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\eta) \hat{K}(\xi - \eta) e^{2\pi i \langle (\xi + \eta), x \rangle} d\xi d\eta.$$
(8)

**Proposition 1.4** 

Let 
$$a \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$$
 and  $\Omega_a(x) = \frac{\langle a, x \rangle}{|x|}$ . Then, for  $e_1 = (1, 0, ..., 0)$ ,  
 $b_n(\Omega_a) = |a|^{-1} b_n(\Omega_{e_1}).$ 

Proof. Let A be an orthogonal transformation of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such as  $Ae_1 = \frac{a}{|a|}$  and write  $f_A(x) = f(Ax)$ . Then, for  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{B}_{\Omega_a}(f,g)(Ax) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\varepsilon < |y| < 1/\varepsilon} f(Ax-y)g(Ax+y)\frac{\langle a, y \rangle}{|y|^{n+1}} dy \\ &= |a| \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{\varepsilon < |u| < 1/\varepsilon} f_A(x-u)g_A(x+u)\frac{u_1}{|u|^{n+1}} du \\ &= |a| \tilde{B}_{\Omega_{e_1}}(f_A, g_A)(x). \end{split}$$

This allows to conclude the result.

DEFINITION 1.5 For  $a = e_k$ ,  $\Omega(x) = \frac{x_k}{|x|}$ , k = 1, 2, ..., n, the bilinear Riesz transform is given by

$$(R_k(f,g))(x) = b_n \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \int_{|y| > \varepsilon} f(x-y)g(x+y)\frac{y_k}{|y|^{n+1}}dy$$
(9)

$$= -i\frac{b_n}{c_n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) \hat{g}(\eta) \frac{\xi_k - \eta_k}{|\xi - \eta|} e^{2\pi i \langle (\xi + \eta), x \rangle} d\xi d\eta, \tag{10}$$

where

$$b_n^{-1} = \|\ddot{B}_{\Omega_{e_1}}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \to L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

Hence

$$B_{\Omega_a} = |a|^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k R_k, \ a \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

Our aim is to show that the transforms  $R_k$  (and more generally  $B_{\Omega}$  for certain  $\Omega$ ) define bounded bilinear maps from  $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  into  $L^{p_3}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $\frac{1}{p_3} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2}$  for  $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$  and certain values of  $p_3$  with norm independent of the dimension. As in the linear case we shall make use of the method of rotations and some transference results.

Let us mention the transference results we shall need later on. Let G be a l.c.a group with Haar measure m, let  $R : G \to \mathcal{L}(L^p(\mu), L^p(\mu))$  be a representation of G into the space of bounded linear operators on  $L^p(\mu)$  for some measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ , i.e.  $t \to R_t$  verifies  $R_t R_s = R_{t+s}$  for  $t, s \in G$ ,  $\lim_{t\to 0} R_t f = f$  for  $f \in L^p(\mu)$ and  $\sup_{t\in G} ||R_t|| < \infty$ . For a given  $K \in L^1(G)$  with compact support we denote

$$C_K(\phi,\psi)(s) = \int_G \phi(s-t)\psi(s+t)K(t)dm(t)$$

(defined for nice functions  $\phi, \psi$  defined on G). We consider the transferred operator by the formula

$$T_K(f,g)(w) = \int_G R_{-t}f(w)R_tg(w)K(t)dm(t)$$

where f and g are functions defined on  $\Omega$ .

#### **Theorem 1.6** (see [4])

Let  $1 \leq p_1, p_2 < \infty$  and  $1/p_3 = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$  and let R be a representation of  $\mathbb{R}$  on acting  $L^{p_i}(\mu)$  for i = 1, 2. Assume that there exists a map  $S : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{L}(L^{p_3}(\mu), L^{p_3}(\mu))$ given by  $t \to S_t$  such that  $S_t$  are invertible with  $\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} ||S_t|| = 1$  and

$$S_s((R_{-t}f)(R_tg)) = (R_{s-t}f)(R_{s+t}g)$$

for  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f \in L^{p_1}(\mu)$  and  $g \in L^{p_2}(\mu)$ .

If  $K \in L^1(G)$  has compact support and the bilinear operator  $C_K$  is bounded from  $L^{p_1}(G) \times L^{p_2}(G)$  into  $L^{p_3}(G)$  with "norm"  $N_{p_1,p_2}(C_K)$  then  $T_K$  is also bounded from  $L^{p_1}(\mu) \times L^{p_2}(\mu)$  to  $L^{p_3}(\mu)$  and with norm bounded by  $CN_{p_1,p_2}(C_K)$ .

Here is its maximal counterpart.

### **Theorem 1.7** (see [2, 3])

Let us assume the hypotheses in Theorem 1.6 and that  $S_u^{-1}$  are positive operators. Let  $\{K_j\}_j$  be a family of kernels in  $L^1(G)$  with compact supports  $\{C_j\}_j$ , such that the corresponding bisublinear maximal operator

$$C_K^*(\phi,\psi)(s) = \sup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \Big| \int_G \phi(s-t)\psi(s+t)K_j(t)dm(t) \Big|,\tag{11}$$

is bounded from  $L^{p_1}(G) \times L^{p_2}(G)$  to  $L^{p_3}(G)$  with norm less than or equal to  $N(\{K_j\}_j)$ . Then we have that the maximal operator

$$T_{K}^{*}(f,g) = \sup_{j} \left| T_{K_{j}}(f,g)(w) \right| = \sup_{j} \left| \int_{G} R_{-t}f(w)R_{t}g(w)K_{j}(t)dm(t) \right|$$

is bounded from  $L^{p_1}(\mu) \times L^{p_2}(\mu)$  to  $L^{p_3}(\mu)$  and it has norm bounded by  $CN(\{K_j\}_j)$ .

We now define the directional bilinear Hilbert transform  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and bisublinear maximal Hilbert transform as follows: Given  $u \in \Sigma_{n-1}$  we denote

$$H^{u}(f,g)(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\varepsilon < |t| < 1/\varepsilon} f(x-tu)g(x+tu)\frac{dt}{t}$$

and

$$H^{*u}(f,g)(x) = \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \Big| \int_{\varepsilon < |t| < 1/\varepsilon} f(x-tu)g(x+tu) \frac{dt}{t} \Big|.$$

We also use the notation

$$H(f,g)(x,y) = H^{y/|y|}(f,g)(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \neq 0.$$

For each  $u \in \Sigma_{n-1}$  denote  $R^u : \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{L}(L^p(\mathbb{R}^n), L^p(\mathbb{R}^n))$  the representation given by  $R_t^u f(x) = f(x - tu)$ . Hence Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.7 can be applied, using  $S_t = R_t^u$  together with the estimates (6) and (7) to obtain the following result.

### Corollary 1.8

Let  $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty, p_3 > 2/3$  and  $1/p_3 = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$ . Then  $H^u$  and  $H^{*u}$  are bounded from  $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  into  $L^{p_3}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with norm independent of u if |u| = 1. In particular  $H^{*u}(f,g) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for each  $f,g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and

$$\sup_{u|=1} \|H^{*u}(f,g)\|_{L^1} \le C \|f\|_{L^2} \|g\|_{L^2}.$$

Here is now our version of the method of rotations in the bilinear case.

### Theorem 1.9

Let  $\Omega \in L^1(\Sigma_{n-1})$  be odd and homogeneous of degree 0 and let  $\psi_n \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+, \frac{dr}{r})$ . Define  $d\mu_n(x) = \psi_n(|x|)dx$  and  $\langle f, g \rangle_{\mu_n} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)g(x)\psi_n(|x|)dx$ . Then

$$B_{\Omega}(f,g)(x) = \frac{\pi}{2} n v_n b_n(\Omega) \int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} H(f,g)(x,u) \Omega(u) d\sigma(u), x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
(12)

$$B_{\Omega}(f,g)(x) = \frac{\pi b_n(\Omega)}{2\|\psi_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+,\frac{dr}{r})}} \langle H(f,g)(x,.),K\rangle_{\mu_n}, x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
(13)

for  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Proof. Note that if f and g belong to  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  the function

$$\phi_x(y) = (f(x-y)g(x+y) - f(x)g(x))\frac{\Omega(y)}{|y|^n}\chi_{\{|y| \le 1\}}$$
$$+ f(x-y)g(x+y)\frac{\Omega(y)}{|y|^n}\chi_{\{|y| > 1\}}$$

belongs to  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and one actually has

$$B_{\Omega}(f,g)(x) = b_n(\Omega) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_x(y) dy.$$

Similarly for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $u \in \Sigma_{n-1}$ 

$$\psi_{x,u}(t) = (f(x-tu)g(x+tu) - f(x)g(x))\frac{\chi_{\{0<|t|\le 1\}}}{t} + f(x-tu)g(x+tu)\frac{\chi_{\{|t|>1\}}}{t}$$

belongs to  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$  (in fact, since f and g belong to  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $|\psi_{x,u}|$  is majorized by an integrable function for all |u| = 1, one has that  $\sup_{|u|=1} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\psi_{x,u}(t)| dt < \infty$ ) and

$$H(f,g)(x,u) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_{x,u}(t) dt.$$

We use the spherical coordinates to obtain (12).

$$\begin{split} B_{\Omega}(f,g)(x) &= nv_n b_n(\Omega) \int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \int_{0 < t < \infty} t^{n-1} \phi_x(tu) dt d\sigma(u) \\ &= \frac{nv_n}{2} b_n(\Omega) \int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \int_{0 < |t| < 1} \left( f(x - tu)g(x + tu) - f(x)g(x) \right) \frac{dt}{t} \Omega(u) d\sigma(u) \\ &\quad + \frac{nv_n}{2} b_n(\Omega) \int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \int_{1 < |t| < \infty} f(x - tu)g(x + tu) \frac{dt}{t} \Omega(u) d\sigma(u) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} nv_n b_n(\Omega) \int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi_{x,u}(t) dt \right) \Omega(u) d\sigma(u) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{2} nv_n b_n(\Omega) \int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \Omega(u) H(f,g)(x,u) d\sigma(u). \end{split}$$

The previous arguments allow us to apply Fubini argument and to conclude that

$$\begin{split} \langle H(f,g)(x,\cdot),K\rangle_{\mu_n} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} H(f,g)(x,y) \frac{\Omega(y)}{|y|^n} \psi_n(|y|) dy \\ &= n v_n \Big( \int_0^\infty \frac{\psi_n(r)}{r} dr \Big) \Big( \int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} H(f,g)(x,u) \Omega(u) d\sigma(u) \Big) \\ &= \frac{2 \|\psi_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^+,\frac{dr}{r})}}{\pi b_n(\Omega)} B_\Omega(f,g)(x). \end{split}$$

Let us now get the basic estimate on  $b_n(\Omega)$ .

# Proposition 1.10

Let  $\Omega$  be defined as in the introduction. Then

$$b_n(\Omega) \le c_n(\Omega).$$

Proof. Denote

$$\tilde{B}_{\Omega}^{\varepsilon}(f,g)(x) = \int_{\varepsilon < |y| < 1/\varepsilon} f(x-y)g(x+y)\frac{\Omega(y)}{|y|^n} dy$$

and

$$\tilde{T}_{\Omega}^{\varepsilon}(f)(x) = \int_{\varepsilon < |y| < 1/\varepsilon} f(x-y) \frac{\Omega(y)}{|y|^n} dy.$$

If  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  then the function

$$f(x-y)g(x+y)\frac{\Omega(y)}{|y|^n}\chi_{\{\varepsilon<|y|<1/\varepsilon\}}\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{split} \|\tilde{B}_{\Omega}^{\varepsilon}(f,g)\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} &\geq \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\int_{\varepsilon<|y|<1/\varepsilon}f(x-y)g(x+y)\frac{\Omega(y)}{|y|^{n}}dydx\Big|\\ &= \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\int_{\varepsilon<|y|<1/\varepsilon}f(x')g(x'+2y)\frac{\Omega(y)}{|y|^{n}}dydx'\Big|\\ &= \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\int_{2\varepsilon<|y'|<1/2\varepsilon}f(x)g(x-y')\frac{\Omega(y')}{|y'|^{n}}dy'dx\Big|\\ &= \Big|\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}f(x)\tilde{T}_{\Omega}^{2\varepsilon}(g)(x)dx\Big|. \end{split}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{B}^*_{\Omega}(f,g)(x) &= \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \left| \tilde{B}^{\varepsilon}_{\Omega}(f,g)(x) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \left| \int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \int_{\varepsilon < t < 1/\varepsilon} f(x-tu)g(x+tu) \frac{\Omega(u)}{t} dt d\sigma(u) \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \left| \int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \int_{\varepsilon < t < 1/\varepsilon} f(x-tu)g(x+tu) \frac{\Omega(u)}{t} dt d\sigma(u) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} \left| \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \int_{\varepsilon < t < 1/\varepsilon} f(x-tu)g(x+tu) \frac{dt}{t} \right| |\Omega(u)| d\sigma(u) \\ &= C \int_{\Sigma_{n-1}} |H^{*u}(f,g)(x)| |\Omega(u)| d\sigma(u). \end{split}$$

Using the previous estimate and (7) one concludes that  $B^*_{\Omega}(f,g) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Given  $(\varepsilon_n)_n$  with  $\varepsilon_n > 0$  and  $\lim_n \varepsilon_n = 0$  one has that

$$\tilde{B}_{\Omega}(f,g)(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} |\tilde{B}_{\Omega}^{\varepsilon_n}(f,g)(x)| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and  $\tilde{T}_{\Omega}(g) = \lim_{n} \tilde{T}_{\Omega}^{\varepsilon_n}(g).$ 

Therefore, from the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem one has

$$\begin{split} \|\tilde{B}_{\Omega}(f,g)\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \|\tilde{B}_{\Omega}^{\varepsilon_{n}}(f,g)\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \\ &\geq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) \tilde{T}_{\Omega}^{2\varepsilon_{n}}(g)(x) dx \right| \\ &\geq \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f(x) \tilde{T}_{\Omega}(g)(x) dx \right|. \end{split}$$

Now taking the supremum over  $f, g \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $||f||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = ||g||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$  we obtain

$$\|B_{\Omega}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})\times L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})\to L^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \geq \|T_{\Omega}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})\to L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}$$

and the result follows.

An application of Minkowski's inequality in Theorem 1.9, combined with Theorem 1.6, allows us to conclude the following boundedness result.

#### Theorem 1.11

Let  $\Omega$  be an odd kernel, homogeneous of degree 0, and let  $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty, p_3 \ge 1$ and  $1/p_3 = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$ . Then  $B_{\Omega} : L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^{p_3}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is bounded with

$$\|B_{\Omega}\|_{L^{p_1} \times L^{p_2} \to L^{p_3}} \le \frac{\pi}{2} \|H\|_{L^{p_1} \times L^{p_2} \to L^{p_3}} nv_n b_n(\Omega)\|\Omega\|_{L^1(\Sigma_{n-1})}.$$

Finally combining Theorem 1.11, Proposition 1.10 and (5) one obtains our main result.

## Corollary 1.12

Let |a| = 1,  $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty, p_3 \ge 1$  and  $1/p_3 = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$ . Then  $\sum_{k=1}^n a_k R_k$  is bounded from  $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \times L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^{p_3}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with norm independent of the dimension.

Remark 1.2 Observe that Theorems 1.9 and 1.11 are valid for vector-valued kernels. We can consider  $\bar{\Omega}(x) = (\Omega_1(x), ..., \Omega_n(x)) = \frac{x}{|x|}$  as a  $\ell_2^n$ -valued kernel, where  $\Omega_i = \Omega_{e_i}$ .

Defining

$$B_{\bar{\Omega}}(f,g) = (R_1(f,g), ..., R_n(f,g)) = b_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x-y)g(x+y)\frac{y}{|y|}dy,$$

the previous method does not give the analogue of (3). Note that  $\|\bar{\Omega}(x)\|_{\ell_2^n} = 1$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  gives

$$\|\bar{\Omega}\|_{L^1(\Sigma_{n-1},\ell_2^n)} = 1$$

and now, using  $b_n \leq c_n$ , one can only estimate  $\frac{4\pi^{n/2}b_n(\bar{\Omega})}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})} \|\bar{\Omega}\|_{L^1(\Sigma_{n-1},\ell^2)} \leq C\sqrt{n}$ .

Our aim is now to show that in spite of this observation, also the norm for the  $\ell_2^n$ -valued formulation of the bilinear Riesz transform, at least for  $p_3 > 1$ , is independent of the dimension.

Let us select  $\psi_n(r) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} r^{n+1} e^{-r^2/2}$  and  $\Omega(x) = \Omega_a(x)$ , |a| = 1, in Theorem 1.9. Observe that

$$\|\psi_n\|_{L^1(\frac{dr}{r})} = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_0^\infty r^n e^{-r^2/2} dr = (2\pi)^{-n/2} 2^{(n-1)/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} c_n$$

 $\square$ 

which gives

$$\frac{2\|\psi_n\|_{L^1(\frac{dr}{r})}}{\pi b_n(\Omega)} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{c_n}{b_n}.$$

In particular, denoting by  $d\gamma_n(y) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-|y|^2/2} dy$  the Gaussian measure our formula (13) becomes

$$\langle H(f,g)(x,\cdot), \langle a,\cdot \rangle \rangle_{\gamma_n} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{c_n}{b_n} B_{\Omega_a}(f,g)(x).$$
 (14)

Observing that the coordinate functions  $y_k$  are an orthonormal system in  $L^2(\gamma_n)$ and following G. Pisier ([13]) we define  $\mathcal{A}_n$  to be the subspace generated by  $\{y_1, ..., y_n\}$ in  $L^2(\gamma_n)$  and by  $Q: L^2(\gamma_n) \to \mathcal{A}_n$  the orthogonal projection, that is

$$Q(f)(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) y_k d\gamma_n(y) \right) y_k.$$
(15)

Hence applying (14) to this particular case one gets the following analogue to the result given in [13]

$$Q(H(f,g))(x,y) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{c_n}{b_n} \sum_{k=1}^n y_k R_k(f,g)(x).$$
 (16)

This allows us to repeat Pisier's argument ([13]) and get the following analogue of (3).

## Theorem 1.13

Let  $1 < p_1, p_2 < \infty$ ,  $1/p_3 = 1/p_1 + 1/p_2$ ,  $p_3 > 1$ . There exists C independent of n such that

$$\left\| \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} |R_k(f,g)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^{p_3}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C \|f\|_{L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|g\|_{L^{p_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$
 (17)

Proof. Following Pisier's proof one first uses the fact that

$$\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_{k} y_{k}\right\|_{L^{p}(\gamma_{n})} = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} |\lambda_{k}|^{2}\right)^{1/2} \gamma(p)$$

$$\gamma(p) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}} |t|^{p} e^{-t^{2}/2} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{2-1}}\right)^{1/p}.$$
(18)

where

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \left( \sum_{k=1}^{n} |R_{k}(f,g)|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^{p_{3}}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{p_{3}} \\ &= \gamma(p_{3})^{-p_{3}} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{n} y_{k} R_{k}(f,g) \right\|_{L^{p_{3}}(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \gamma_{n})}^{p_{3}} \\ &\leq C \left( \frac{b_{n}}{c_{n}} \right)^{p_{3}} \left\| Q(H(f,g)) \right\|_{L^{p_{3}}(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \gamma_{n})}^{p_{3}} \\ &\leq C \|Q\|_{L^{p_{3}}(\gamma_{n}) \to L^{p_{3}}(\gamma_{n})}^{p_{3}} \|H(f,g)\|_{L^{p_{3}}(\mathbb{R}^{n} \times \gamma_{n})}^{p_{3}} \\ &\leq C \|Q\|_{L^{p_{3}}(\gamma_{n}) \to L^{p_{3}}(\gamma_{n})}^{p_{3}} \|f\|_{L^{p_{1}}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{p_{3}} \|g\|_{L^{p_{2}}(\mathbb{R}^{n})}^{p_{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Acknowledgement. We thank the referee for his/her careful reading and suggestions. The first author would like to thank the School of Mathematics and Maxwell Institute for Mathematical Sciences of the University of Edinburgh for his kind hospitality and nice working atmosphere during his stay in 2007 where this paper was initiated.

### References

- 1. P. Auscher and M.J. Carro, Transference for radial multipliers and dimension free estimates, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **342** (1994), 575–593.
- 2. O. Blasco, E. Berkson, M.J. Carro, and T.A. Gillespie, Discretization versus transference for bilinear operators, *Banach spaces and their applications in analysis*, 265–283, Berlin, 2007.
- 3. O. Blasco, E. Berkson, M.J. Carro, and T.A. Gillespie, Discretization and transference of bisublinear maximal operators, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.* **12** (2006), 447–481.
- O. Blasco, M.J. Carro, and T.A. Gillespie, Bilinear Hilbert transform on measure spaces, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 11 (2005), 459–470.
- 5. R.R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Transference Methods in Analysis*, American Mathematical Society **31**, Providence, R.I., 1976.
- 6. J. Duoandikoetxea and J.L. Rubio de Francia, Estimations indépendantes de la dimension pour les transformées de Riesz, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **300**, (1985), 193–196.
- 7. T.A. Gillespie and J.L. Torrea, Weighted ergodic theory and dimension free estimates, *Q. J. Math.* **54** (2003), 257–280.
- 8. T.A. Gillespie and J.L. Torrea, Dimension free estimates for the oscillation of Riesz transforms, *Israel J. Math.* **141** (2004), 125–144.
- T.A. Gillespie and J.L. Torrea, Transference of a Littlewood-Paley-Rubio inequality and dimension free estimates, *Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina* 45 (2004), 1–6.
- 10. M.T. Lacey, The bilinear maximal functions map into  $L^p$  for  $\frac{2}{3} , Ann. of Math. (2)$ **151**(2000), 35–57.
- 11. M.T. Lacey and C. Thiele,  $L^p$  estimates on the bilinear Hilbert transform for 2 , Ann. of Math. (2)**146**(1997), 693–724.
- 12. M.T. Lacey and C. Thiele, On Calderón's conjecture, Ann. of Math. (2) 149 (1999), 475-496.
- 13. G. Pisier, *Riesz Transform: A Simpler Analytic Proof of P.A. Meyer's Inequality*, Lecture Notes in Math. **1321**, Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- 14. J.L. Rubio de Francia, Transference principles for radial multipliers, *Duke Math. J.* 58 (1989), 1-19.
- 15. E.M. Stein, *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, Princeton Mathematical Series **30**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1970.
- 16. E.M. Stein, Some results in harmonic analysis in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for  $n \to \infty$ , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 9, (1983), 71–73.
- 17. E.M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality and oscillatory integrals,* Princeton Mathematical Series **43**, Monographs in Harmonic Analysis III, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1993.
- 18. E.M. Stein and J.O. Stromberg, Behaviour of maximal functions in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for large *n*, *Ark. Mat.* **21** (1983), 259–269.
- 19. E.M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton Mathematical Series **32**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971.