# Collectanea Mathematica (electronic version): http://www.collectanea.ub.edu 

Collect. Math. 60, 3 (2009), 241-247
(C) 2009 Universitat de Barcelona

A remark on the rational cohomology of $\bar{S}_{1, n}$

Gilberto Bini<br>Dipartimento di Matematica, Università degli Studi di Milano<br>Via C. Saldini 50, 20133 Milano (Italy)<br>E-mail: gilberto.bini@mat.unimi.it

Claudio Fontanari

Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Torino Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, 10129 Torino (Italy)

E-mail: claudio.fontanari@polito.it
Received June 10, 2008.


#### Abstract

We focus on the rational cohomology of Cornalba's moduli space of spin curves of genus 1 with $n$ marked points. In particular, we show that both its first and its third cohomology group vanish and the second cohomology group is generated by boundary classes.


## 1. Introduction

The moduli space of spin curves $\bar{S}_{g}$ was constructed by Cornalba in [6] in order to compactify the moduli space of pairs \{smooth genus $g$ complex curve $C$, thetacharacteristic on $C\}$. Cornalba's compactification turns out to be a normal projective variety equipped with a finite morphism:

$$
\chi: \bar{S}_{g} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}
$$

onto the Deligne-Mumford moduli space of stable curves of genus $g$ (see [6, Proposition (5.2)]). The geometry of $\bar{S}_{g}$ (in particular, its Picard group) was investigated by Cornalba himself in $[6,7]$; here instead we begin the study of the rational cohomology of $\bar{S}_{g}$.
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As shown by Arbarello and Cornalba in [3], the rational cohomology of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g}$ vanishes in low odd degree, so it seems reasonable to expect that the same holds also for $\bar{S}_{g}$; however, a priori it is not clear at all that the morphism $\chi$ does not increase cohomology. The inductive method of [3] provides indeed an effective tool to check our guess, but the set up of the induction requires to work with moduli of pointed spin curves. Namely, for all integers $g, n$ such that $2 g-2+n>0$, we consider the moduli spaces
$\bar{S}_{g, n}:=\left\{\left[\left(C, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n} ; \zeta ; \alpha\right)\right]:\left(C, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)\right.$ is a genus $g$ quasi-stable projective curve with $n$ marked points; $\zeta$ is a line bundle of degree $g-1$ on $C$ having degree 1 on every exceptional component of $C$, and $\alpha: \zeta^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \omega_{C}$ is a homomorphism which is not zero at a general point of every non-exceptional component of $C\}$.

In order to put an analytic structure on $\bar{S}_{g, n}$, we can easily adapt Cornalba's construction in [6]: from the universal deformation of the stable model of $\left(C, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ we obtain exactly as in $[6, \S 4]$, a universal deformation $\mathcal{U}_{X} \rightarrow B_{X}$ of $X=$ $\left(C, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n} ; \zeta ; \alpha\right)$; next, we transplant on $\bar{S}_{g, n}$ the structure of $B_{X} / \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ following $[6, \S 5]$. Alternatively, we can regard $\bar{S}_{g, n}$ as the coarse moduli space associated in the easiest case $r=2$ to the stack of $r$-spin curves constructed by Jarvis in [10] and revisited by Abramovich and Jarvis in [1].

We recall that $\bar{S}_{g, n}$ is the union of two connected components, $\bar{S}_{g, n}^{+}$and $\bar{S}_{g, n}^{-}$, which correspond to even and odd theta-characteristics, respectively. The main result of the present paper, which completes the research project started in [4] and continued in [5], is the following:

## Theorem 1

For every $n$,

$$
H^{1}\left(\bar{S}_{1, n}^{+}, \mathbb{Q}\right)=H^{3}\left(\bar{S}_{1, n}^{+}, \mathbb{Q}\right)=0
$$

and $H^{2}\left(\bar{S}_{1, n}^{+}, \mathbb{Q}\right)$ is generated by boundary classes.
We note that a similar statement holds true for the moduli space of odd thetacharacteristics (see [8]) since $\bar{S}_{1, n}^{-} \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1, n}$.

In what follows, we work over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers; all cohomology groups are implicitly assumed to have rational coefficients.

## 2. The inductive approach

As pointed out in the Introduction, we are going to apply the inductive strategy developed by Arbarello and Cornalba in [3] for the moduli space of curves. Namely, we consider the long exact sequence of cohomology with compact supports:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ldots \rightarrow H_{c}^{k}\left(S_{1, n}\right) \rightarrow H^{k}\left(\bar{S}_{1, n}\right) \rightarrow H^{k}\left(\partial S_{1, n}\right) \rightarrow \ldots \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, whenever $H_{c}^{k}\left(S_{1, n}\right)=0$, there is an injection $H^{k}\left(\bar{S}_{1, n}\right) \hookrightarrow H^{k}\left(\partial S_{1, n}\right)$. Moreover, from $[6, \S 3]$, it follows that each irreducible component of the boundary of $\bar{S}_{1, n}$ is the image of a morphism:

$$
\mu_{i}: X_{i} \rightarrow \bar{S}_{1, n}
$$

where either

$$
X_{i}=\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, s+1} \times \bar{S}_{1, t+1}
$$

where $s+t=n$; or

$$
X_{i}=\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, n+2}
$$

Finally, exactly as in [3, Lemma 2.6], a bit of Hodge theory implies that the $\operatorname{map} H^{k}\left(\bar{S}_{1, n}\right) \rightarrow \oplus_{i} H^{k}\left(X_{i}\right)$ is injective whenever $H^{k}\left(\bar{S}_{1, n}\right) \rightarrow H^{k}\left(\partial S_{1, n}\right)$ is. Thus, we obtain the first claim of Theorem 1 by induction, provided we show that $H_{c}^{1}\left(S_{1, n}\right)=$ $H_{c}^{3}\left(S_{1, n}\right)=0$ for almost all values of $n$, and we check that $H^{1}\left(\bar{S}_{1, n}\right)=H^{3}\left(\bar{S}_{1, n}\right)=0$ for all remaining values of $n$. The first task is accomplished by the following.

## Lemma 1

We have $H_{k}\left(S_{1, n}\right)=0$ for $k>n$.
Indeed, $\mathcal{M}_{1,1} \cong \mathbb{A}^{1}$ is affine. Moreover, it is well-known that the forgetful mor$\operatorname{phism} \mathcal{M}_{1, n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ is affine. Finally, the morphism $S_{1, n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1, n}$ is finite since it is the restriction of the finite morphism $\bar{S}_{1, n} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1, n}$, hence the claim holds.

Now, we give a closer inspection to $\bar{S}_{1, n}$. Of course, it is the disjoint union of $\bar{S}_{1, n}^{+}$and $\bar{S}_{1, n}^{-}$, corresponding to even and odd spin structures respectively. However, since the unique odd theta characteristic on a smooth elliptic curve $E$ is $\mathcal{O}_{E}$, there is a natural isomorphism $\bar{S}_{1, n}^{-} \cong \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1, n}$, so we may restrict our attention to $\bar{S}_{1, n}^{+}$. First of all, the following holds:

## Proposition 2

$$
H^{1}\left(\bar{S}_{1, n}^{+}\right)=0
$$

Proof. By the above argument, it is enough to check that $H^{1}\left(\bar{S}_{1, n}^{+}\right)$vanishes for $n=1$. In order to do so, we claim that there is a surjective morphism

$$
f: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,4} \longrightarrow \bar{S}_{1,1}^{+}
$$

Indeed, let $\left(C ; p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, p_{4}\right)$ be a 4 -pointed stable genus zero curve. The morphism $f$ associates to it the admissible covering $E$ of $C$ branched at the $p_{i}$ 's, pointed at $q_{1}$ and equipped with the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{E}\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right)$, where $q_{i}$ denotes the point of $E$ lying above $p_{i}$. It follows that

$$
H^{1}\left(\bar{S}_{1,1}^{+}\right) \hookrightarrow H^{1}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,4}\right)=H^{1}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1}\right)=0
$$

and Proposition 2 is completely proved.
Recall that the boundary components of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1, n}$ are $\Delta_{\text {irr }}$, whose general member is an irreducible $n$-pointed curve $C$ of geometric genus zero with exactly one node, and $\Delta_{1, I}$, whose general member is the union of two smooth curves meeting at one node, $C_{1}$ of genus 1 with marked points labelled by $I \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$, and $C_{2}$ of genus 0 with marked points labelled by $\{1, \ldots, n\} \backslash I$ (of course $|I| \leq n-2$ ). The corresponding boundary components of $\bar{S}_{1, n}^{+}$are:

- $A_{\text {irr }}^{+}$, with an even spin structure on $C$;
- $B_{\text {irr }}^{+}$, with an even spin structure on $C$ blown up at the node;
- $A_{1, I}^{+}$, with even theta-characteristics on $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$.

Notice that in this case $B_{1, I}^{+}$, whose general member should carry odd theta-characteristics on both $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, is empty since a smooth rational curve has no odd thetacharacteristic.

Hence on $\bar{S}_{1, n}^{+}$we have the boundary classes $\alpha_{\mathrm{irr}}^{+}, \beta_{\mathrm{irr}}^{+}$, and $\alpha_{1, I}^{+}$; there are also the classes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta_{\mathrm{irr}} & =p^{*}\left(\delta_{\mathrm{irr}}\right) \\
\delta_{i, I} & =p^{*}\left(\delta_{i, I}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
p: \bar{S}_{1, n}^{+} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1, n}
$$

is the natural projection. Exactly as in [6, § 7], there are relations

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{\mathrm{irr}} & =\alpha_{\mathrm{irr}}^{+}+2 \beta_{\mathrm{irr}}^{+}  \tag{2}\\
\delta_{1, I} & =2 \alpha_{1, I}^{+} . \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

## Lemma 3

The vector space $H^{2}\left(\bar{S}_{1,2}^{+}\right)$is generated by boundary classes.
Proof. We are going to deduce this from an Euler characteristic computation. Indeed, we are going to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(\bar{S}_{1,2}^{+}\right)=4 . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi\left(\bar{S}_{1,2}^{+}\right) & =2 h^{0}\left(\bar{S}_{1,2}^{+}\right)-2 h^{1}\left(\bar{S}_{1,2}^{+}\right)+h^{2}\left(\bar{S}_{1,2}^{+}\right) \\
& =2+h^{2}\left(\bar{S}_{1,2}^{+}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

from (4) we may deduce that $h^{2}\left(\bar{S}_{1,2}^{+}\right)=2$. On the other hand, since the natural projection $\bar{S}_{1,2}^{+} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,2}$ is surjective, $H^{2}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,2}\right)$ injects into $H^{2}\left(\bar{S}_{1,2}^{+}\right)$. It follows that $H^{2}\left(\bar{S}_{1,2}^{+}\right)$is generated by $\delta_{\mathrm{irr}}$ and $\delta_{1, \emptyset}$, which are linear combinations of $\alpha_{\mathrm{irr}}^{+}, \beta_{\mathrm{irr}}^{+}$, and $\alpha_{1, \emptyset}^{+}$by (2) and (3).

First of all, we compute $\chi\left(S_{1,1}^{+}\right)$. It is clear that

$$
\chi\left(\bar{S}_{1,1}^{+}\right)=2 h^{0}\left(\bar{S}_{1,1}^{+}\right)-h^{1}\left(\bar{S}_{1,1}^{+}\right)=2 .
$$

On the other hand, $\partial S_{1,1}^{+}$consists of exactly two points, corresponding to a 3 -pointed rational curve with two marked points either identified or joined by an exceptional component. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(S_{1,1}^{+}\right)=\chi\left(\bar{S}_{1,1}^{+}\right)-\chi\left(\partial S_{1,1}^{+}\right)=0 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we compute $\chi\left(S_{1,2}^{+}\right)$. The natural projection $S_{1,2}^{+} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,2}$ is generically three-toone, but there are a few special fibers with less than three points. Indeed, let ( $E ; p_{1}, p_{2}$ ) be a smooth 2-pointed elliptic curve.

The linear series $\left|2 p_{1}\right|$ provides a realization of $E$ as a two-sheeted covering of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ ramified over $\infty, 0,1$ and $\lambda$. Denote by $q_{0}, q_{1}$, and $q_{\lambda}$ the points of $E$ lying above 0,1 , and $\lambda$, so that the three even theta-characteristics of $E$ are given by $\mathcal{O}_{E}\left(p_{1}-q_{0}\right)$, $\mathcal{O}_{E}\left(p_{1}-q_{1}\right)$, and $\mathcal{O}_{E}\left(p_{1}-q_{\lambda}\right)$. If $\lambda=\frac{1}{2}$ and $p_{2}=q_{\lambda}$, then the projectivity of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ defined by $z \mapsto 1-z$ induces an automorphism of $\left(E ; p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$ exchanging $\mathcal{O}_{E}\left(p_{1}-q_{0}\right)$ and $\mathcal{O}_{E}\left(p_{1}-q_{1}\right)$. If $\lambda=-\omega\left(\right.$ with $\left.\omega^{3}=1\right)$ and $p_{2}$ is one point lying above $\frac{\omega}{\omega-1}$, then the projectivity of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ defined by $z \mapsto \frac{z+\omega}{\omega}$ induces an automorphism of $\left(E ; p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$ that exchanges ciclically its three even theta-characteristics. Since it is clear (for instance, from [9, IV, proof of Corollary 4.7]) that the above ones are the only exceptional cases, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(S_{1,2}^{+}\right)=3 \chi\left(\mathcal{M}_{1,2} \backslash\{2 \text { points }\}\right)+2 \chi(\text { point })+\chi(\text { point })=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, $\chi\left(\mathcal{M}_{1,2}\right)=1$, as observed in $[3,(5.4)]$. Finally we turn to the Euler characteristic of $\bar{S}_{1,2}^{+}$. From [6, Examples (3.2)], and (3.3), and [3], Figure 1, we may deduce that

$$
\chi\left(\bar{S}_{1,2}^{+}\right)=\chi\left(S_{1,2}^{+}\right)+2 \chi\left(\mathcal{M}_{0,4}^{\prime}\right)+\chi\left(S_{1,1}^{+}\right)+4
$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{0, n}^{\prime}$ denotes the quotient of $\mathcal{M}_{0, n}$ modulo the operation of interchanging the labelling of two of the marked points.

Since $\chi\left(\mathcal{M}_{0,4}^{\prime}\right)=0$ (see $\left.[3,(5.4)]\right)$, relation (4) follows from (5) and (6).
Let $P$ a finite set with $|P|=n$ and let $x$ and $y$ be distinct and not belonging to $P$; define

$$
\xi: \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, P \cup\{x, y\}} \longrightarrow B_{\mathrm{irr}}^{+} \hookrightarrow \bar{S}_{1, n}^{+}
$$

by joining the points labelled $x$ and $y$ with an exceptional component and taking the unique even theta characteristic on the resulting curve. Then the analogue of $[3$, Lemma 4.5] holds:

## Lemma 4

The kernel of

$$
\xi^{*}: H^{2}\left(\bar{S}_{1, n}^{+}\right) \longrightarrow H^{2}\left(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0, P \cup\{x, y\}}\right)
$$

is one-dimensional and generated by $\delta_{\mathrm{irr}}$.
Proof. By [3, Lemma 3.16], it is clear that $\xi^{*}\left(\delta_{\text {irr }}\right)=0$. Moreover, from Lemma 3 it follows that $H^{2}\left(\bar{S}_{1,2}^{+}\right)$is generated by $\delta_{\text {irr }}$ and $\delta_{1, \emptyset}$; since $\delta_{1, \emptyset}$ pulls back to $\delta_{0,\{x, y\}}$, which is not zero, the claim holds for $n=2$. Hence we can apply the inductive argument of [3, pp. 113-114]. It follows that if $\xi^{*}(\alpha)=0$ for $\alpha \in H^{2}\left(\bar{S}_{1, n}^{+}\right)$then there exists a constant $a$ such that $\alpha-a \delta_{\text {irr }}$ restricts to zero on all boundary components of $\bar{S}_{1, n}^{+}$different from $A_{\mathrm{irr}}^{+}$. However, we claim that $A_{\mathrm{irr}}^{+}$is linearly equivalent to $2 B_{\mathrm{irr}}^{+}$. Indeed, this is clear in $\bar{S}_{1,1}^{+} \cong \mathbb{P}^{1}$. If $\pi: \bar{S}_{1, n}^{+} \rightarrow \bar{S}_{1,1}^{+}$is the natural forgetful map, then $A_{\mathrm{irr}}^{+}=\pi^{*}\left(A_{\mathrm{irr}}^{+}\right)$ and $B_{\mathrm{irr}}^{+}=\pi^{*}\left(B_{\mathrm{irr}}^{+}\right)$. Hence $\alpha-a \delta_{\mathrm{irr}}$ restricts to zero on all boundary components of
$\bar{S}_{1, n}^{+}$and the claim follows exactly as in [3, Lemma 4.5], from Proposition 1 and the analogue of [3, Proposition 2.8].

## Proposition 5

The vector space $H^{2}\left(\bar{S}_{1, n}^{+}\right)$is generated by boundary classes.
Proof. Let $V$ be the subspace of $H^{2}\left(\bar{S}_{1, n}^{+}\right)$generated by the elements $\alpha_{1, I}^{+}$. In view of Lemma 4 and (2), it will be sufficient to show that the morphism $\xi^{*}$ vanishes modulo $V$. The proof is by induction on $n$ : for the inductive step we refer to [3, pp. 114-118], while the basis of the induction is provided by Lemma 3 .

Finally, we are also able to prove the last part of Theorem (1).

## Lemma 6

We have $H^{3}\left(\bar{S}_{1, n}^{+}\right)=0$.
Proof. Once again, by the exact sequence (1) and Lemma 1, it is enough to check that $H^{3}\left(\bar{S}_{1, n}^{+}\right)=0$ for $n \leq 3$. First, we deal with the case $n=3$. By Proposition $5, H^{2}\left(\bar{S}_{1,3}^{+}\right)$ is generated by the six boundary classes $\alpha_{\mathrm{irr}}^{+}, \beta_{\mathrm{irr}}^{+}, \alpha_{1, \emptyset}^{+}, \alpha_{1,\{1\}}^{+}, \alpha_{1,\{2\}}^{+}$, and $\alpha_{1,\{3\}}^{+}$. Notice further that $\alpha_{\text {irr }}^{+}$and $\beta_{\text {irr }}^{+}$are linearly dependent. Indeed, if $\pi: \bar{S}_{1,3}^{+} \rightarrow \bar{S}_{1,1}^{+}$is the natural forgetful map, from [3, Lemma 3.1 (iii)], it follows that $\alpha_{\mathrm{irr}}^{+}=\pi^{*}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{irr}}^{+}\right)$and $\beta_{\text {irr }}^{+}=\pi^{*}\left(\beta_{\text {irr }}^{+}\right)$, while Poincaré duality yields $H^{2}\left(\bar{S}_{1,1}^{+}\right) \cong H^{0}\left(\bar{S}_{1,1}^{+}\right) \cong \mathbb{Q}$. Hence we deduce $h^{2}\left(\bar{S}_{1,3}^{+}\right) \leq 5$; next, we claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(\bar{S}_{1,3}^{+}\right)=12 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The statement is a direct consequence of the claim, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi\left(\bar{S}_{1,3}^{+}\right)= & 2 h^{0}\left(\bar{S}_{1,3}^{+}\right)+2 h^{2}\left(\bar{S}_{1,3}^{+}\right)-2 h^{1}\left(\bar{S}_{1,3}^{+}\right) \\
& -h^{3}\left(\bar{S}_{1,3}^{+}\right) \leq 12-h^{3}\left(\bar{S}_{1,3}^{+}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

First of all, we compute $\chi\left(S_{1,3}^{+}\right)$. The natural projection $S_{1,3}^{+} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,3}$ is generically three-to-one, but there is a special fiber with only one point. Indeed, if ( $\left.E ; p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$ is a smooth 3 -pointed elliptic curve realized by the linear series $\left|2 p_{1}\right|$ as a two-sheeted covering of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ ramified over $\infty, 0,1$ and $-\omega\left(\right.$ with $\omega^{3}=1$ ) and $p_{2}, p_{3}$ are the two points lying above $\frac{\omega}{\omega-1}$, then the projectivity of $\mathbb{P}^{1}$ defined by $z \mapsto \frac{z+\omega}{\omega}$ induces automorphisms of $\left(E ; p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}\right)$ exchanging ciclically its three even theta-characteristics. Therefore we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(S_{1,3}^{+}\right)=3 \chi\left(\mathcal{M}_{1,3} \backslash\{\text { point }\}\right)+\chi(\text { point })=-2 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $\chi\left(\mathcal{M}_{1,3}\right)=0$, as observed in $[3,(5.4)]$. Finally we turn to the Euler characteristic of $\bar{S}_{1,3}^{+}$. From [6, Examples (3.2)], and (3.3), and [3, Figure 2], it is clear that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi\left(\bar{S}_{1,3}^{+}\right)= & \chi\left(S_{1,3}^{+}\right)+2 \chi\left(\mathcal{M}_{0,5}^{\prime}\right)+\chi\left(S_{1,1}^{+}\right) \chi\left(\mathcal{M}_{0,4}\right) \\
& +3 \chi\left(S_{1,2}^{+}\right)+2 \chi\left(\mathcal{M}_{0,4}\right)+12 \chi\left(\mathcal{M}_{0,4}^{\prime}\right)+3 \chi\left(S_{1,1}^{(0),+}\right)+14
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\chi\left(\mathcal{M}_{0,4}\right)=-1, \chi\left(\mathcal{M}_{0,4}^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $\chi\left(\mathcal{M}_{0,5}^{\prime}\right)=1$ (see $[3,(5.4)]$ ), now (7) follows from (5), (6) and (8). Finally, by Hodge theory of complex projective orbifolds, the surjective morphism $\bar{S}_{1,3} \rightarrow \bar{S}_{1, n}$ for $n \leq 3$ induces an injective morphism $H^{3}\left(\bar{S}_{1, n}\right) \rightarrow H^{3}\left(\bar{S}_{1,3}\right)$ for $n \leq 3$. Hence the claim follows.
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