Differences of weighted composition operators

ELKE WOLF

Mathematical Institute, University of Paderborn, D-33095 Paderborn, Germany

E-mail: lichte@math.uni-paderborn.de

Received October 17, 2007. Revised May 9, 2008.

ABSTRACT

We consider differences of weighted composition operators between given weighted Bergman spaces H_v^∞ of infinite order and characterize boundedness and the essential norm of these differences.

1. Introduction

Let v, w be strictly positive bounded continuous functions (*weights*) on the open unit disk D of the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. We define the weighted Bergman space of infinite order as follows

$$H_v^\infty := \{f \in H(D); \|f\|_v := \sup_{z \in D} v(z)|f(z)| < \infty\},$$

where $H(D)$ denotes the space of all holomorphic functions. Endowed with norm $\|\cdot\|_v$, the space H_v^∞ is a Banach space. Such spaces have been studied by various authors while investigating growth conditions of analytic functions. As an assortment of papers on this topic we would like to mention [18, 20, 21, 11, 2, 13, 14, 9, 3].

Furthermore we consider analytic self maps ϕ_1, ϕ_2 of D as well as analytic functions $\psi_1, \psi_2 : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. These maps induce weighted composition operators

$$C_{\phi_i, \psi_i} : H(D) \rightarrow H(D), \quad f \mapsto \psi_i(f \circ \phi_i), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Composition operators and weighted composition operators have been investigated on various spaces and by several authors, see e.g. [5, 4, 7, 15, 16, 17, 6, 12]. We are interested in differences $C_{\phi_1, \psi_1} - C_{\phi_2, \psi_2}$ of weighted composition operators acting

Keywords: Weighted composition operators, essential norm, weighted Bergman spaces of infinite order.

MSC2000: 47B33, 47B38.

between weighted Bergman spaces of infinite order. In [12] we studied the essential norm of such differences in case of quite special weights. The aim of this article is to characterize boundedness and the essential norm of differences $C_{\phi_1, \psi_1} - C_{\phi_2, \psi_2}$ under more general assumptions on the weights. The estimates we obtain in this note differ from the conditions given in [12]. Otherwise for $\psi_1 = \psi_2 = 1$ we get exactly the result of [6].

II. Notations, definitions and auxiliary results

For notation and general information on composition operators see the excellent monographs [8, 19]. Let us denote the closed unit ball of H_v^∞ by B_v^∞ . When dealing with weighted Bergman spaces of infinite order an important tool is the so called *associated weight* introduced by Anderson and Duncan in [1] and thoroughly studied by Bierstedt, Bonet and Taskinen in [3]. For a weight v the associated weight $\tilde{v}$ is given by

$$\tilde{v}(z) := \frac{1}{\sup \{|f(z)|; f \in B_v^\infty\}} = \frac{1}{\|\delta_z\|_{H_v^{\infty'}}}, \quad z \in D,$$

where δ_z denotes the point evaluation of z . By [3] the associated weights have the following properties:

- (i) $\tilde{v}$ is continuous,
- (ii) $\tilde{v} \geq v > 0$,
- (iii) for every $z \in D$ we can find $f_z \in B_v^\infty$ such that $f_z(z) = \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(z)}$.

A weight v is called *essential* if there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$v(z) \leq \tilde{v}(z) \leq Cv(z) \quad \text{for every } z \in D.$$

Examples of essential weights as well as conditions when weights are essential may be found in [3, 4, 5]. We are especially interested in *radial* weights, i.e. weights which satisfy $v(z) = v(|z|)$ for every $z \in D$. If a radial weight v satisfies the Lusky condition (L1) (due to Lusky [13])

$$(L1) \quad \inf_k \frac{v(1 - 2^{-k-1})}{v(1 - 2^{-k})} > 0,$$

then v is essential.

We say that a weight v is *typical*, if it is radial, non-increasing with respect to $|z|$ and $\lim_{|z| \rightarrow 1^-} v(z) = 0$. In the sequel every radial weight is assumed to be non-increasing. In order to treat differences of composition operators we need some geometric data. Recall that for any $z \in D$, φ_z is the Möbius transformation of D which interchanges the origin and z , namely, $\varphi_z(w) = \frac{z-w}{1-\bar{z}w}$, $w \in D$. The *pseudohyperbolic distance* $\rho(z, w)$ for $z, w \in D$ is defined by $\rho(z, w) = |\varphi_z(w)| = \left| \frac{z-w}{1-\bar{z}w} \right|$.

An operator $T \in L(E, F)$ from a Banach space E to the Banach space F is called *compact* if it maps the closed unit ball of E onto a relatively compact set in F . The essential norm of a continuous linear operator T is defined by $\|T\|_e := \inf \{\|T - K\|; K \text{ is compact}\}$, i.e. the essential norm is the distance to the compact operators. Finally, let us list up some auxiliary results we need for proving our results.

Lemma 1 (Bonet, Lindström, Wolf, [6])

Let v be a radial weight satisfying the Lusky condition (L1) and let $f \in H_v^\infty$. Then there exists a constant C_v (depending on the weight v) such that

$$|f(z) - f(p)| \leq C_v \|f\|_v \max \left\{ \frac{1}{v(z)}, \frac{1}{v(p)} \right\} \rho(z, p)$$

for all $z, p \in D$.

Theorem 2 (Contreras, Hernández-Díaz, [7])

Let v and w be weights and $\phi : D \rightarrow D$ and $\psi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be analytic. Then the operator $C_{\phi, \psi} : H_v^\infty \rightarrow H_w^\infty$ is bounded if and only if $\sup_{z \in D} |\psi(z)| \frac{w(z)}{\bar{v}(\phi(z))} < \infty$.

III. Continuity and essential norm of differences of weighted composition operators

First, recall that the operator $C_{\phi_1, \psi_1} - C_{\phi_2, \psi_2} : H_v^\infty \rightarrow H_w^\infty$ is bounded if and only if

$$\sup_{z \in D} w(z) \sup \{ |\psi_1(z)f(\phi_1(z)) - \psi_2(z)f(\phi_2(z))|; f \in B_v^\infty \} < \infty.$$

Proposition 3

Let v and w be weights such that v is radial and satisfies (L1). Then $C_{\phi_1, \psi_1} - C_{\phi_2, \psi_2} : H_v^\infty \rightarrow H_w^\infty$ is bounded if and only if

- (i) $\sup_{z \in D} w(z) \min \{ |\psi_1(z)|, |\psi_2(z)| \} \rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_2(z))} \right\} < \infty$,
- (ii) $\sup_{z \in D} |\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| w(z) \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_2(z))} \right\} < \infty$.

Proof. We suppose that the operator $C_{\phi_1} - C_{\phi_2}$ is bounded, i.e.

$$M = \sup_{z \in D} w(z) \sup \{ |\psi_1(z)f(\phi_1(z)) - \psi_2(z)f(\phi_2(z))|; f \in B_v^\infty \} < \infty.$$

Let us start with proving condition (i) indirectly. W.l.o.g. we can find a sequence $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset D$ such that

$$w(z_n) \min \{ |\psi_1(z_n)|, |\psi_2(z_n)| \} \rho(\phi_1(z_n), \phi_2(z_n)) \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}, \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_2(z_n))} \right\} \geq n.$$

We fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and distinguish the following cases:

First, we assume $\max \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}, \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_2(z_n))} \right\} = \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}$. Then there is a function $f_n \in B_v^\infty$ such that $|f_n(\phi_1(z_n))| = \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}$. Next, we put $h_n(z) := f_n(z)\varphi_{\phi_2(z_n)}(z)$ for every $z \in D$. Obviously h_n belongs to B_v^∞ . This yields

$$\begin{aligned} M &\geq w(z_n) |\psi_1(z_n) h_n(\phi_1(z_n)) - \psi_2(z_n) h_n(\phi_2(z_n))| \\ &\geq w(z_n) |\psi_1(z_n)| |f_n(\phi_1(z_n))| \rho(\phi_1(z_n), \phi_2(z_n)) \\ &\geq w(z_n) \min \{ |\psi_1(z_n)|, |\psi_2(z_n)| \} \left| \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z_n))} \right| \rho(\phi_1(z_n), \phi_2(z_n)) \\ &\geq n. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, we suppose $\max \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}, \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))} \right\} = \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))}$. Choosing $f_n \in B_v^\infty$ such that $|f_n(\phi_2(z_n))| = \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))}$ we now set $h_n(z) := f_n(z)\varphi_{\phi_1(z_n)}(z)$ for every $z \in D$ and get analogously to the previous case

$$M \geq w(z_n) \min \{ |\psi_1(z_n)|, |\psi_2(z_n)| \} \left| \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))} \right| \rho(\phi_1(z_n), \phi_2(z_n)) \geq n.$$

Joining both cases, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M &\geq w(z_n) \min \{ |\psi_1(z_n)|, |\psi_2(z_n)| \} \rho(\phi_1(z_n), \phi_2(z_n)) \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}, \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))} \right\} \\ &\geq n, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

It remains to show (ii). Fix $z \in D$ and consider the following cases:

First, we suppose $\min \{ |\psi_1(z)|, |\psi_2(z)| \} = |\psi_1(z)|$ and select $f_z \in B_v^\infty$ such that $|f_z(\phi_2(z))| \tilde{v}(\phi_2(z)) = 1$. Hence an application of Lemma 1 gives

$$\begin{aligned} w(z) |\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z))} &= w(z) |\psi_2(z) - \psi_1(z)| |f_z(\phi_2(z))| \\ &\leq w(z) |\psi_2(z) f_z(\phi_2(z)) - \psi_1(z) f_z(\phi_1(z))| \\ &\quad + w(z) |\psi_1(z) f_z(\phi_1(z)) - \psi_1(z) f_z(\phi_2(z))| \\ &\leq M + w(z) |\psi_1(z)| |f_z(\phi_2(z)) - f_z(\phi_1(z))| \\ &\leq M + C_v w(z) \min \{ |\psi_1(z)|, |\psi_2(z)| \} \rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \\ &\quad \times \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z))} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, let $\min \{ |\psi_1(z)|, |\psi_2(z)| \} = |\psi_2(z)|$. Choose $f_z \in B_v^\infty$ with $|f_z(\phi_1(z))| \tilde{v}(\phi_1(z)) = 1$. Proceeding as in the previous case we get

$$\begin{aligned} w(z) |\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z))} &\leq M + C_v w(z) \min \{ |\psi_1(z)|, |\psi_2(z)| \} \rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \\ &\quad \times \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z))} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Joining both cases and using (i), we can deduce condition (ii).

For the converse fix $f \in B_v^\infty$ and $z \in D$ and distinguish the following cases:

If $\min \{ |\psi_1(z)|, |\psi_2(z)| \} = |\psi_1(z)|$, using Lemma 1 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
|\psi_1(z)f(\phi_1(z)) - \psi_2(z)f(\phi_2(z))| &\leq |\psi_1(z)| |f(\phi_1(z)) - f(\phi_2(z))| \\
&\quad + |\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| |f(\phi_2(z))| \\
&\leq C_v |\psi_1(z)| \rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \\
&\quad \times \max \left\{ \frac{1}{v(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{v(\phi_2(z))} \right\} \\
&\quad + |\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| \frac{1}{v(\phi_2(z))} \\
&\leq C_v \min \{ |\psi_1(z)|, |\psi_2(z)| \} \rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \\
&\quad \times \max \left\{ \frac{1}{v(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{v(\phi_2(z))} \right\} \\
&\quad + |\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| \max \left\{ \frac{1}{v(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{v(\phi_2(z))} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

In case $\min \{ |\psi_1(z)|, |\psi_2(z)| \} = |\psi_2(z)|$ we proceed analogously to get

$$\sup_{z \in D} |\psi_1(z)f(\phi_1(z)) - \psi_2(z)f(\phi_2(z))| < \infty.$$

From this we conclude that the operator is bounded if the above conditions are satisfied. $\square$

Next, we give an example of non-bounded operators C_{ϕ_1, ψ_1} and C_{ϕ_2, ψ_2} such that the difference is bounded.

EXAMPLE 4 Choose $w(z) = v(z) = 1 - |z| = \tilde{v}(z)$, $\phi_1(z) = \frac{z+1}{2}$ and $\phi_2(z) = \frac{z+1}{2} + t(z-1)^3$ for every $z \in D$ such that t is real and $|t|$ so small that ϕ_2 maps D into D as well as $\psi_1(z) = \psi_2(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}$ for every $z \in D$. Obviously v and w are radial weights and v has condition (L1). Moreover the functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 belong to the space H_w^∞ . By [7] Proposition 3.1 C_{ϕ_1, ψ_1} is not bounded since for $z = r \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $|\psi_1(r)| \frac{w(r)}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(r))} = \frac{2}{1-r} \rightarrow \infty$ if $r \rightarrow 1$. Analogously we can show that C_{ϕ_2, ψ_2} is not bounded. By [15] Example 1 we know $\rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \leq \frac{|t|}{\delta} |z-1| < \infty$, where δ is a constant. This yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{z \in D} |\psi_1(z)| \frac{w(z)}{v(\phi_1(z))} \rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) &\leq \sup_{z \in D} \frac{1}{|1-z|} \frac{1-|z|}{1-|\frac{z+1}{2}|} \frac{|t|}{\delta} |z-1| < \infty \\
\text{and } \sup_{z \in D} |\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| \frac{w(z)}{v(\phi_1(z))} &= 0 \text{ as well as} \\
\sup_{z \in D} |\psi_1(z)| \frac{w(z)}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z))} \rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) &\leq \sup_{z \in D} \frac{1}{|1-z|} \frac{1-|z|}{1-|\frac{z+1}{2} + t(z-1)^3|} \frac{|t|}{\delta} |z-1| < \infty \\
\text{and } \sup_{z \in D} |\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| \frac{w(z)}{v(\phi_2(z))} &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the corresponding difference $C_{\phi_1, \psi_1} - C_{\phi_2, \psi_2}$ is bounded.

Theorem 5

Let v and w be radial weights such that v is typical and satisfies the Lusky condition (L1). Let $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in H_w^\infty$ such that there are constants $\alpha, \beta > 0$ with $\alpha \leq |\frac{\psi_1(z)}{\psi_2(z)}| \leq \beta$ for every $z \in D$. If $\phi_1, \phi_2 : D \rightarrow D$ are analytic maps such that $\|\phi_1\|_\infty = \|\phi_2\|_\infty = 1$ and $C_{\phi_1, \psi_1}, C_{\phi_2, \psi_2} : H_v^\infty \rightarrow H_w^\infty$ both are bounded, then the essential norm $\|C_{\phi_1, \psi_1} - C_{\phi_2, \psi_2}\|_e$ is equivalent to the maximum of the following expressions:

- (i) $\limsup_{|\phi_1(z)| \rightarrow 1-} |\psi_1(z)| \rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_2(z))} \right\},$
- (ii) $\limsup_{|\phi_2(z)| \rightarrow 1-} |\psi_2(z)| \rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_2(z))} \right\},$
- (iii) $\limsup_{\min\{|\phi_1(z)|, |\phi_2(z)|\} \rightarrow 1-} w(z) |\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_2(z))} \right\}.$

Proof. First we want to prove that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that $C \max\{(i), (ii), (iii)\} \leq \|C_{\phi_1, \psi_1} - C_{\phi_2, \psi_2}\|_e$. Let us start with considering (i).

(i) Let $(z_n)_n \in D$ be a sequence with $|\phi_1(z_n)| \rightarrow 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_n |\psi_1(z_n)| w(z_n) \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}, \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_2(z_n))} \right\} \rho(\phi_1(z_n), \phi_2(z_n)) \\ &= \limsup_{|\phi_1(z)| \rightarrow 1-} w(z) |\psi_1(z)| \rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_2(z))} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In case $\max \left\{ \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}, \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_2(z_n))} \right\} = \frac{1}{\bar{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}$, since $|\phi_1(z_n)| \rightarrow 1$, by going to a subsequence if necessary, we can use the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [10] to find functions $(g_n)_n \in H^\infty$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |g_n(z)| \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } z \in D,$$

and $g_n(\phi_1(z_n)) > 1 - (\frac{1}{2})^n$ for every n . Then $\lim_n |g_n(\phi_1(z_n))| = 1$. In the sequel we want to explain roughly how to construct these functions following the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [10].

First we put $k(z) := \frac{z+1}{2}$ for every $z \in D$. Then k is holomorphic on D and continuous on $\bar{D}$ such that $k(1) = 1$ and $|k| < 1$ on $\bar{D} \setminus \{1\}$. Next we consider $u(z) := \frac{z-1}{2}$ as well as $u_n(z) := u(z)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ for every $z \in D$. Each u_n is holomorphic on D and continuous on $\bar{D}$ such that $\|u_n\|_\infty = 1$, $u_n(1) = 0$ and $|u_n(z)| \rightarrow 1$ for every $z \in D$. By induction we can find two increasing sequences $(m_l)_l, (j_l)_l \subset \mathbb{N}$, a sequence $(c_l)_l$ of complex numbers with $|c_l| < 1$ for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and a subsequence $(\phi(z_l))_l$ of $(\phi(z_n))_n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{z \in \bar{D}} \sum_{l=1}^N |(c_l k^{m_l} u_{j_l})(z)| < 1 \quad \text{for every } N \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and} \\ & c_N (k^{m_N} u_{j_N})(\phi(z_N)) > 1 - \frac{1}{2^N} \quad \text{for every } N \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $g_N(z) := (c_N k^{m_N} u_{j_N})(z)$ for every $z \in D$ and for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain the functions above. For more details we refer the reader to [10].

For every n we can also find $f_n \in B_v^\infty$ with $f_n(\phi_1(z_n)) = \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}$. Set $h_n(z) := g_n(z) \varphi_{\phi_2(z_n)}(z) f_n(z)$. Thus, $h_n \in H_v^\infty$ with $\|h_n\|_v \leq 1$. Since the standard basis $(e_n)_n$ for c_0 tends weakly to zero, so does $(h_n)_n$. Now let $K : H_v^\infty \rightarrow H_w^\infty$ be a compact operator. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Kh_n\|_w = 0$. For each n ,

$$\|\psi_1 C_{\phi_1} - \psi_2 C_{\phi_2} - K\| \geq \|(\psi_1 C_{\phi_1} - \psi_2 C_{\phi_2})h_n\|_w - \|Kh_n\|_w,$$

and thus we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_1 C_{\phi_1} - \psi_2 C_{\phi_2} - K\| &\geq \limsup_n \|\psi_1(h_n \circ \phi_1) - \psi_2(h_n \circ \phi_2)\|_w \\ &\geq \limsup_n w(z_n) |\psi_1(z_n) h_n(\phi_1(z_n)) - \psi_2(z_n) h_n(\phi_2(z_n))| \\ &= \limsup_n w(z_n) |\psi_1(z_n)| |g_n(\phi_1(z_n))| |\varphi_{\phi_2(z_n)}(\phi_1(z_n)) f_n(\phi_1(z_n))| \\ &= \limsup_n |\psi_1(z_n)| \frac{w(z_n)}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z_n))} \rho(\phi_2(z_n), \phi_1(z_n)) \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us assume $\max \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}, \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))} \right\} = \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))}$. If $|\phi_2(z_n)| \not\rightarrow 1$ there are only finitely many n for which this is the case, since v is typical and $|\phi_1(z_n)| \rightarrow 1$. Then these n 's can be omitted and we have the first case. If $|\phi_2(z_n)| \rightarrow 1$, analogously to the previous case, choose functions $(g_n)_n \in H^\infty$ with

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |g_n(z)| \leq 1 \quad \text{for all } z \in D,$$

and $\lim_n |g_n(\phi_2(z_n))| = 1$. For every n we select $f_n \in B_v^\infty$ such that $f_n(\phi_2(z_n)) = \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))}$ and set $h_n(z) := g_n(z) \varphi_{\phi_1(z_n)}(z) f_n(z)$. Proceeding in the same way as above and taking into account that by assumption $\alpha \leq \frac{|\psi_1(z_n)|}{|\psi_2(z_n)|} \leq \beta$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_1 C_{\phi_1} - \psi_2 C_{\phi_2} - K\| &\geq \limsup_n |\psi_2(z_n)| \frac{w(z_n)}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))} \rho(\phi_1(z_n), \phi_2(z_n)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\beta} \limsup_n |\psi_2(z_n)| \frac{|\psi_1(z_n)|}{|\psi_2(z_n)|} \frac{w(z_n)}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z_n))} \rho(\phi_1(z_n), \phi_2(z_n)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\beta} \limsup_n |\psi_1(z_n)| \frac{w(z_n)}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))} \rho(\phi_1(z_n), \phi_2(z_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Joining both cases we get (i).

(ii) follows in an analogous way.

(iii) If $\rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \rightarrow \sigma \neq 0$, when $|\phi_1(z)| \rightarrow 1$ and $|\phi_2(z)| \rightarrow 1$, then

(iii) follows from (i) and (ii). Therefore we can assume that $\rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \rightarrow 0$ if $|\phi_1(z)| \rightarrow 1$ and $|\phi_2(z)| \rightarrow 1$. Let $(z_n)_n$ be a sequence with $|\phi_1(z_n)| \rightarrow 1$ and $|\phi_2(z_n)| \rightarrow 1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_n w(z_n) |\psi_1(z_n) - \psi_2(z_n)| \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}, \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))} \right\} \\ &= \limsup_{\min\{|\phi_1(z)|, |\phi_2(z)|\} \rightarrow 1} w(z) |\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z))} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\max\left\{\frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}, \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))}\right\} = \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}$ we choose $(f_n)_n$ and $(g_n)_n$ as in the first case in the proof of (i) and set $h_n(z) := g_n(z)f_n(z)$. Then $h_n \in B_v^\infty$ and $h_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly in H_v^∞ . Take a compact operator $K : H_v^\infty \rightarrow H_w^\infty$. Hence $\lim_n \|Kh_n\|_w = 0$. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_1 C_{\phi_1} - \psi_2 C_{\phi_2} - K\| &\geq \limsup_n w(z_n) |\psi_1(z_n) h_n(\phi_1(z_n)) - \psi_2(z_n) h_n(\phi_2(z_n))| \\ &\geq \limsup_n w(z_n) |\psi_1(z_n) - \psi_2(z_n)| |h_n(\phi_1(z_n))| \\ &\quad - \limsup_n w(z_n) |\psi_2(z_n)| |h_n(\phi_1(z_n)) - h_n(\phi_2(z_n))|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, if $\min\{|\psi_1(z)|, |\psi_2(z)|\} = |\psi_1(z_n)|$ take into account that by assumption $\frac{|\psi_1(z_n)|}{|\psi_2(z_n)|} \geq \alpha$. Using Lemma 1 and the boundedness of C_{ϕ_1, ψ_1} this yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\limsup_n w(z_n) |\psi_2(z_n)| |h_n(\phi_1(z_n)) - h_n(\phi_2(z_n))| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \limsup_n w(z_n) |\psi_1(z_n)| \rho(\phi_1(z_n), \phi_2(z_n)) \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z_n))} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $\min\{|\psi_1(z)|, |\psi_2(z)|\} = |\psi_2(z_n)|$, then obviously

$$\limsup_n w(z_n) |\psi_2(z_n)| |h_n(\phi_1(z_n)) - h_n(\phi_2(z_n))| = 0.$$

Next we assume $\max\left\{\frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}, \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z_n))}\right\} = \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z_n))}$ and show the claim completely analogously to the previous case.

We now prove that there is a constant $C^* > 0$ such that $\max\{(i), (ii), (iii)\} \leq C^* \|C_{\phi_1, \psi_1} - C_{\phi_2, \psi_2}\|_e$. Take the sequence of linear operators $C_k : H(D) \rightarrow H(D)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, defined by $C_k f(z) = f(\frac{k}{k+1}z)$, which are continuous for the compact open topology and $C_k f \rightarrow f$ uniformly on every compact subset of D and the operators $C_k : H_v^\infty \rightarrow H_v^\infty$ are well-defined and compact with $\|C_k\| \leq 1$.

For fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have,

$$\|\psi_1 C_{\phi_1} - \psi_2 C_{\phi_2}\|_e \leq \|(\psi_1 C_{\phi_1} - \psi_2 C_{\phi_2})(Id - C_k)\|.$$

Let $f \in B_v^\infty$ and fix an arbitrary $r \in (0, 1)$. Set $g_k := (Id - C_k)f$, so $g_k \in H_v^\infty$ and $\|g_k\|_v \leq 2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_1 C_{\phi_1} - \psi_2 C_{\phi_2}\|_e &\leq \sup_{\|f\|_v \leq 1} \|(\psi_1 C_{\phi_1} - \psi_2 C_{\phi_2})g_k\|_w \\ &\leq \sup_{\|f\|_v \leq 1} \sup_{\{z; |\phi_1(z)| > r\}} w(z) |\psi_1(z) g_k(\phi_1(z)) - \psi_2(z) g_k(\phi_2(z))| \\ &\quad + \sup_{\|f\|_v \leq 1} \sup_{\{z; |\phi_2(z)| > r\}} w(z) |\psi_1(z) g_k(\phi_1(z)) - \psi_2(z) g_k(\phi_2(z))| \\ &\quad + \sup_{\|f\|_v \leq 1} \sup_{\{z; |\phi_1(z)| \leq r, |\phi_2(z)| \leq r\}} w(z) |\psi_1(z) g_k(\phi_1(z)) - \psi_2(z) g_k(\phi_2(z))| \\ &=: I_{k,r} + J_{k,r} + L_{k,r}. \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the first term $I_{k,r}$, for $z \in D$ with $|\phi_1(z)| > r$ we use Lemma 1 as in the proof of Theorem 2 to get,

$$\begin{aligned} & |w(z)|\psi_1(z)g_k(\phi_1(z)) - \psi_2(z)g_k(\phi_2(z))| \\ & \leq w(z)|\psi_1(z)| |g_k(\phi_1(z)) - g_k(\phi_2(z))| \\ & \quad + w(z)|\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| |g_k(\phi_2(z))| \\ & \leq 2C_v |\psi_1(z)| w(z) \rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z))} \right\} \\ & \quad + w(z)|\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| |g_k(\phi_2(z))|. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously we can estimate the term $J_{k,r}$.

The sequence of operators $(Id - C_k)_k$ satisfies $\lim_k (Id - C_k)g = 0$ for each g in $H(D)$, and the space $H(D)$ endowed with the compact open topology co is a Fréchet space. By the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, $(Id - C_k)_k$ converges to zero uniformly on the compact subsets of $(H(D), co)$. Since the closed unit ball of H_v^∞ is a compact subset of $(H(D), co)$ we conclude that

$$\lim_k \sup_{\|f\|_v \leq 1} \sup_{|\xi| \leq r} |(Id - C_k)f(\xi)| = 0.$$

If $|\phi_2(z)| \leq r$ in the term $I_{k,r}$, then we conclude that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \limsup_k I_{k,r} \leq 2 \limsup_{|\phi_1(z)| \rightarrow 1} w(z) \frac{|\psi_1(z)|}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z))} \rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)).$$

In the case $|\phi_2(z)| > r$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \limsup_k I_{k,r} & \leq 2 \limsup_{\min\{|\phi_1(z)|, |\phi_2(z)|\} \rightarrow 1} w(z)|\psi_1(z) - \psi_2(z)| \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z))} \right\} \\ & \quad + 2 \limsup_{|\phi_1(z)| \rightarrow 1} w(z)|\psi_1(z)| \rho(\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)) \max \left\{ \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_1(z))}, \frac{1}{\tilde{v}(\phi_2(z))} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously we consider the cases $|\phi_1(z)| \leq r$ and $|\phi_1(z)| > r$ in the term $J_{k,r}$.

Since $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in H_w^\infty$, we have that $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \limsup_k L_{k,r} = 0$, and the statement follows. $\square$

References

1. J.M. Anderson and J. Duncan, Duals of Banach spaces of entire functions, *Glasgow Math. J.* **32** (1990), 215–220.
2. K.D. Bierstedt and W.H. Summers, Biduals of weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **54** (1993), 70–79.
3. K.D. Bierstedt, J. Bonet, and J. Taskinen, Associated weights and spaces of holomorphic functions, *Studia Math.* **127** (1998), 137–168.
4. J. Bonet, P. Domański, and M. Lindström, Essential norm and weak compactness of composition operators on weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **42**, (1999), 139–148.

5. J. Bonet, P. Domański, M. Lindström, and J. Taskinen, Composition operators between weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **64** (1998), 101–118.
6. J. Bonet, M. Lindström, and E. Wolf, Differences of composition operators between weighted Banach spaces of holomorphic functions, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* to appear.
7. M.D. Contreras and A.G. Hernández-Díaz, Weighted composition operators in weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions, *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* **69** (2000), 41–60.
8. C.C. Cowen and B.D. MacCluer, *Composition Operators on Spaces of Analytic Functions*, Studies in Advanced Mathematics, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1995.
9. A. Galbis, Weighted Banach spaces of entire functions, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **62** (1994), 58–64.
10. T. Hosokawa, K. Izuchi, and D. Zheng, Isolated points and essential components of composition operators on H^∞ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **130** (2002), 1765–1773.
11. W. Kabbalo, Lifting-Probleme für H^∞ -Funktionen, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **34** (1980), 540–549.
12. M. Lindström and E. Wolf, Essential norm of differences of weighted composition operators, *Monatsh. Math.* **153** (2008), 133–143.
13. W. Lusky, On the structure of $H_{v_0}(D)$ and $h_{v_0}(D)$, *Math. Nachr.* **159** (1992), 279–289.
14. W. Lusky, On weighted spaces of harmonic and holomorphic functions, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **51** (1995), 309–320.
15. B. MacCluer, S. Ohno, and R. Zhao, Topological structure of the space of composition operators on H^∞ , *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **40** (2001), 481–494.
16. A. Montes-Rodríguez, Weighted composition operators on weighted Banach spaces of analytic functions, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **61** (2000), 872–884.
17. P. Nieminen, Compact differences of composition operators on Bloch and Lipschitz spaces, *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* **7** (2007), 325–344.
18. L.A. Rubel and A.L. Shields, The second duals of certain spaces of analytic functions, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **11** (1970), 276–280.
19. J.H. Shapiro, *Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
20. A.L. Shields and D.L. Williams, Bounded projections, duality, and multipliers in spaces of harmonic functions, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **299/300** (1978), 256–279.
21. A.L. Shields and D.L. Williams, Bounded projections and the growth of harmonic conjugates in the disc, *Michigan Math. J.* **29** (1982), 3–25.