Effects of migrations on the nestedness structure of bird assemblages in cays of the Jardines de la Reina archipelago, Cuba

A. García–Quintas & A. Parada Isada

García–Quintas, A. & Parada Isada, A., 2014. Effects of migrations on the nestedness structure of bird assemblages in cays of the Jardines de la Reina archipelago, Cuba. *Animal Biodiversity and Conservation*, 37.2: 127–139.

Abstract

Effects of migrations on the nestedness structure of bird assemblages in cays of the Jardines de la Reina archipelago, Cuba.— The nested subset hypothesis states that species in fragmented, less species–rich biotas are non–random subsets of those inhabiting richer sites. The effect of migration on these models has not been yet fully addressed. We compared the phenological stages of the community during the spring and fall migrations. Presence–absence data of bird species occurring at 43 cays of the Jardines de la Reina archipelago was compiled and two incidence matrices were built for fall and spring periods. The degree of nestedness was estimated based on the overlap and decreasing fill, and its significance was assessed by means of 1,000 replicates of four null models. Bird assemblages showed a higher number of species during fall (67) than they did in spring (51). They also showed a significant and stable pattern of nestedness, although this was slightly higher in spring. Seasonal fluctuations caused by migratory movements thus barely affected the nested structure of bird assemblages.

Key words: Community organization, Nested subset, Fragmented biota, Selective extinction, Differential colonization, Null model

Resumen

Efecto de las migraciones sobre la estructura de anidamiento de los ensamblajes de aves en los cayos del archipiélago de los Jardines de la Reina, Cuba.— La hipótesis del subgrupo anidado plantea que, en biotas fragmentadas, las especies de los sitios empobrecidos constituyen subconjuntos no aleatorios de las especies de los sitios con mayor riqueza. El efecto de las migraciones sobre estos modelos aún no ha sido abordado plenamente. Se compararon los estados fenológicos de la comunidad durante las migraciones primaveral y otoñal. Se recogieron datos sobre las presencias y ausencias de las especies de aves en 43 cayos del archipiélago de los Jardines de la Reina. Luego se construyeron dos matrices de incidencia para los periodos otoñal y primaveral. El grado de anidamiento de las matrices se calculó mediante el índice de anidamiento basado en el relleno superpuesto y decreciente, y se evaluó su significación mediante 1.000 réplicas de cuatro modelos nulos. Los ensamblajes de aves presentaron un mayor número de especies en el periodo otoñal (67) que en el primaveral (51). También manifestaron un modelo de anidamiento significativo y estable, que fue ligeramente mayor durante el periodo primaveral. Así, las fluctuaciones estacionales debidas a los movimientos migratorios prácticamente no alteraron la estructura anidada de los ensamblajes de aves.

Palabras claves: Organización comunitaria, Subgrupo anidado, Biota fragmentada, Extinción selectiva, Colonización diferencial, Modelo nulo

Received: 26 III 14; Conditional acceptance: 25 IV 14; Final acceptance: 12 IX 14

Antonio García–Quintas & Alain Parada Isada, Centro de Investigaciones de Ecosistemas Costeros (CIEC), Cayo Coco, Ciego de Ávila, 69400 Cuba.

Corresponding author: Antonio García Quintas. E-mail: antonio@ciec.fica.inf.cu

Introduction

One of the best studied and most controversial subjects within the ecological context is how communities are assembled (Patterson, 1990; Gotelli & McCabe, 2002; Bloch et al., 2007) because the fundamental disjunctive question regarding this topic is based on whether such structuring is deterministically or stochastically originated. Diamond's assembly rules, published in 1975, were supported by the idea that interspecific competition was the basic causative factor shaping community structure. These rules are still considered to be among the most remarkable assumptions explaining the natural organization of communities but their validity has been subjected to much discussion during the past quarter of the last century (Gotelli & McCabe, 2002). The hypothesis of the nested subgroup (Patterson & Atmar, 1986) stands out among the most widely known rules (Rohde et al., 1998; Bloch et al., 2007) as no causal factors are assumed a priori. The remaining assembly rules, despite being based on varying criteria, assume that interspecific competition is the crucial factor for the structuring of natural communities. In this regard, analysis of the nested subgroup provides methodological advantages for studies on community organization over many other approaches.

The core of the nested subgroup hypothesis rests on the fact that communities exhibit a nested structure if poor species assemblages are non-random subgroups of those with greater species richness (Rohde et al., 1998; Fernández–Juricic, 2000; Bloch et al., 2007). This issue is closely related to studies on fragmented or isolated biotas such as islands, mountaintops, parasite hosts, isolated forests, and caves. In all cases, if structure of communities or assemblages is described by a nested model, this differs significantly from any randomly generated organization.

The nestedness of species assemblage could be generated by one or many factors depending on the taxonomic group and main features of the study area. Basic factors promoting nested structures are selective extinction and differential colonization of species (i.e. Patterson & Atmar, 1986; Patterson, 1990). Selective extinction induces species loss within ecosystems, forming a predictable sequence without replacements by nearby colonizers (species relaxation). This may provoke non-random losses because species requiring large minimum areas or those forming small populations face high risks of extinction. The origin of nestedness caused by differential colonization was based on the idea that the dispersal capability of differential species leads to the occupation of a larger number of sites by stronger dispersers. Further research has since revealed that other factors may influence nestedness patterns (e.g. Calmé & Desrochers, 1999; Ulrich et al., 2009), such as passive sampling, habitat nestedness, disturbances, fragmentation, and age and superficial extension of fragments.

Differential colonization of species shows great potential to promote such structural patterns among the causative factors of nestedness. However, its influence has only been studied taking into account the consequences of the species permanently occupying the sites (immigration) (e.g. Cook & Quinn, 1995; Rohde et al., 1998). For instance, birds' annual migrations —which may be described as temporal selective colonizations since each species follows its own migratory pathway and determines its wintering ground— await further scientific scrutiny. Such phenological events are known to bring about remarkable annual compositional changes in tropical bird communities within the Caribbean, depending on the migratory movements in question.

Many authors (e.g. Cook & Quinn, 1995; Rohde et al., 1998; Calmé & Desroches, 1999; Fernández– Juricic, 2000; Bloch et al., 2007) have referred to the differential or selective colonization as one of the main forces generating nestedness patterns within the species' natural assemblages. This may imply that the differential occupancy experienced by many migratory bird species in several archipelagos during each season should increase the degree of nestedness on the assemblages of which they temporarily form part. On the contrary, Patterson & Atmar (1986), Patterson (1990), and Calmé & Desrochers (1999) disregard the role of colonization as a critical process to unfolding nested structures in species' assemblages.

This scientific paradox can be assessed in the bird communities of the Jardines de la Reina archipelago, off the southern coast of Cuba because the area is a critical site for residence and transit of migrant bird species (Parada & García-Quintas, 2012). One tentative hypothesis to the aforementioned contradiction is that bird assemblages inhabiting the Jardines de la Reina archipelago have a stable nested pattern under the influence of the many migratory species. If such an assertion is true, then annual fluctuations in the composition of bird species in the Jardines de la Reina archipelago do not affect the nestedness degree of assemblages. To test this hypothesis, the bird assemblages of the Jardines de la Reina archipelago should be assessed and compared in different phenological stages, that is to say, during the periods of highest turnover rates when the influx of neotropical migrants from northern latitudes in September-October and of migrants from southern latitudes in March-April take place on an annual basis.

Materials and methods

Study area

The present study was conducted in 43 cays of the Jardines de la Reina archipelago which stretches along the southern coast of Cuba from the Ancon peninsula (Sancti Spíritus province) to Cabo Cruz (Granma province) and comprises numerous islets, shoals and reefs. Three main insular groups can be distinguished: the Ana María cays, the central cays of the gulf of Ana María, and the Doce Leguas cays (fig. 1).

Terrestrial landscapes tend to have a relatively small area (table 1), early geological evolution, and high ecological fragility due to exposure to extreme physical–geographic conditions (*i.e.* strong winds and tidal waves, high salinization and evaporation rates,



Fig. 1. Study area where the seasonality effect of the avian composition on the structure of bird assemblages in 43 cays of the Jardines de la Reina archipelago, Cuba, was evaluated. A. Ana María cays, B. Central cays of the gulf of Ana María; C. Doce Leguas cays.

Fig. 1. Área de estudio donde se evaluó la influencia de la estacionalidad de la composición de especies sobre la estructura de anidamiento de los ensamblajes de aves en 43 cayos del archipiélago de los Jardines de la Reina, Cuba: A. Cayos de Ana María; B. Cayos del centro del golfo de Ana María; C. Cayos de las Doce Leguas.

seasonal droughts, and intense hydromorphism). The main vegetation forms are mangrove forests, xeromorphic scrub, and sandy and rocky shoreline vegetation, which are best represented in the cays of Doce Leguas (larger and older cays). Lower levels of floral diversity can be found in the cays along the Ana María gulf, where mangrove forests are the prevailing vegetation and may even cover all the emerged land in the Bergantines, Cuervo, Cayuelo and Balandras cays. Some shallow lagoons are also a notable feature in the terrestrial landscapes of many of these cays.

Data source and filtering on the species presenceabsence

Presence–absence data was obtained from two different sources. First, we carried out a thorough search encompassing most of the available researches on the study site and extracted many inventory lists. This

insular region has been little surveyed and its avifauna is broadly considered among the least studied within the Cuban archipelago. Therefore, most information on the species occurrence was restricted to a few compilation works such as Garrido & García (1975), Buden & Olson (1989), Parada et al. (2012), and Parada & García–Quintas (2012). Second, three surveys conducted in the Caguama (March, July, 2012), and Grande and Caguama (October, 2012) cays were also included to enlarge the final dataset for further analyses. On the March and July field expeditions, visual counts were used to detect bird species inhabiting main vegetation types in Caguama cay. In October, data from visual counts conducted along the south coastline of Grande and Caguama cays during early mornings along with a mist-netting protocol described by Parada et al. (2012) were also used.

Inventory lists per cay were then put together and species exclusively exploiting the ecological resources

Table 1. Main features of the 43 sampled cays of Jardines de la Reina archipelago, Cuba: AMC. Ana María cays; CCG. Central cays of the gulf of Ana María; DLC. Doce Leguas cays; * Information obtained through a classified Landsat image; ** Information gathered from field censuses and specialists' personal communications.

Tabla 1. Características principales de los 43 cayos muestreados del archipiélago de los Jardines de la Reina, Cuba: AMC. Cayos de Ana María; CCG. Cayos del centro del golfo de Ana María; DLC. Cayos de las Doce Leguas; * Información obtenida mediante una imagen Landsat clasificada; ** Información obtenida a través de los censos de aves y por comunicaciones personales de especialistas.

| | Insular | Area* | Perimeter* | Number of birds |
|-----------------------------|----------|-------|------------|-----------------|
| Cays | subgroup | (km²) | (km²) | per habitat** |
| Cayuelo | AMC | 0.02 | 0.72 | 1 |
| Obispito | AMC | 0.03 | 0.84 | 4 |
| Quitasol | AMC | 0.05 | 1.02 | 2 |
| La Loma | AMC | 0.06 | 1.50 | 2 |
| Obispo | AMC | 0.09 | 2.76 | 4 |
| Guinea | AMC | 0.13 | 1.92 | 4 |
| La Tea | AMC | 0.17 | 2.46 | 2 |
| Caoba | AMC | 0.26 | 3.84 | 3 |
| Flamenco | AMC | 0.84 | 7.50 | 4 |
| Cana | AMC | 0.91 | 11.34 | 5 |
| Arenas | AMC | 0.97 | 9.84 | 4 |
| Tío Joaquín | AMC | 1.21 | 11.07 | 5 |
| Providencia | AMC | 1.29 | 13.38 | 5 |
| Guásimas | AMC | 1.59 | 8.70 | 4 |
| Balandras | AMC | 1.62 | 15.36 | 2 |
| Punta de Los Machos | AMC | 2.14 | 26.61 | 3 |
| Cargado | CCG | 0.15 | 3.48 | 4 |
| Bergantines | CCG | 0.22 | 4.20 | 4 |
| Palomo | CCG | 0.28 | 6.84 | 4 |
| Santa María | CCG | 0.29 | 3.12 | 4 |
| Algodoncito | CCG | 0.77 | 5.82 | 4 |
| Manuel Gómez | CCG | 2.11 | 34.80 | 4 |
| Cuervo | CCG | 2.16 | 35.28 | 5 |
| Algodón Grande | CCG | 3.64 | 32.70 | 6 |
| Boca Rica | DLC | 0.36 | 6.96 | 2 |
| Largo | DLC | 0.48 | 7.32 | 3 |
| Juan Grin | DLC | 0.63 | 16.74 | 3 |
| Camposanto | DLC | 0.82 | 6.42 | 4 |
| Alcatracito | DLC | 1.34 | 11.04 | 4 |
| Boca de la Piedra de Piloto | DLC | 1.52 | 19.14 | 4 |
| Piedra Grande | DLC | 1.53 | 16.51 | 5 |
| Boca Seca | DLC | 1.76 | 30.24 | 2 |
| Alcatraz | DLC | 1.84 | 16.38 | 4 |
| Cachiboca | DLC | 2.44 | 57.00 | 6 |
| Boca Piedra Chiquita | DLC | 2.88 | 11.28 | 5 |
| Las Cruces | DLC | 3.64 | 55.37 | 4 |

Table 1. (Cont.)

| Cays | Insular subgroup | Area* (km²) | Perimeter* (km²) | Number of birds per habitat** |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|
| Cabeza del Este | DLC | 6.82 | 94.44 | 5 |
| Bretón | DLC | 7.51 | 71.46 | 4 |
| Caguama | DLC | 7.66 | 87.42 | 6 |
| Anclitas | DLC | 9.06 | 158.64 | 6 |
| Grande | DLC | 24.29 | 193.17 | 5 |
| Caballones | DLC | 33.52 | 73.68 | 5 |
| Cinco Balas | DLC | 43.56 | 151.20 | 3 |

from coastal waters were removed as were all those without any explicit reference of their locality name when first reported. These two simple steps increased the reliability of checklists reliability and avoided associated biases when the numerical analyses were run. The migratory status of bird species occurring in the Jardines de la Reina archipelago was categorized into four main groups: permanent resident (PR), winter resident (WR), summer resident (SR) or transient (Tr), following the criteria of Garrido & Kirkconnell (2011) and those of knowledgeable researchers on the study area avifauna.

Analysis

Transients were excluded from further analyses as they occur at low numbers and exploit ecological niches over only a few days while migrating; the structuring of communities is therefore unlikely to be significantly affected by them. Two matrices containing the remainder of the bird species were then built in order to represent each phenological phase: fall (PR + WR) and spring (PR + SR) migrations. Within each matrix, entries indicated the presence (1) or absence (0) of a species at a site. Typically, matrices were ordered according to the marginal row and column sums. Common species were placed in the upper rows, and species–rich sites placed in the left–hand columns.

Nestedness was calculated by means of two indexes: matrix temperature (T) (Atmar & Patterson, 1993) and a nestedness metric based on overlap and decreasing fill (NODF) (Almeida–Neto et al., 2008) by running the software ANINHADO 3.0.3 (Guimarães & Guimarães, 2006). The former index was solely calculated to allow comparisons with many earlier works on communities' nestedness owing to its vast usage in the specialized literature. The T index values were relativized using the Lomolino (1996) formula to calculate the percentage of perfect nestedness (PN). Nested presence–absence matrices were visualized using the nestedness temperature calculator (Atmar & Patterson, 1995). Four null models (Er, Ce, Co and Li) provided by the software ANINHADO 3.0.3 were used to assess whether the bird assemblages were nested or randomly structured by generating 1,000 iterations for each one. The calculation of PN was only evaluated using the Er null model. All the randomization algorithms of the null models followed the following rules: (1) Er, presences are randomly assigned to any cell within the matrix; (2) Ce, probability of a cell a_{ij} show a presence is the average of the probabilities of occupancy of its row and column (equation 1); (3) Co, presences are randomly assigned within the columns; and (4) Li, presences are randomly assigned within the rows:

$$[(P_i / C) + (P_i / R)] / 2$$
 (equation 1)

where Pi is the number of presences in the i row, Pj is the number of presences in the j column, and C and R are the number of columns and rows, respectively.

Statistical tools were used as null models to compare the degree of nestedness between the spring and fall migrations. To do this, the difference between the values of NODF in the two seasonal stages was calculated (size effect). Afterwards, an overall presence-absence matrix which comprised all the species contained in the two former matrices and all the sampled cays was built up. This matrix was then organized following the general requirements to unfold the nestedness analysis, and randomized twice using the four null models provided by the software ANINHADO 3.0.3. The difference between the simulated values of the NODF generated by each null model was calculated per pairs of iterations/replicates. The statistical significance of the observed difference (size effect) was calculated in terms of its associated probability from the differences simulated by the four null models. To calculate the descriptive statistics of the values generated by the null models, the software Statistica 8.0 (StatSoft, 2007) was used and significance level was set at p < 0.1.

Results

Avifauna in the study area

The regional avifauna was made up of 120 species, although Phoenicopterus ruber (Greater Flamingo), Anas acuta (Northern Pintail), Tringa solitaria (Solitary Sandpiper), Antrostomus carolinensis (Chuck-will'swidow) and Vermivora chrysoptera (Golden-winged Warbler) were excluded as their locality names where they were first recorded are unknown (table 2). We also excluded Fregata magnificens (Magnificent Frigatebird) as reports of this species were mostly based on individuals in flight exploiting several aerial strata along vast areas, including the surrounding waters. Permanent residents accounted for 37.4% of the species whereas transients were represented by 38 species for the archipelago as a whole. Summer and winter residents were represented by nine and 25 species, respectively (table 2).

Patterns of nestedness

Assemblages were made up by 67 and 51 species during the fall and spring migrations, respectively, and matrices of organized data showed filling values of 28.36% (fall) and 33.01% (spring). The degree of nestedness in spring was greater than in fall (fig. 2), though bird assemblages exhibited patterns of nested structures in both seasons since their NODF values showed significant differences with regard to the simulated values generated by the four null models (table 3).

Comparison between the degrees of nestedness during the two seasonal periods yielded a difference of 1.95. This was not significant if compared to the simulated differences generated by most null models (table 4). The seasonal migrations did not therefore promote any major changes in the degree of nestedness of bird assemblages.

Discussion

Research analyzing the compliance of species' assembly rules can enrich our knowledge of community ecology and help in the planning and implementation of management and conservation efforts. Pianka (1999) and Bloch et al. (2007) stated that nestedness patterns on the community structure provide useful solid grounds for the designing and planning of fragmented protected areas, ecosystem management and meta–communities studies.

Our findings provide additional clues to the growing body of evidence pointing to nestedness as a ubiquitous phenomenon underlying community structure in fragmented biotas. Many authors (*e.g.* Patterson, 1990; Calmé & Desrochers, 1999; Fernández–Juricic, 2000) have found nested structures in numerous bird assemblages, and Méndez (2004) has referred to birds as one of most widely used taxonomic entities in studies focused on community structure. In the study site, a higher degree of in spring could be explained by the lower number of coexisting species and the ecological relationships among them. Accordingly, nestedness could be produced by the ecological differences among species (Azeria & Kolasa, 2008).

A smaller number of species occurring during spring may have favored many processes, such as spatial segregation, habitat selection and territoriality which are especially remarkable during the breeding season, as in the case of most permanent and summer resident landbirds. These behavioral patterns may promote the species segregation between cays. Thus, the habitat quality, resources availability and intra- and inter-specific hierarchical organizations may have played crucial roles in the differential occupation of species in the cays. For instance, small fragments usually lack sufficient resources to permanently support populations of fruit-eating species (Feeley et al., 2007), causing these birds to move towards larger cays with higher food availability. The numbers of nectarivore (Chlorostilbon ricordii only) and frugivore (Spindalis zena not currently reported) species in the Jardines de la Reina, for example, is extremely low as they rely on food items that have marked spatial and temporal availability. These species tend to be patchily distributed and may face higher risks of extinction.

Lastly, the increase in nestedness of bird assemblages during spring may be due to the fact that the study site is inhabited by a lower overall number of species, made up only of the breeding populations of summer and permanent residents. In turn, these populations tend to be relatively large and widely distributed across the archipelago's mangroves and coastal ponds. Aggressive displays by large breeding colonies of cormorants, egrets, pelicans, terns and gulls, and also the presence of Buteogallus gundlachii (Cuban Black Hawk) and Tyrannus caudifasciatus (Loggerhead Kingbird), which do not breed colonially, may force other birds to shift to cays capable of supporting higher numbers, possibly increasing the degree of nestedness during this period. Likewise, when a larger set of species coexist during fall, the degree of nestedness could decrease given the possible increase in competitive interactions among species, as suggested by Albrecht & Gotelli (2001). Nonetheless, Bloch et al. (2007) pointed out that competitive exclusion reduces the nestedness by preventing the coexistence of species that could otherwise could share the same habitats and resources. On this point, Méndez (2004) believes that nested structures may be ambiguously influenced by the interspecific competition.

In addition, species segregation caused by the ecological dominance among species may lead to weak species being displaced towards resource–poorer habitats (Mac Nally & Timewell, 2005). The density of generalist species may have strong effects on the dynamics of local communities, and therefore the suitability of species assemblages is differentially modulated (Azeria & Kolasa, 2008). Extreme environmental conditions, or the introduction of exotic or invasive species (strong competitors), for example, may have more profound effects on specialist species than those exploiting a much broader range

Table 2. Bird species reported in 43 cays of the Jardines de la Reina archipelago, Cuba: Tr. Transient; PR. Permanent resident; WR. Winter resident; SR. Summer resident; * Number of cays where each species was reported.

Tabla 2. Especies de aves registradas en los 43 cayos del archipiélago de los Jardines de la Reina, Cuba: Tr. Transeúnte; PR. Residente permanente; WR. Residente invernal; SR. Residente veraniego; * Número de cayos en los que fue registrada cada especie.

| Species | Common name | Permanence status | Cays* |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------|
| Anas discors | Blue-winged Teal | Tr | 2 |
| Mergus serrator | Red-breasted Merganser | Tr | 1 |
| Fregata magnificens | Magnificent Frigatebird | PR | 32 |
| Sula leucogaster | Brown Booby | PR | 1 |
| Phalacrocorax auritus | Double-crested Cormorant | PR | 30 |
| Anhinga anhinga | Anhinga | PR | 12 |
| Pelecanus occidentalis | Brown Pelican | PR | 22 |
| Ardea herodias | Great Blue Heron | PR | 32 |
| Ardea alba | Great Egret | PR | 19 |
| Egretta thula | Snowy Egret | PR | 7 |
| Egretta caerulea | Little Blue Heron | PR | 13 |
| Egretta rufescens | Reddish Egret | PR | 19 |
| Egretta tricolor | Tricoloured Heron | PR | 17 |
| Bubulcus ibis | Cattle Egret | Tr | 6 |
| Butorides virescens | Green Heron | PR | 20 |
| Nyctanassa violacea | Yellow-crowned Night-heron | PR | 5 |
| Eudocimus albus | White Ibis | PR | 14 |
| Platalea ajaja | Roseate Spoonbill | PR | 10 |
| Cathartes aura | Turkey Vulture | PR | 18 |
| Pandion haliaetus | Osprey | PR | 23 |
| Buteogallus gundlachii | Cuban Black Hawk | PR | 14 |
| Buteo jamaicensis | Red-tailed Hawk | Tr | 2 |
| Falco peregrinus | Peregrine Falcon | Tr | 4 |
| Falco columbarius | Merlin | Tr | 6 |
| Rallus longirostris | Clapper Rail | PR | 13 |
| Pluvialis squatarola | Grey Plover | WR | 10 |
| Charadrius wilsonia | Wilson's Plover | SR | 27 |
| Charadrius semipalmatus | Semipalmated Plover | WR | 3 |
| Charadrius vociferus | Killdeer | PR | 5 |
| Himantopus mexicanus | Black-necked Stilt | PR | 5 |
| Actitis macularius | Spotted Sandpiper | WR | 11 |
| Tringa melanoleuca | Greater Yellowlegs | WR | 3 |
| Tringa semipalmata | Willet | PR | 5 |
| Numenius phaeopus | Whimbrel | Tr | 1 |
| Arenaria interpres | Ruddy Turnstone | WR | 19 |
| Calidris minutilla | Least Sandpiper | WR | 10 |

Table 2. (Cont.)

| Calidris mauri Western Sandpiper Tr 3 Limnodromus griseus Short-billed Dowitcher Tr 1 Leucophaeus atricilla Laughing Gull PR 13 Sternula antillarum Least Tern SR 7 Thalasseus maximus Royal Tern PR 27 Hydroprogne caspia Caspian Tern WR 4 Thalasseus sandvicensis Sandwich Tern SR 15 Geotrygon montana Ruddy Quail-dove Tr 1 Patagioenas squamosa Scaly-naped Pigeon PR 3 Patagioenas squamosa Scaly-naped Pigeon PR 23 Zenaida asiatica White-winged Dove PR 29 Zenaida aurita Zenaida Dove PR 19 Columbina passerina Common Ground-dove PR 19 Columbina passerina Common Ground-dove PR 1 Crotophaga ani Smooth-billed Cuckoo SR 5 Coccyzus americanus Yellow-billed Ani PR 4 Chotopatiani Antillean Nighthawk Tr 1 | Species | Common name | Permanence status | Cays* |
|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|-------|
| Limnodromus griseus Short-billed Dowitcher Tr 1 Leucophaeus atricilla Laughing Gull PR 13 Sternula antillarum Least Tern SR 7 Thalasseus maximus Royal Tern PR 27 Thalasseus maximus Royal Tern WR 4 Thalasseus sandvicensis Sandwich Tern SR 15 Geotrygon montana Ruddy Quali-dove Tr 1 Patagioenas leucocephala Whitevinged Dove PR 27 Zenaida asiatica White-winged Dove PR 23 Zenaida aurita Zenaida Dove PR 19 Columbina passerina Common Ground-dove PR 4 Colyas americanus Yellow-billed Cuckoo SR 5 Coccyzus americanus Yellow-billed Ani PR 4 Tyto alba Barn Owl Tr 1 Chordelies gundlachti Antillean Nighthawk SR 18 Chlorostilbon ricordii Cuban Emerald PR 18 Megaceryle alcyon Belted Kingfisher WR 12 <td>Calidris mauri</td> <td>Western Sandpiper</td> <td>Tr</td> <td>3</td> | Calidris mauri | Western Sandpiper | Tr | 3 |
| Leucophaeus atricillaLaughing GullPR13Sternula antillarumLeast TernSR7Thalasseus maximusRoyal TernPR27Hydroprogne caspiaCaspian TernWR4Thalasseus sandvicensisSandwich TernSR15Geotrygon montanaRuddy Quail-doveTr1Patagioenas squamosaScaly-naped PigeonPR3Patagioenas leucocephalaWhite-crowned PigeonPR27Zenaida asiaticaWhite-winged DovePR2Zenaida asiaticaWhite-winged DovePR19Columbina passerinaCommon Ground-dovePR4Coccyzus americanusYellow-billed CuckooSR5Coccyzus americanusYellow-billed AniPR4Crotophaga aniSmooth-billed AniPR4Chordeiles gundlachiiAntillean NighthawkTr1Chordeiles gundlachiiAntillean NighthawkSR18Chiordeiles gundlachiiAntillean NighthawkSR18Chordopus virensEastern WoodpeckerPR8Contopus variusYellow-bellied SapsuckerTr1Mylarohus agraveLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR14Myranus dominicensisGrey KingbirdSR22Sphyrapicus variusWellow-belled SapsuckerTr1Mylarohus agraveLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR14Mylarohus agraveLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR14Mylaroh | Limnodromus griseus | Short-billed Dowitcher | Tr | 1 |
| Sternula antiliarum Least Tern SR 7 Thalasseus maximus Royal Tern PR 27 Hydroprogne caspia Caspian Tern WR 4 Thalasseus sandvicensis Sandwich Tern SR 15 Geotrygon montana Ruddy Quail-dove Tr 1 Patagioenas squamosa Scaly-naped Pigeon PR 3 Patagioenas leucocephala White-crowned Pigeon PR 27 Zenaida asiatica White-winged Dove PR 29 Zenaida asiatica White-winged Dove PR 19 Columbina passerina Common Ground-dove PR 4 Coccyzus americanus Yellow-billed Cuckoo SR 5 Coccyzus minor Mangrove Cuckoo PR 1 Crotophaga ani Smooth-billed Ani PR 4 Yoto alba Barn Owl Tr 1 Chordeiles minor Common Nighthawk Tr 1 Chordeiles minor Common Nighthawk SR 18 Chlorostilbon ricordii Cuban Emerald PR 18 Megaceryle alcyon Belted Kingfisher WR 12 Sphrapicus varius Yellow-belded Sapsucker Tr 4 | Leucophaeus atricilla | Laughing Gull | PR | 13 |
| Thalasseus maximus Royal Tern PR 27 Hydroprogne caspia Caspian Tern WR 4 Thalasseus sandvicensis Sandwich Tern SR 15 Geotrygon montana Ruddy Quail-dove Tr 1 Patagioenas squamosa Scaly-naped Pigeon PR 3 Patagioenas leucocephala White-crowned Pigeon PR 27 Zenaida asiatica White-winged Dove PR 23 Zenaida aurita Zenaida Dove PR 29 Zenaida macroura Mourning Dove PR 19 Columbina passerina Common Ground-dove PR 4 Coccyzus americanus Yellow-billed Cuckoo SR 5 Coccyzus minor Mangrove Cuckoo PR 1 Crotophaga ani Smooth-billed Ani PR 4 Tyto alba Barn Owl Tr 1 Chordeiles gundlachii Antillean Nighthawk SR 18 Chiorostilbon ricordii Cuban Emerald PR 18 Megaceryle alcyon Belted Kingfisher WR 12 Sphyrapicus varius Yellow-bellied Sapsucker Tr 4 Xiphidiopicus percussus Cuban Green Woodpecker PR <t< td=""><td>Sternula antillarum</td><td>Least Tern</td><td>SR</td><td>7</td></t<> | Sternula antillarum | Least Tern | SR | 7 |
| Hydroprogne caspiaCaspian TernWR4Thalasseus sandvicensisSandwich TernSR15Geotrygon montanaRuddy Quail-doveTr1Patagioenas squamosaScaly-naped PigeonPR3Patagioenas leucocephalaWhite-crowned PigeonPR27Zenaida asiaticaWhite-winged DovePR23Zenaida auritaZenaida DovePR23Zenaida auritaZenaida DovePR21Zenaida macrouraMourning DovePR19Columbina passerinaCommon Ground-dovePR4Coccyzus americanusYellow-billed CuckooSR5Coccyzus minorMangrove CuckooPR1Crotophaga aniSmooth-billed AniPR4Tyto albaBarn OwlTr1Chordelles minorCommon NighthawkTr1Chordelles gundlachiiAntillean NighthawkSR18Chlorostilbon ricordiiCuban EmeraldPR18Megaceryle alcyonBelted KingfisherWR12Sphyrapicus variusYellow-bellied SapsuckerTr4Xiphidiopicus percussusCuban Green WoodpeckerPR8Contopus virensEastern Wood-peweeTr1Myiarchus sagraeLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR14Tyranus caudifasciatusLoggerhead KingbirdPR2Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoTr33Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed Vireo <td>Thalasseus maximus</td> <td>Royal Tern</td> <td>PR</td> <td>27</td> | Thalasseus maximus | Royal Tern | PR | 27 |
| Thalasseus sandvicensisSandwich TernSR15Geotrygon montanaRuddy Quail-doveTr1Patagioenas squamosaScaly-naped PigeonPR3Patagioenas leucocephalaWhite-crowned PigeonPR27Zenaida asiaticaWhite-winged DovePR23Zenaida asiaticaWhite-winged DovePR2Zenaida auritaZenaida DovePR2Zenaida macrouraMourning DovePR19Columbina passerinaCommon Ground-dovePR4Coccyzus americanusYellow-billed CuckooSR5Coccyzus minorMangrove CuckooPR1Crotophaga aniSmooth-billed AniPR4Tyto albaBarn OwlTr1Chordelies minorCommon NighthawkTr1Chordelies gundlachiiAntillean NighthawkSR18Chlorostilbon ricordiiCuban EmeraldPR18Megaceryle alcyonBelted KingfisherWR12Sphyrapicus variusYellow-bellied SapsuckerTr1Myiarchus sagraeLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR14Tyrannus dominicensisGrey KingbirdSR22Tyrannus caudifasciatusLoggerhead KingbirdPR21Vireo divaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Vireo divaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Vireo divaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Vireo divaceusRed-eyed VireoSR20 <td>Hydroprogne caspia</td> <td>Caspian Tern</td> <td>WR</td> <td>4</td> | Hydroprogne caspia | Caspian Tern | WR | 4 |
| Geotrygon montanaRuddy Quail-doveTr1Patagioenas squamosaScaly-naped PigeonPR3Patagioenas leucocephalaWhite-crowned PigeonPR27Zenaida asiaticaWhite-winged DovePR23Zenaida auritaZenaida DovePR29Zenaida auritaZenaida DovePR19Columbina passerinaCommon Ground-dovePR4Coccyzus americanusYellow-billed CuckooSR5Coccyzus americanusYellow-billed CuckooPR1Crotophaga aniSmooth-billed AniPR4Tyto albaBarn OwlTr1Chordeiles minorCommon NighthawkTr1Chordeiles gundlachiiAntillean NighthawkSR18Chlorostilbon ricordiiCuban EmeraldPR18Megaceryle alcyonBelted KingfisherWR12Sphyrapicus variusYellow-bellied SapsuckerTr4Xiphidiopicus percussusCuban Green WoodpeckerPR19Contopus virensEastern Wood-peweeTr1Myiarchus sagraeLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR14Tyrannus dominicensisGrey KingbirdSR22Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Outopus virensBlack-whiskered VireoSR5Hirundo rusticaBarn SwallowTr <td>Thalasseus sandvicensis</td> <td>Sandwich Tern</td> <td>SR</td> <td>15</td> | Thalasseus sandvicensis | Sandwich Tern | SR | 15 |
| Patagioenas squamosaScaly-naped PigeonPR3Patagioenas leucocephalaWhite-crowned PigeonPR27Zenaida asiaticaWhite-winged DovePR23Zenaida auritaZenaida DovePR2Zenaida auritaZenaida DovePR19Columbina passerinaCommon Ground-dovePR4Coccyzus americanusYellow-billed CuckooSR5Coccyzus americanusYellow-billed CuckooPR1Crotophaga aniSmooth-billed AniPR4Tyto albaBarn OwlTr1Chordeiles minorCommon NighthawkTr1Chordeiles gundlachiiAntillean NighthawkSR18Chlorostilbon ricordiiCuban EmeraldPR18Megaceryle alcyonBelted KingfisherWR12Sphyrapicus variusYellow-bellied SapsuckerTr1Myiarchus sagraeLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR14Tyrannus dominicensisGrey KingbirdSR22Tyrannus caudifasciatusLoggerhead KingbirdPR21Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Vireo alidoguusBlack-whiskered VireoSR5Hirundo rusticaBarn SwallowTr12Cothaus sagraeLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR14Tyrannus caudifasciatusLoggerhead KingbirdPR21Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed Vireo <td>Geotrygon montana</td> <td>Ruddy Quail–dove</td> <td>Tr</td> <td>1</td> | Geotrygon montana | Ruddy Quail–dove | Tr | 1 |
| Patagioenas leucocephalaWhite-crowned PigeonPR27Zenaida asiaticaWhite-winged DovePR23Zenaida auritaZenaida DovePR2Zenaida macrouraMourning DovePR19Columbina passerinaCommon Ground-dovePR4Coccyzus americanusYellow-billed CuckooSR5Coccyzus americanusYellow-billed CuckooPR1Crotophaga aniSmooth-billed AniPR4Tyto albaBarn OwlTr1Chordeiles minorCommon NighthawkTr1Chordeiles gundlachiiAntillean NighthawkSR18Chlorostilbon ricordiiCuban EmeraldPR18Megaceryle alcyonBelted KingfisherWR12Sphyrapicus variusYellow-bellied SapsuckerTr1Myiarchus sagraeLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR14Tyrannus dominicensisGrey KingbirdSR22Tyrannus dominicensisGrey KingbirdPR21Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoSR20Progne cryptoleucaCuban MartinSR6Petrochelidon fulvaCave SwallowSR5Hirundo rusticaBar SwallowTr12Cottopus viresBlack-whiskered VireoSR20Progne cryptoleucaCuban MartinSR6Petrochelidon fulvaCave SwallowTr12< | Patagioenas squamosa | Scaly-naped Pigeon | PR | 3 |
| Zenaida asiaticaWhite-winged DovePR23Zenaida auritaZenaida DovePR2Zenaida macrouraMourning DovePR19Columbina passerinaCommon Ground-dovePR4Coccyzus americanusYellow-billed CuckooSR5Coccyzus minorMangrove CuckooPR1Crotophaga aniSmooth-billed AniPR4Tyto albaBarn OwlTr1Chordeiles minorCommon NighthawkTr1Chordeiles minorCommon NighthawkTr1Chordeiles gundlachiiAntillean NighthawkSR18Chlorostilbon ricordiiCuban EmeraldPR18Megaceryle alcyonBelted KingfisherWR12Sphyrapicus variusYellow-bellied SapsuckerTr1Myiarchus sagraeLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR19Contopus virensEastern Wood-peweeTr1Myiarchus sagraeLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR14Tyrannus dominicensisGrey KingbirdSR22Tyrannus caulifasciatusLoggerhead KingbirdPR21Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoSR5Hirundo rusticaBarn SwallowTr12Catharus minimusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus minimusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus minimusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr12 <td>Patagioenas leucocephala</td> <td>White-crowned Pigeon</td> <td>PR</td> <td>27</td> | Patagioenas leucocephala | White-crowned Pigeon | PR | 27 |
| Zenaida auritaZenaida DovePR2Zenaida macrouraMourning DovePR19Columbina passerinaCommon Ground-dovePR4Coccyzus americanusYellow-billed CuckooSR5Coccyzus minorMangrove CuckooPR1Crotophaga aniSmooth-billed AniPR4Tyto albaBarn OwlTr1Chordeiles minorCommon NighthawkTr1Chordeiles gundlachiiAntillean NighthawkSR18Chlorostilbon ricordiiCuban EmeraldPR18Megaceryle alcyonBelted KingfisherWR12Sphyrapicus variusYellow-bellied SapsuckerTr4Xiphidiopicus percussusCuban Green WoodpeckerPR19Contopus virensEastern Wood-peweeTr1Myiarchus sagraeLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR24Vireo griseusWhite-eyed VireoWR2Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Vireo attiloquusBlack-whiskered VireoSR5Florostilon rulvaCave SwallowSR5Hirundo rusticaBarn SwallowTr12Catharus minimusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr12Contopus virensBlack-whiskered VireoSR20Progne cryptoleucaCuban MartinSR6Petrochelidon fulvaCave SwallowTr12Catharu | Zenaida asiatica | White-winged Dove | PR | 23 |
| Zenaida macrouraMourning DovePR19Columbina passerinaCommon Ground-dovePR4Coccyzus americanusYellow-billed CuckooSR5Coccyzus minorMangrove CuckooPR1Crotophaga aniSmooth-billed AniPR4Tyto albaBarn OwlTr1Chordeiles minorCommon NighthawkTr1Chordeiles gundlachiiAntillean NighthawkSR18Chlorostilbon ricordiiCuban EmeraldPR18Megaceryle alcyonBelted KingfisherWR12Sphyrapicus variusYellow-bellied SapsuckerTr4Xiphidiopicus percussusCuban Green WoodpeckerPR8Contopus caribaeusGreater Antillean PeweePR19Contopus virensEastern Wood-peweeTr1Myiarchus sagraeLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR21Vireo griseusWhite-eyed VireoTr3Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Vireo altiloquusBlack-whiskered VireoSR5Hirundo rusticaBarn SwallowTr12Catharus minimusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Chordeiles carlinensisGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1ChordeilesBarn SwallowTr12Contopus virensEastern Wood-peweeTr1Myiarchus sagraeLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR21 <td>Zenaida aurita</td> <td>Zenaida Dove</td> <td>PR</td> <td>2</td> | Zenaida aurita | Zenaida Dove | PR | 2 |
| Columbina passerinaCommon Ground-dovePR4Coccyzus americanusYellow-billed CuckooSR5Coccyzus minorMangrove CuckooPR1Crotophaga aniSmooth-billed AniPR4Tyto albaBarn OwlTr1Chordeiles minorCommon NighthawkTr1Chordeiles gundlachiiAntillean NighthawkSR18Chlorostilbon ricordiiCuban EmeraldPR18Megaceryle alcyonBelted KingfisherWR12Sphyrapicus variusYellow-bellied SapsuckerTr4Xiphidiopicus percussusCuban Green WoodpeckerPR8Contopus caribaeusGreater Antillean PeweePR19Contopus virensEastern Wood-peweeTr1Myiarchus sagraeLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR14Tyrannus dominicensisGrey KingbirdSR22Tyrannus caudifasciatusLoggerhead KingbirdPR21Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Vireo altiloquusBlack-whiskered VireoSR5Hirundo rusticaBarn SwallowTr12Catharus mininusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Turdus glumbeusRed-legged ThrushPR3Dumetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5 | Zenaida macroura | Mourning Dove | PR | 19 |
| Coccyzus americanusYellow-billed CuckooSR5Coccyzus minorMangrove CuckooPR1Crotophaga aniSmooth-billed AniPR4Tyto albaBarn OwlTr1Chordeiles minorCommon NighthawkTr1Chordeiles gundlachiiAntillean NighthawkSR18Chlorostilbon ricordiiCuban EmeraldPR18Megaceryle alcyonBelted KingfisherWR12Sphyrapicus variusYellow-bellied SapsuckerTr4Xiphidiopicus percussusCuban Green WoodpeckerPR8Contopus caribaeusGreater Antillean PeweePR19Contopus virensEastern Wood-peweeTr1Myiarchus sagraeLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR14Tyrannus caudifasciatusLoggerhead KingbirdSR22Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Vireo altiloquusBlack-whiskered VireoSR5Hirundo rusticaBarn SwallowTr12Catharus mininusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Custas fuscescensVeeryTr1Chrous splumbeusRed-legged ThrushPR3Durnetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5 | Columbina passerina | Common Ground–dove | PR | 4 |
| Coccyzus minorMangrove CuckooPR1Crotophaga aniSmooth-billed AniPR4Tyto albaBarn OwlTr1Chordeiles minorCommon NighthawkTr1Chordeiles gundlachiiAntillean NighthawkSR18Chlorostilbon ricordiiCuban EmeraldPR18Megaceryle alcyonBelted KingfisherWR12Sphyrapicus variusYellow-bellied SapsuckerTr4Xiphidiopicus percussusCuban Green WoodpeckerPR8Contopus caribaeusGreater Antillean PeweePR19Contopus virensEastern Wood-peweeTr1Myiarchus sagraeLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR14Tyrannus dominicensisGrey KingbirdSR22Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Vireo altiloquusBlack-whiskered VireoSR5Hirundo rusticaBarn SwallowTr12Catharus minimusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus minimusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Dumetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5Minus polyglottosNorthern MockingbirdPR3 | Coccyzus americanus | Yellow-billed Cuckoo | SR | 5 |
| Crotophaga aniSmooth-billed AniPR4Tyto albaBarn OwlTr1Chordeiles minorCommon NighthawkTr1Chordeiles gundlachiiAntillean NighthawkSR18Chlorostilbon ricordiiCuban EmeraldPR18Megaceryle alcyonBelted KingfisherWR12Sphyrapicus variusYellow-bellied SapsuckerTr4Xiphidiopicus percussusCuban Green WoodpeckerPR8Contopus caribaeusGreater Antillean PeweePR19Contopus virensEastern Wood-peweeTr1Myiarchus sagraeLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR14Tyrannus dominicensisGrey KingbirdSR22Tyrannus caudifasciatusLoggerhead KingbirdPR21Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Vireo altiloquusBlack-whiskered VireoSR20Progne cryptoleucaCuban MartinSR6Petrochelidon fulvaCave SwallowTr12Catharus minimusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Turdus plumbeusRed-legged ThrushPR3Dumetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5Minus polyglottosNorthern MockingbirdPR3 | Coccyzus minor | Mangrove Cuckoo | PR | 1 |
| Tyto albaBarn OwlTr1Chordeiles minorCommon NighthawkTr1Chordeiles gundlachiiAntillean NighthawkSR18Chlorostilbon ricordiiCuban EmeraldPR18Megaceryle alcyonBelted KingfisherWR12Sphyrapicus variusYellow-bellied SapsuckerTr4Xiphidiopicus percussusCuban Green WoodpeckerPR8Contopus caribaeusGreater Antillean PeweePR19Contopus virensEastern Wood-peweeTr1Myiarchus sagraeLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR14Tyrannus dominicensisGrey KingbirdSR22Tyrannus caudifasciatusLoggerhead KingbirdPR21Vireo olivaceusRed–eyed VireoTr3Vireo altiloquusBlackwhiskered VireoSR20Progne cryptoleucaCuban MartinSR6Petrochelidon fulvaCave SwallowTr12Catharus minimusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus minimusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Turdus plumbeusRed–legged ThrushPR3Dumetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5Minus polyglottosNorthern MockingbirdPR3 | Crotophaga ani | Smooth-billed Ani | PR | 4 |
| Chordeiles minorCommon NighthawkTr1Chordeiles gundlachiiAntillean NighthawkSR18Chordeiles gundlachiiCuban EmeraldPR18Megaceryle alcyonBelted KingfisherWR12Sphyrapicus variusYellow-bellied SapsuckerTr4Xiphidiopicus percussusCuban Green WoodpeckerPR8Contopus caribaeusGreater Antillean PeweePR19Contopus virensEastern Wood-peweeTr1Myiarchus sagraeLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR14Tyrannus dominicensisGrey KingbirdSR22Tyrannus caudifasciatusLoggerhead KingbirdPR21Vireo griseusWhite-eyed VireoTr3Vireo altiloquusBlack-whiskered VireoSR20Progne cryptoleucaCuban MartinSR6Petrochelidon fulvaCave SwallowTr12Catharus minimusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Turdus plumbeusRed-legged ThrushPR3Dumetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5Mirus polyglottosNorthern MockingbirdPR3 | Tyto alba | Barn Owl | Tr | 1 |
| Chordeiles gundlachiiAntillean NighthawkSR18Chlorostilbon ricordiiCuban EmeraldPR18Megaceryle alcyonBelted KingfisherWR12Sphyrapicus variusYellow-bellied SapsuckerTr4Xiphidiopicus percussusCuban Green WoodpeckerPR8Contopus caribaeusGreater Antillean PeweePR19Contopus virensEastern Wood-peweeTr1Myiarchus sagraeLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR14Tyrannus dominicensisGrey KingbirdSR22Tyrannus caudifasciatusLoggerhead KingbirdPR21Vireo griseusWhite-eyed VireoWR2Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Vireo altiloquusBlack-whiskered VireoSR5Hirundo rusticaBarn SwallowTr12Catharus minimusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr12Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Turdus plumbeusRed-legged ThrushPR3Dumetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5Mirus polyglottosNorthern MockingbirdPR3 | Chordeiles minor | Common Nighthawk | Tr | 1 |
| Chlorostilbon ricordiiCuban EmeraldPR18Megaceryle alcyonBelted KingfisherWR12Sphyrapicus variusYellow-bellied SapsuckerTr4Xiphidiopicus percussusCuban Green WoodpeckerPR8Contopus caribaeusGreater Antillean PeweePR19Contopus virensEastern Wood-peweeTr1Myiarchus sagraeLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR14Tyrannus dominicensisGrey KingbirdSR22Tyrannus caudifasciatusLoggerhead KingbirdPR21Vireo griseusWhite-eyed VireoWR2Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Vireo altiloquusBlack-whiskered VireoSR50Progne cryptoleucaCuban MartinSR5Hirundo rusticaBarn SwallowTr12Catharus minimusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Turdus plumbeusRed-legged ThrushPR3Dumetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5Mimus polyglottosNorthern MockingbirdPR3 | Chordeiles gundlachii | Antillean Nighthawk | SR | 18 |
| Megaceryle alcyonBelted KingfisherWR12Sphyrapicus variusYellow-bellied SapsuckerTr4Xiphidiopicus percussusCuban Green WoodpeckerPR8Contopus caribaeusGreater Antillean PeweePR19Contopus virensEastern Wood-peweeTr1Myiarchus sagraeLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR14Tyrannus dominicensisGrey KingbirdSR22Tyrannus caudifasciatusLoggerhead KingbirdPR21Vireo griseusWhite-eyed VireoWR2Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Vireo altiloquusBlack-whiskered VireoSR20Progne cryptoleucaCuban MartinSR6Petrochelidon fulvaCave SwallowSR5Hirundo rusticaBarn SwallowTr12Catharus minimusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Dumetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5Mirus polyglottosNorthern MockingbirdPR3 | Chlorostilbon ricordii | Cuban Emerald | PR | 18 |
| Sphyrapicus variusYellow-bellied SapsuckerTr4Xiphidiopicus percussusCuban Green WoodpeckerPR8Contopus caribaeusGreater Antillean PeweePR19Contopus virensEastern Wood-peweeTr1Myiarchus sagraeLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR14Tyrannus dominicensisGrey KingbirdSR22Tyrannus caudifasciatusLoggerhead KingbirdPR21Vireo griseusWhite-eyed VireoWR2Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Vireo altiloquusBlack-whiskered VireoSR20Progne cryptoleucaCuban MartinSR6Petrochelidon fulvaCave SwallowSR5Hirundo rusticaBarn SwallowTr12Catharus minimusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Turdus plumbeusRed-legged ThrushPR3Dumetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5Mimus polyglottosNorthern MockingbirdPR3 | Megaceryle alcyon | Belted Kingfisher | WR | 12 |
| Xiphidiopicus percussusCuban Green WoodpeckerPR8Contopus caribaeusGreater Antillean PeweePR19Contopus virensEastern Wood–peweeTr1Myiarchus sagraeLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR14Tyrannus dominicensisGrey KingbirdSR22Tyrannus caudifasciatusLoggerhead KingbirdPR21Vireo griseusWhite–eyed VireoWR2Vireo olivaceusRed–eyed VireoTr3Vireo altiloquusBlack–whiskered VireoSR20Progne cryptoleucaCuban MartinSR6Petrochelidon fulvaCave SwallowSR5Hirundo rusticaBarn SwallowTr12Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Turdus plumbeusRed–legged ThrushPR3Dumetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5Mimus polyglottosNorthern MockingbirdPR3 | Sphyrapicus varius | Yellow-bellied Sapsucker | Tr | 4 |
| Contopus caribaeusGreater Antillean PeweePR19Contopus virensEastern Wood-peweeTr1Myiarchus sagraeLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR14Tyrannus dominicensisGrey KingbirdSR22Tyrannus caudifasciatusLoggerhead KingbirdPR21Vireo griseusWhite-eyed VireoWR2Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Vireo altiloquusBlack-whiskered VireoSR20Progne cryptoleucaCuban MartinSR6Petrochelidon fulvaCave SwallowTr12Catharus minimusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Turdus plumbeusRed-legged ThrushPR3Dumetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5Mimus polyglottosNorthern MockingbirdPR3 | Xiphidiopicus percussus | Cuban Green Woodpecker | PR | 8 |
| Contopus virensEastern Wood-peweeTr1Myiarchus sagraeLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR14Tyrannus dominicensisGrey KingbirdSR22Tyrannus caudifasciatusLoggerhead KingbirdPR21Vireo griseusWhite-eyed VireoWR2Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Vireo altiloquusBlack-whiskered VireoSR20Progne cryptoleucaCuban MartinSR6Petrochelidon fulvaCave SwallowTr12Catharus minimusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Turdus plumbeusRed-legged ThrushPR3Dumetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5Mimus polyglottosNorthern MockingbirdPR3 | Contopus caribaeus | Greater Antillean Pewee | PR | 19 |
| Myiarchus sagraeLa Sagra's FlycatcherPR14Tyrannus dominicensisGrey KingbirdSR22Tyrannus caudifasciatusLoggerhead KingbirdPR21Vireo griseusWhite-eyed VireoWR2Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Vireo altiloquusBlack-whiskered VireoSR20Progne cryptoleucaCuban MartinSR6Petrochelidon fulvaCave SwallowSR5Hirundo rusticaBarn SwallowTr12Catharus minimusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Turdus plumbeusRed-legged ThrushPR3Dumetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5Minus polyglottosNorthern MockingbirdPR3 | Contopus virens | Eastern Wood-pewee | Tr | 1 |
| Tyrannus dominicensisGrey KingbirdSR22Tyrannus caudifasciatusLoggerhead KingbirdPR21Vireo griseusWhite-eyed VireoWR2Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Vireo altiloquusBlack-whiskered VireoSR20Progne cryptoleucaCuban MartinSR6Petrochelidon fulvaCave SwallowSR5Hirundo rusticaBarn SwallowTr12Catharus minimusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Turdus plumbeusRed-legged ThrushPR3Dumetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5Mimus polyglottosNorthern MockingbirdPR3 | Myiarchus sagrae | La Sagra's Flycatcher | PR | 14 |
| Tyrannus caudifasciatusLoggerhead KingbirdPR21Vireo griseusWhite–eyed VireoWR2Vireo olivaceusRed–eyed VireoTr3Vireo altiloquusBlack–whiskered VireoSR20Progne cryptoleucaCuban MartinSR6Petrochelidon fulvaCave SwallowSR5Hirundo rusticaBarn SwallowTr12Catharus minimusGrey–cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Turdus plumbeusRed–legged ThrushPR3Dumetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5Mimus polyglottosNorthern MockingbirdPR3 | Tyrannus dominicensis | Grey Kingbird | SR | 22 |
| Vireo griseusWhite-eyed VireoWR2Vireo olivaceusRed-eyed VireoTr3Vireo altiloquusBlack-whiskered VireoSR20Progne cryptoleucaCuban MartinSR6Petrochelidon fulvaCave SwallowSR5Hirundo rusticaBarn SwallowTr12Catharus minimusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Turdus plumbeusRed-legged ThrushPR3Dumetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5Mimus polyglottosNorthern MockingbirdPR3 | Tyrannus caudifasciatus | Loggerhead Kingbird | PR | 21 |
| Vireo olivaceusRed–eyed VireoTr3Vireo altiloquusBlack–whiskered VireoSR20Progne cryptoleucaCuban MartinSR6Petrochelidon fulvaCave SwallowSR5Hirundo rusticaBarn SwallowTr12Catharus minimusGrey–cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Turdus plumbeusRed–legged ThrushPR3Dumetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5Mimus polyglottosNorthern MockingbirdPR3 | Vireo griseus | White-eyed Vireo | WR | 2 |
| Vireo altiloquusBlack-whiskered VireoSR20Progne cryptoleucaCuban MartinSR6Petrochelidon fulvaCave SwallowSR5Hirundo rusticaBarn SwallowTr12Catharus minimusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Turdus plumbeusRed-legged ThrushPR3Dumetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5Mimus polyglottosNorthern MockingbirdPR3 | Vireo olivaceus | Red-eyed Vireo | Tr | 3 |
| Progne cryptoleucaCuban MartinSR6Petrochelidon fulvaCave SwallowSR5Hirundo rusticaBarn SwallowTr12Catharus minimusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Turdus plumbeusRed-legged ThrushPR3Dumetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5Mimus polyglottosNorthern MockingbirdPR3 | Vireo altiloquus | Black-whiskered Vireo | SR | 20 |
| Petrochelidon fulvaCave SwallowSR5Hirundo rusticaBarn SwallowTr12Catharus minimusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Turdus plumbeusRed-legged ThrushPR3Dumetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5Mimus polyglottosNorthern MockingbirdPR3 | Progne cryptoleuca | Cuban Martin | SR | 6 |
| Hirundo rusticaBarn SwallowTr12Catharus minimusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Turdus plumbeusRed-legged ThrushPR3Dumetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5Mimus polyglottosNorthern MockingbirdPR3 | Petrochelidon fulva | Cave Swallow | SR | 5 |
| Catharus minimusGrey-cheeked ThrushTr1Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Turdus plumbeusRed-legged ThrushPR3Dumetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5Mimus polyglottosNorthern MockingbirdPR3 | Hirundo rustica | Barn Swallow | Tr | 12 |
| Catharus fuscescensVeeryTr1Turdus plumbeusRed-legged ThrushPR3Dumetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5Mimus polyglottosNorthern MockingbirdPR3 | Catharus minimus | Grey-cheeked Thrush | Tr | 1 |
| Turdus plumbeusRed–legged ThrushPR3Dumetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5Mimus polyglottosNorthern MockingbirdPR3 | Catharus fuscescens | Veery | Tr | 1 |
| Dumetella carolinensisGrey CatbirdWR5Mimus polyglottosNorthern MockingbirdPR3 | Turdus plumbeus | Red-legged Thrush | PR | 3 |
| Mimus polyglottos Northern Mockingbird PR 3 | Dumetella carolinensis | Grey Catbird | WR | 5 |
| | Mimus polyglottos | Northern Mockingbird | PR | 3 |

Table 2. (Cont.)

| Species | Common name | Permanence status | Cays* |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------|
| Seiurus aurocapilla | Ovenbird | WR | 7 |
| Helmitheros vermivorum | Worm-eating Warbler | WR | 2 |
| Parkesia noveboracensis | Northern Waterthrush | WR | 19 |
| Mniotilta varia | Black-and-white Warbler | WR | 9 |
| Protonotaria citrea | Prothonotary Warbler | Tr | 1 |
| Oreothlypis peregrina | Tennessee Warbler | Tr | 1 |
| Geothlypis trichas | Common Yellowthroat | WR | 11 |
| Setophaga citrina | Hooded Warbler | Tr | 2 |
| Setophaga ruticilla | American Redstart | WR | 16 |
| Setophaga tigrina | Cape May Warbler | WR | 4 |
| Setophaga americana | Northern Parula | WR | 10 |
| Setophaga castanea | Bay-breasted Warbler | Tr | 1 |
| Setophaga fusca | Blackburnian Warbler | Tr | 1 |
| Setophaga petechia | Yellow Warbler | PR | 40 |
| Setophaga caerulescens | Black-throated Blue Warbler | WR | 10 |
| Setophaga palmarum | Palm Warbler | WR | 15 |
| Setophaga dominica | Yellow-throated Warbler | WR | 8 |
| Setophaga discolor | Prairie Warbler | WR | 21 |
| Icteria virens | Yellow-breasted Chat | Tr | 1 |
| Tiaris olivaceus | Yellow-faced Grassquit | PR | 1 |
| Piranga rubra | Summer Tanager | Tr | 1 |
| Piranga olivacea | Scarlet Tanager | Tr | 2 |
| Pheucticus Iudovicianus | Rose-breasted Grosbeak | Tr | 2 |
| Passerina caerulea | Blue Grosbeak | Tr | 1 |
| Passerina cyanea | Indigo Bunting | Tr | 5 |
| Dolichonyx oryzivorus | Bobolink | Tr | 1 |
| Agelaius humeralis | Tawny-shouldered Blackbird | PR | 14 |
| Quiscalus niger | Greater Antillean Grackle | PR | 31 |
| Icterus galbula | Baltimore Oriole | Tr | 1 |
| Asio dominguensis | Short-eared Owl | Tr | 1 |
| Sula dactylatra | Masked Booby | Tr | 1 |
| Icterus melanopsis | Cuban Oriole | Tr | 1 |
| Catharus ustulatus | Swainson's Thrush | Tr | 1 |
| Mycteria americana | Wood Stork | Tr | 1 |
| Haematopus palliatus | American Oystercatcher | WR | 1 |
| Tringa flavipes | Lesser Yellowlegs | WR | 1 |
| Calidris alba | Sanderling | WR | 5 |
| Polioptila caerulea | Blue-grey Gnatcatcher | Tr | 1 |
| Oreothlypis ruficapilla | Nashville Warbler | Tr | 1 |



Fig. 2. Nestedness degree of the bird assemblages inhabiting 43 cays of the Jardines de la Reina archipelago, Cuba, during fall (A) and spring (B) migrations. Assemblages made up by 67 and 51 species in A and B, respectively: grey squares, presence; white squares, absence; central line, isocline of perfect nestedness; NODF. Nestedness metric based on overlap and decreasing fill.

Fig. 2. Grado de anidamiento de los ensamblajes de aves presentes en 43 cayos del archipiélago de los Jardines de la Reina, Cuba, durante las migraciones otoñal (A) y primaveral (B). Ensamblajes compuestos por 67 y 51 especies en A y B, respectivamente: cuadros grises, presencias; cuadros blancos, ausencias; línea central, isoclina de anidamiento perfecto; NODF. Índice de anidamiento basado en el relleno superpuesto y decreciente.

of ecological niches. These effects could change species composition, and therefore nested patterns. Generalist species such as herons and doves, both widely distributed populations across the Jardines de la Reina archipelago, could favor the generation of nested structures. Azeria & Kolasa (2008) highlight the importance of thoroughly assessing the relative role of the ecological differences among species (e.g. niche breadth) as a cause of nestedness and its temporal stability.

It is plausible to consider that many species may have developed behavioral and morphological adaptations to tolerate higher levels of niche overlapping from other species during fall migrations. Morphological differences (*i.e.* bill size and structure) in similar–sized bird species occupying the same habitat might promote coexistence through feeding niche differentiation as referred in many earlier works (Conant, 1988; Grant, 1999). Such adaptive strategies aiming to minimize the effects of ecological competition upon the arrival of numerous neartic-neotropical migrants to the Jardines de la Reina archipelago may, to some extent, facilitate species coexistence across this insular region. This would in turn lead to homogenization of the compositional features of bird communities and thus decrease the degree of nestedness on the assemblages.

We agree with Almeida–Neto et al. (2008) and Ulrich et al. (2009) on considering the NODF metric as the most sensible index to evaluate the degree of nestedness given by its properties, which are deemed to be more statistically suitable than the T and D (nestedness discrepancy) indexes (Hu et al., 2011). In fact, this index not only far exceeds the remainder of conventional metrics, but also functions adequately within the null models framework (Almeida–Neto et al., 2008). On the other hand, the use of the T index may yield not only overestimated degrees of nestedness but also contrasting results compared to those obtained by the NODF metric. Such discrepancy was also verified in the present study since bird assemblages exhibited a Table 3. Assessment of the nestedness degree (NODF index) in the bird assemblages of 43 cays of the Jardines de la Reina archipelago, Cuba, during fall and spring migrations, by comparing the observed (obs.) and the four null models (Er, Ce, Co and Li) simulated values (N = 1,000) of NODF (nestedness metric based on the overlap and decreasing fill): T. Matrix temperature; PNEr. % of perfect nestedness with respect to Er model. All values are expressed as mean \pm SD (min–max). There was a significant nestedness (< 0,01) for all the cases.

Tabla 3. Evaluación del grado de anidamiento (índice NODF) en los ensamblajes de aves de 43 cayos del archipiélago de los Jardines de la Reina, Cuba, durante las migraciones otoñal y primaveral, mediante la comparación de los valores observados (obs.) y los simulados (N = 1.000) de los cuatro modelos nulos (Er, Ce, Co y Li) del NODF (Índice de anidamiento basado en el relleno superpuesto y decreciente): T. Temperatura de la matriz; PNEr. % de anidamiento perfecto respecto al modelo Er. Todos los valores se expresan como la [media ± DE (mín–máx)]. En todos los casos el anidamiento fue significativo (< 0,01).

| | Sampling | Sampling periods | | |
|----------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| Index | Fall | Spring | | |
| T (PNEr) | 12.68 (82.57) | 13.06 (82.38) | | |
| NODFobs. | 68.53 | 70.48 | | |
| NODFEr | 29.41 ± 1.04 (25.43 - 32.55) | 34.00 ± 1.21 (30.27 - 37.50) | | |
| NODFCe | 39.01 ± 1.38 (34.56 - 44.35) | 43.55 ± 1.51 (38.67 – 48.23) | | |
| NODFCo | 43.11 ± 0.90 (39.22 - 46.10) | 46.37 ± 0.87 (43.30 - 49.56) | | |
| NODFLi | 40.74 ± 0.62 (38.78 - 42.84) | 46.18 ± 0.81 (43.40 - 48.56) | | |

higher degree of nestedness in fall and spring according to the T and NODF indexes, respectively.

A limitation of the present study is that data on species' occurrence was not homogeneous across the study area due to the differences in the number of visits and census techniques used per cay. This may have underestimated the numbers of species along the sampled sites, and may therefore have generated false nested structures. For this reason published compilation works providing the most comprehensive and thorough species checklists were targeted as the ideal currently available information sources (*i.e.* Parada & García–Quintas, 2012; Parada et al., 2012). The inclusion of

Table 4. Comparisons of nestedness degree of the bird assemblages in 43 cays of the Jardines de la Reina archipelago, Cuba, between fall and spring migrations. Differences in the simulated values of NODF index (N = 1,000) are shown as mean \pm SD (min-max): NODF. Nestedness metric based on the overlap and decreasing fill; * Statistical significance.

Tabla 4. Comparación del grado de anidamiento de los ensamblajes de aves en 43 cayos del archipiélago de los Jardines de la Reina, Cuba, entre las migraciones otoñal y primaveral. Las diferencias de los valores simulados del NODF (N = 1.000) se muestran como media $\pm DE$ (mín–máx): NODF. Índice de anidamiento basado en el relleno superpuesto y decreciente; * Significación estadística.

| | Differences between pairs | | |
|-------------|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Null models | of NODF values of replicates | Size effect | Probability |
| Er | 1.11 ± 0.85 (0.00-5.46) | 1.95 | 0.17 |
| Се | 1.39 ± 1.06 (0.00-6.26) | 1.95 | 0.25 |
| Со | 0.92 ± 0.71 (0.00-4.20) | 1.95 | 0.10 |
| Li | 0.59 ± 0.46 (0.00-2.42) | 1.95 | 0.01* |

inventory datasets from over 50 years ago (*i.e.* data from September 1930 published in Buden & Olson, 1989) could influence the nested patterns, if we consider the colonization–extinction dynamics of natural communities. However, we believe that this did not pose a serious problem because most of the species reported by Buden & Olson (1989) and Garrido (1978) are still abundant and widely distributed throughout Jardines de la Reina archipelago. The exceptions are a few vagrant species (*Antrostomus carolinensis* and *Chordeiles minor*) reported on a single occasion some decades ago and *Turdus plumbeus* whose formerly scarce and locally distributed populations may have become extinct as far back as the late 1990s.

Furthermore, the significant differences expressed by the null model Li in the degree of nestedness between migratory seasons may reflect the drawbacks of the randomization algorithm in this model to generate many replicates. Indeed, it produced a narrower range of simulated values than the other models by randomizing the presence of the matrix with row totals kept constant. Thus, the variability of the simulated size effect may have been small enough to bias the detection probabilities of significant differences.

Overall, studies of the temporal changes on nested structures have been little studied to date (Bloch et al., 2007) even though temporal–spatial variations in distribution patterns of non–random species have long been a cornerstone in the ecology of community (Longo–Sánchez & Blanco, 2009). In this regard, no significant differences between the degree of nestedness of assemblages in the two distinct phenological stages (spring and fall seasons) was detected. This indicates that bird communities inhabiting the Jardines de la Reina archipelago showed a stable nested structure despite the influence of many migrants causing seasonal changes on species composition, with higher values of nestedness recorded in spring migrations.

We believe that causative factors such as the isolation of the cays and the habitat nestedness may have a significant role in the generation of nestedness patterns of bird communities in Jardines de la Reina. However, the effects of passive sampling should not be ruled out a priori taking into account the limitations and biases of the currently available datasets. Further identification and evaluation of the factors that promote nested structures among the avifauna in the study site may become relevant to establish conservation priorities and goals in the archipelago and thus maintain the stability of its bird assemblages.

Acknowledgments

We want to thank all those researchers and technicians at CIEC who contributed to this research and we are also grateful to Dennis Denis Ávila and Vicente Osmel Rodríguez Cárdenas for their valuable comments and corrections which greatly improved the work.

References

- Albrecht, M. & Gotelli, N. J., 2001. Spatial and temporal niche partitioning in grassland ants. *Oecologia*, 126: 134–141.
- Almeida–Neto, M., Guimarães, P., Guimarães, P. R. Jr., Loyola, R. D. & Ulrich, W., 2008. A consistent metric for nestedness analysis in ecological systems: reconciling concept and measurement. *Oikos*, 117: 1227–1239.
- Atmar, W. & Patterson, B. D., 1993. The measure of order and disorder in the distribution of species in fragmented habitat. *Oecologia*, 96: 373–382.
- 1995. The nestedness temperature calculator: a visual basic program, including 294 presence—absence matrices. AICS Res., Inc., University Park, New Mexico, and The Field Mus., Chicago (http:// aicsresearch.com/nestedness/tempcalc.html).
- Azeria, E. T. & Kolasa, J., 2008. Nestedness, niche metrics and temporal dynamics of a metacommunity in a dynamic natural model system. *Oikos*, 117: 1006–1019.
- Bloch, C. P., Higgins, C. L. & Willing, M. R., 2007. Effects of large–scale disturbance on metacommunity structure of terrestrial gastropods: temporal trends in nestedness. *Oikos*, 116: 395–406.
- Buden, D. W. & Olson, S. L., 1989. The avifauna of the cayerías of southern Cuba, with the ornithological results of the Paul Bartsch expedition of 1930. *Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology*, 477: 1–34.
- Calmé, S. & Desrochers, A., 1999. Nested bird and micro–habitat assemblages in a peatland archipelago. *Oecologia*, 118: 361–370.
- Conant, S., 1988. Geographic variation in the Laysan Finch (*Telespiza cantans*). *Evolutionary Ecology*, 2: 270–282.
- Cook, R. R. & Quinn, J. F., 1995. The influence of colonization in nested species subsets. *Oecologia*, 102: 413–424.
- Feeley, K. J., Gillespie, T. W., Lebbin, D. J. & Walter, H. S., 2007. Species characteristics associated with extinction vulnerability and nestedness rankings of birds in tropical forest fragments. *Animal Conservation*, 10: 493–501.
- Fernández–Juricic, E., 2000. Bird community composition patterns in urban parks of Madrid: The role of age, size and isolation. *Ecological Research*, 15: 373–383.
- Garrido, O. H., 1978. Nuevo Bobito Chico (Aves: Tyrannidae) para Cuba. Academia de Ciencias de Cuba, Instituto de Zoología, Informe Científico-Técnico. La Habana, Cuba, 68: 1–6.
- Garrido, O. H. & García, F., 1975. *Catálogo de las Aves de Cuba*. Academia de Ciencias de Cuba, La Habana, Cuba.
- Garrido, O. H. & Kirkconnell, A., 2011. Aves de Cuba. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, Nueva York, USA.
- Gotelli, N. J. & Graves, G. R., 1990. Body size and the occurrence of avian species on landbridge islands. *Journal of Biogeography*, 17: 315–325.
- Gotelli, N. J. & McCabe, D. J., 2002. Species cooccurrence: A meta-analysis of J. M. Diamond's

assembly rules model. *Ecology*, 83: 2091–2096.

- Grant, P. R., 1999. *Ecology and evolution of Darwin's finches*, 2nd Edition. Princeton University Press, Princeton, USA.
- Guimarães, P. R. Jr. & Guimarães, P., 2006. Improving the analyses of nestedness for large sets of matrices. *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 21: 1512–1513.
- Hu, G., Feeley, K. J., Wu, J., Xu, G. & Yu, M., 2011. Determinants of plant species richness and patterns of nestedness in fragmented landscapes: evidence from land–bridge islands. *Landscape Ecology*, 26: 1405–1417.
- Lomolino, M. V., 1996. Investigating causality of nestedness of insular communities: selective immigrations or extinctions? *Journal of Biogeography*, 23: 699–703.
- Longo–Sánchez, M. C. & Blanco, J. F., 2009. Sobre los filtros que determinan la distribución y la abundancia de los macroinvertebrados diádromos y no–diádromos en cada nivel jerárquico del paisaje fluvial en islas. *Actualidades Biológicas*, 31: 179–195.
- MacNally, R. & Timewell, C. A. R., 2005. Resource availability controls bird–assemblage composition through interspecific aggression. *The Auk*, 122: 1097–1111.
- Méndez, M., 2004. La composición de especies de aves en islas y paisajes fragmentados: un análogo ecológico de las muñecas rusas. *El Draque*, 5:

199–212.

- Parada, A. & García–Quintas, A., 2012. Avifauna de los archipiélagos del sur de Ciego de Ávila y Camagüey, Cuba: una revisión taxo–ecológica actualizada. *Mesoamericana*, 16: 35–55.
- Parada, A., Socarrás, E., Primelles, J. & Hernández, D., 2012. New bird species and distributional records for Jardines de la Reina archipelago, Cuba, during autumn and spring migrations 2009–10. *Cotinga*, 34: 55–60.
- Patterson, B. D., 1990. On the temporal development of nested subset patterns of species composition. *Oikos*, 59: 330–342.
- Patterson, B. D. & Atmar, W., 1986. Nested subsets and the structure of insular mammalian faunas and archipelagos. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 28: 65–82.
- Pianka, E. R., 1999. Putting communities together: Ecological assembly rules. Perspectives, advances, retreats. *Tree*, 14: 501–502.
- Rohde, K., Worthen, W. B., Heap, M., Hugueny, B. & Guégan, J. F., 1998. Nestedness in assemblages of metazoan ecto–and endoparasites of marine fish. *International Journal for Parasitology*, 28: 543–549.
- StatSoft Inc., 2007. STATISTICA (data analysis software system), version 8.0. Statsoft, Inc., Tulsa, OK.
- Ulrich, W., Almeida–Neto, M. & Gotelli, N. J., 2009. A consumer's guide to nestedness analysis. *Oikos*, 118: 3–17.